Editor's Note: This compilation is on-going. Please send additional sources relevant to the lawsuits cited herein as well as legal actions of equivalent calibre promoting the human project's creative evolutionary adaptation into our post-industrial-mind epoch.

LAWSUITS CHALLENGING COVID-19 FALSE CLAIMS

Compilation by David T. Ratcliffe, *rat haus reality press*
Published: 8 Mar 2021
Last Updated: 9 Aug, 2 Aug [A, B],
24 Jul, 20 Jul, 18 Jul, 17 Jul, 14 Jul, 9 Jul [A, B], 2 Jul,
26 Jun, 20 Jun, 15 Jun, 11 Jun, 10 Jun, 9 Jun, 30 May, 21 May [A, B]

CONTENTS

PCR House Of Cards

**Reiner Fuellmich: "[T]his is their weak spot.
This is their Achilles heel, the PCR tests.
If we destroy the PCR tests, then that's it. No more infections.
The whole house of cards is going to collapse." 22 May 2021**



17 Feb 2021, Reiner Fuellmich: "the Drosten PCR test was and still is the centerpiece of this whole thing. If we destroy that narrative, I think this house of cards is going to come down crashing soon."

As Constitutional Lawyer Rocco Galati has observed, "The framers of the U.S. Constitution had it right when they talked about inalienable rights, meaning the rights that were given to you by your Creator, even if you believe your creator is just your mother." Our inalienable rights are being threatened to the point of no return. The antidote to this are the lawsuits in process, challenging official claims Covid-19 justifies locking down global society. This compilation summarizes and references well-conceived legal cases being pursued through judicial proceedings to force government disclosure that answer fundamental, critical questions, a very few of which are:

- Why did the CDC in private, without open discussion among qualified professionals that are free from conflicts of interest, change the rules in March 2020 about counting deaths as Covid despite the existence of effective rules for data collection and reporting, successfully used by all hospitals, medical examiners, coroners, and physicians for more than 17 years?
- Why is the PCR test being used to diagnose infectivity of live virus when its inventor Kary Mullis stated clearly in 2013 that his technology was never designed for diagnosing disease and should not be used for that purpose?
- Why was Neil Ferguson's Imperial College model predicting tens of millions of people dying used to institute unprecedented societal lockdowns worldwide when Ferguson's past predictions were wrong by orders of magnitude?
- What justifies the continued unprecedented lockdown of society when the Case Fatality Rate and the Reproduction Rate of Covid-19 is roughly as dangerous as the seasonal flu?

In 1803 *Marbury v. Madison* established that in the United States the court is a coequal branch of government and its role is to determine the Constitutionality of governmental actions. The following groups are employing the power of judicial oversight of government decrees to pry loose the data so far not made public which has introduced unprecedented policies with devastating consequences far beyond the actual mortality rate of people dying *from* Covid, not *with* Covid. As stated in the Amended Oct 2020 Ohio Complaint regarding Facts:

> The fact pattern for this case is extremely complex. A number of sections below discuss errors or the debunking of what is intentionally misleading information put forth by the State or CDC. It is indisputable that COVID-19 is roughly as dangerous as the seasonal flu (see below), less dangerous than many other infectious diseases that we have not taken such drastic steps to stop, and also that the reaction to COVID-19 is the definition of arbitrary and capricious. The continuation of this overreaction has only occurred due to the reality that the facts are complex enough that few have realized how badly they have been misled. Here we attempt to simplify the facts into a digestible narrative.

⇈ CONTENTS

- **Corona Committee**
- **Elders Without Borders**
- **Make Americans Free Again**
- **America's Frontline Doctors**
- **Constitutional Rights Centre**
- **Children's Health Defense**
- **Additional Legal Actions**
- **Lawsuit Rulings**



> *This Corona crisis, according to all we know today, must be renamed a Corona scandal, and those responsible for it must be criminally prosecuted and sued for civil law damages. On a political level, everything must be done to make sure that no one will ever again be in a position of such power as to be able to defraud humanity or to attempt to manipulate us with their corrupt agendas.*
>
> —Dr Reiner Fuellmich, 3 Oct 2020

> *We should be talking about why and how has our society allowed these things to happen? How and why? And we must try to get answers to those so that this will never happen again.*
>
> —Prof Sucharit Bhakdi, Nov 2020

> *Sooner or later something like this was going to happen—we didn't pay attention to the signs. We'd better be paying attention now because they're never going to let us go unless we do something about it. That's why we're filing lawsuits, lots of them and filing a lot more of them because if we don't ... use the courts to free ourselves we will never be a free people again. We're filing a federal lawsuit as well and we're going to keep doing this state-by-state until we set everybody free. And then we've got to work really, really hard to make sure this never happens again by being very diligent citizens.*
>
> —Dr Pamela Popper, 21 Dec 2020

> *I think our only hope is the courts. The courts have done a really good job—mostly—we have taken beatings in the court too. But there are judges out there and it appears that the Supreme Court judges who—most of whom I don't like much but they understand that we're on the same team when it comes to recognizing that this is the onset of a really ugly dark totalitarianism and they have indicated they may be willing to stand up to that.*
>
> —Robert F. Kennedy, Jr., 5 Jan 2021



⇈ Corona Ausschuss / English: Corona Committee (auto-gen Eng trans [agEt])


Meetings
We are committed to independent, transparent and evidence-based analysis.
11192
Minutes of video footage
OVALmedia


Viviane Fischer


Reiner Fuellmich

Justus Hoffmann


Antonia Fischer

Marcel Templin

- **Overview**
- **Crimes Against Humanity**
- **Independent, Transparent, Evidence-Based Analysis**
- **Covid 19 False Claims**
- **Orwellian Censorship Writ Large**
- **Additional Background**
- **Critical Analysis**
- **Recent Developments / Recordings**

↑ Overview

The Corona Committee was established in July 2020 by Attorneys at Law Antonia Fischer (agEt), Viviane Fischer, Dr Reiner Fuellmich (agEt) and Dr Justus P. Hoffmann (agEt). Initially in English as the German Corona Investigative Committee, its present-day internet presence is in German: *Corona Ausschuss* (*Corona Committee* (agEt)). The Corona Committee seeks answers to the legal questions of whether the anti-Corona measures taken by the German federal and state governments against a possible overloading of the healthcare system ("flattening the curve") and to prevent SARS-CoV2 deaths were suitable, necessary and proportionate – in accordance with the constitutional standard of review – or whether the collateral damage that occurred was culpably caused.

Dr. Reiner Fuellmich has been admitted to the bar in Germany and California for more than 25 years. Born and raised in Bremen, he attended school in Bremen and Farmington Hills, Michigan and studied law at Georg-August University in Göttingen and Los Angeles. Along the way he was a research assistant at the law faculty of Georg-August-U and received his doctorate there. Prior to founding his law firm in 1993, he worked in corporate banking for Deutsche Bank in Germany and Japan. Dr. Fuellmich has published various articles and books in the fields of banking law, medical law and private international law, has taught as a professor and lecturer at universities in Germany and Estonia, and is a member of the German American Lawyers Association, German Japanese Lawyers Association, German Lawyers Association, and Bürgerbewegung Finanzwende (Citizens' movement financial turnaround e.V.). Additionally, Dr. Fuellmich is the founding chairman of the Corona Committee Foundation, and founding member of the interest group A-DuR (Aktion Demokratie und Recht/Action Democracy and Law) for the introduction of, among other things, genuine class actions in the sense of class action and a sanction-protected right of proof in the sense of discovery into civil procedural law for the purpose of creating equality of arms in legal disputes with structural imbalance due to the involvement of state bodies or corporations.

In September 2020 the Corona Committee published the 28-page paper, Stiftung Corona-AusschussBericht (kurz) SARS-CoV2 und die Lockdown-Folgen. A rough English translation produces: Corona Foundation Committee Report (short), SARS-CoV2 and the Lockdown Consequences.

- Introduction
- Dangerousness of the virus
- Significance of the PCR test
- Reports from other countries
- Danger for the health care system
- Negative effects of the measures
- The situation of children
- The situation in nursing homes
- The masks
- Socio-cultural damage
- Privacy
- Vaccination as a way out?
- Economy
- Rule of law
- The role of the media
- Misaligned incentives in the system
- Preliminary result
- Committee members
- Experts at the hearing
- Studies / Documents (Selection)

↑ Crimes Against Humanity

On 3 October 2020 Dr Fuellmich published a statement on Crimes Against Humanity, presenting what the Corona Committee had established to that point from its investigations. The complement to the 49-minute film is a complete annotated transcript including the following outline:

- **Introduction**
  - **I. Summary of the facts as they present themselves today**
    1. **What happened in May 2019 and then in early 2020, and what happened 12 years earlier with the swine flu?**
    2. **The current, actual situation regarding the virus' danger, the complete uselessness of PCR tests for the detection of infections and the lockdowns based on non existent infections**
       - **a. Lockdown was imposed when the virus was already retreating**
       - **b. There is already protection from the virus because of cross or T cell immunity**
       - **c. The PCR test is being used on the basis of false statements NOT based on scientific facts with respect to infections**
    3. **The current, actual situation regarding the severe damage caused by the lockdowns and other measures**
  - **II. Summary of legal consequences**
    1. **Unconstitutionality of the measures**
    2. **Fraud, intentional infliction of damage and Crimes against Humanity**
    3. **Class action as the best route to compensatory damages and to political consequences**

Near the beginning he sets the framework:

> Crimes against Humanity were first defined in connection with the Nuremberg Trials, after World War II that is, when they dealt with the main war criminals of the Third Reich. Crimes against Humanity are today regulated in section 7 of the International Criminal Code.
>
> The three major questions to be answered in the context of a judicial approach to the Corona scandal are:
>
> 1. Is there a Corona pandemic, or is there only a PCR test pandemic? specifically: Does a positive PCR test result mean that the person tested is infected with Covid-19, or does it mean absolutely nothing in connection with the Covid 19 infection?
> 2. Do the so-called anti-corona measures, such as the lockdown, mandatory face masks, social distancing, and quarantine regulations serve to protect the world's population from Corona? Or do these measures serve only to make people panic so that they believe—without asking any questions—that their lives are in danger, so that in the end the pharmaceutical and tech industries can generate huge profits from the sale of PCR tests, antigen and antibody tests and vaccines, as well as the harvesting of our genetic fingerprints?
> 3. Is it true that the German government was massively lobbied—more so than any other country—by the chief protagonists of this so-called Corona pandemic, Mr. Drosten, virologist at Charité hospital in Berlin, Mr. Wieler, veterinarian and head of the German equivalent of the CDC, the RKI, and Mr. Tedros, head of the World Health Organization, or WHO, because Germany is known as a particularly disciplined country and was therefore to become a role model for the rest of the world for it's strict, and, of course, successful adherence to the corona measures?

Mr. Drosten

Mr. Wieler

Mr. Tedros

↑ Independent, Transparent, Evidence-Based Analysis

Beginning July 2020, the Corona Committee has been conducting live, multi-hour sessions with worldwide experts and witnesses on a variety of questions about the virus, crisis management, and repercussions. The purpose is to investigate why federal and state governments imposed unprecedented restrictions as part of the corona measures and what the consequences were and are for people. Committed to independent, transparent and evidence-based analysis, these meetings are published on the Corona Committee website. There have been 62 Sessions and 3 Special Sessions (agEt) totaling more than 186 hours of hearings. Session titles (crudely translated) and links to same are listed below with Special Sessions at the end.

14 July 2021: One year ago today the first Session was conducted featuring Dr Wolfgang Wodarg. Dr Wodarg's involvement in 2009 as a member of the German Bundestag and a member of the Council of Europe was critical in revealing the false claim of what was then being called a Swine Flu Pandemic and bringing it to an end before it could lead to more serious consequences.

The following Index list links to each Session. Links in bold contain at least one English speaker (with audio-only file linked from the time-stamp) or English subtitles.

CC-S01
CC-S02
CC-S03
CC-S04
CC-S05
CC-S06
CC-S07
CC-S08
CC-S09
CC-S10
CC-S11
CC-S12
CC-S13
CC-S14
CC-S15
CC-S16
CC-S17
CC-S18
CC-S19
CC-S20
CC-S21
CC-S22
CC-S23
CC-S24
CC-S25
CC-S26
CC-S27
CC-S28
CC-S29
CC-S30
CC-S31
CC-S32
CC-S33
CC-S34
CC-S35
CC-S36
CC-S37
CC-S38
CC-S39
CC-S40
CC-S41
CC-S42
CC-S43
CC-S44
CC-S45
CC-S46
CC-S47
CC-S48
CC-S49
CC-S50
CC-S51
CC-S52
CC-S53
CC-S54
CC-S55
CC-S56
CC-S57
CC-S58
CC-S59
CC-S60
CC-S61
CC-S62
CC-S63
CC-S64

1. Learning from the Swine Flu with Dr Wolfgang Wodarg (3:40:23) 14 Jul 2020
2. The Situation of People in Nursing Homes (3:23:10) 15 Jul 2020
3. Bergamo - What Was Going On? (4:39:52) 23 Jul 2020
4. The Drosten Test, Immunity and the Second Wave (2:30:13) Jul 2020
5. The Situation of Small Entrepreneurs and the Self-Employed (4:22:59) 30 Jul 2020
6. The Situation of the Children (3:27:48) 31 Jul 2020
   - Elisabeth Sternbeck
   - Prof Dr Christian Schubert
   - Tina Romdhani
7. Do the Masks Protect or Do They Harm? (3:39:24) 6 Aug 2020
8. USA - the View from the Inside, Current Situation in Sweden, France, Italy, etc. (4:08:00) Aug 2020
9. The Role of the Media (3:51:30) 13 Aug 2020
10. Dangerousness of the Virus, Treatment of the Disease, Vaccination as a Way Out? (4:04:45) 14 Aug 2020
11. Privacy - 1 Million Genomes, Health ID, Tracking App Legal System - Basics for the Measures, Basic Rights (3:38:03) 18 August 2020
    - Dr Thilo Weichert (Datenschutzeperte)
    - Gordon Pankalla (Rechtsanwalt)
    - Karl Hilz (Polizeibeamter a.D.)
12. Disincentives in the System - The Role of the Media II (2:38:02) 20 Aug 2020
    - Hermann Ploppa (Politikwissenschaftler)
    - Don Dylan (Gesunheits- und Präventionsberater)
13. Middle Class in Crisis, Public Debt, Pandemic Profiteers (5:08:43) 21 Aug 2020
14. The Rule of Law and the Berlin Demonstrations (4:22:25) 11 Sep 2020
    - Daniel Siber (Ehemaliger Grünen-Politiker)
    - Ralf Ludwig (Rechtsanwalt)
    - Lars Oberndorf (Ehemaliger Polizeikommissar)
15. Hypnosis, Rituals, Fear and Ways Out of The Trauma Crisis (3:01:34) 12 Sep 2020
    - Prof Franz Ruppert (Psychotraumatologe)
    - Prof Gerald Hüther (Neurobiologe)
16. The Corona Consultation: Help for Self-Help with Masks, Tests, Quarantine (3:11:22) 17 Sep 2020
17. The Economy Under Control of the Pandemic (3:15:27) 18 Sep 2020
    - Oliver Gaebe (Journalist)
    - Volker Reusing (Menschenrechtsaktivist)
    - Prof Dr Sucharit Bhakdi (Virologe)
    - Prof Martin Schwab & Paul Schreyer (Rechtswissenschaftler & Freier Journalist)
18. Risks from the Measures, Risks from the Treatment (3:21:47) 2 Oct 2020
    - Rechtliche Lage
    - Prof Dr Martin Schwab (Rechtswissenschaftler)
    - Dr Wolfgang Wodarg & Dr. M Hulpke-Wette (Internist, Pneumologe, Sozialmediziner & Präventionsmediziner)
    - Dr Wolfgang Wodarg & Manuel Döring & Dr H Traindl (Internist, Pneumologe, Sozialmediziner & Ingenieur & Ingenieur)
19. Risks of Treatment / Internals from Schools (2:51:37) 6 Oct 2020
    - Dr Justus P Hoffmann & Dr Wolfgang Wodarg (Anwalt fü Medizinrecht & Internist, Pneumologe, Sozialmediziner)
    - Dr Claus Köhnlein & Dr. Justus Hoffmann & Dr Wolfgang Wodarg (Facharzt für Innere Medizin & Anwalt fü Medizinrecht & Internist, Pneumologe, Sozialmediziner)
20. Financial System and Hartz IV Regime (3:49:09) 9 Oct 2020
    - Ernst Wolff (Journalist und Buchautor)
    - Ralph Boes (Philosoph)
    - Prof Michael Klundt (Kindheitsforscher)
21. Corporate Power and Corruption (3:41:05) 16 Oct 2020
    - Dr Renate Holzeisen (Rechtsanwältin)
    - Paul Schreyer, Dr Renate Holzeisen (Journalist)
      - Paul Schreyer: Pandemic simulation games – Preparation for a new era? (1:03:06) 10 Mar 2021
    - Dr Gunter Frank (Arzt)
    - Dr Eugen Janzen (Kinderarzt)
22. The Player: Drosten, Ferguson, Wieler, the Charité and the Role of TIB Molbiol (4:33:38) 23 Oct 2020
    - Artur Aschmoneit
    - Thorsten Engelbrecht
    - Prof Dr Ulrike Kämmerer (Humanbiologin, Immunologin, Zellbiologin)
23. Play and Serious: Actor Marco Rima, Cabaret Artist Arnulf Rating and a Look Inside a Union (4:05:58) 30 Oct 2020
    - Marco Rima & Roman Roth
    - Arnulf Rating & Marco Rima
    - Colin Meier
24. The Strength of Our Immune System and the Voices of Children (3:16:32) 29 Oct 2020
    - Dr M Burchardt
    - Dr Claus Köhnlein
    - Kinder
25. The Danger of the Alignment of Our Legal System, Police Violence and Attacks by Private Individuals (2:44:02) 30 Oct 2020
    - Dirk Sattelmeier & Bert Bohla (Rechtsanwalt &)
    - Marcus Haintz & Friederike Pfeiffer (Rechtsanwalt & Querdenken)
    - Hans Scharpf (LLM)
26. PCR Test - The Dominoes Fall (3:19:23) 6 Nov 2020
    - Rechtslage Resümee
    - Prof Dr Ulrike Kämmerer (Humanbiologin, Immunologin, Zellbiologin)
    - Dr Stefano Scoglio
    - Jeroen Pols (Rechtsanwalt/Attorney at Law)
27. The All Out Attack (3:42:58) 13 Nov 2020
    - **Dr Michael Yeadon, Dr Clare Craig - 3:42:58**
      - In intro, reference is made to: What SAGE Has Got Wrong, Mike Yeadon, *Lockdown Skeptics*, 16 Oct 2020
28. The Sloping Slope (4:15:24) 20 Nov 2020
    - Anselm Lenz
    - Anselm Lenz & Dr Peer Eifler & Karsten Appler

Meetings
We are committed to independent, transparent and evidence-based analysis.
11192
Minutes of video footage

29. The Attack on Body and Soul (3:49:40) 27 Nov 2020
    - Dr Thomas Ly
    - Martin Gerloff
    - Claudia von Werlhof & Prof C Schubert
30. Under the Microscope (4:02:43) 4 Dec 2020
    - Prof R Bass & Dr Wolfgang Wodarg
    - Prof Hockertz & Prof Dr Martin Schwab
31. The Dam Breaks Lovingly (2:36:18) 11 Dec 2020
    - Nils Roth & Prof Dr Martin Schwab (Rechtswissenschaftler & Green Mango Karaokebar Berlin & Rechtswissenschaftler)
    - Thomas Bertold & Holger Thiesen
32. Soul of Man You Are Like Water (5:25:55) 18 Dec 2020
    - Jürgen Fliege & Christian Stockmann & Andre Sikojev & Nils Roth (ev. Theologe, Publizist und Talkshowmoderator & Pastor & Russisch-Orthodoxer Erzpriester & Green Mango Karaokebar Berlin)
    - **Yitzchok Dovid Smith (Lawyer and Rabbi) 1:24:09**
    - **Michael Swinwood (Lawyer) 1:06:38**
33. Closing Line 2020 (2:45:46) 1 Jan 2021
    - Prof Martin Schwab (Rechtswissenschaftler)
34. You Can't See Those in the Dark (4:43:42) 8 Jan 2021
    - RA Holger Fischer & Frau Bauer & Wolfgang Wodarg (Verfasser "Musterschreiben Pflegeheim" & rechtl. Betreuerin seit 2018 & Internist, Pneumologe, Sozialmediziner)
    - Journalists Ernst Wolf & Norbert Häring (Schwerpunkt globales Wirtschafts- und Finanzssystem & Autor "Schönes neues Geld")
    - Soziologin Dr Yana Milev (Forscht zu gesellsch, Ausnahmezuständen)
35. Lex et salutem - The Blind Spot (4:20:23) 15 Jan 2021
    - Prof Dr med Jörg Spitz (Autor Buch „Vitamin D - Das Sonnenhormon für unsere Gesundheit und der Schlüessel zur Prävention")
    - Prof Martin Schwab & Ed Dellian & Herbert Ludwig (Rechtswissenschaftler & Rechtswissenschaftler & ehem. Rechtspfleger und Lehrer)
    - Uwe Gröber, Dr Peter Lauda, Dr Wolfgang Wodarg (Apotheker & spezialisiert auf die Bedeutung von Mikronährstoffen & Internist, Pneumologe, Sozialmediziner)
36. Is it Devil Stuff? mRNA Technology in Sheep's Clothing (4:59:33) 15 Jan 2021
    - **Prof Dolores Cahill (Professorin der Translationalen Forschung) 56:59 (Eng starts at 7:05)**
    - Prof. Dr. Martin Schwab & Marcel Templin & Dr Renate Holzeisen (Rechtswissenschaftler & Rechtswanwalt & Rechtsanwältin, Wirtschaftsprüferin)
    - Dr Dieter Langer & Dr Georg Lind (ehemaliger Amsarzt & Moral- und Demokratiepsychologe Israelischer Rechtsanwalt und Buchautor)
      - Georg Lind (2019): *How to Teach Moral Competence - Chapter 4: Making moral competence visible* Berlin: Logos
    - **Tamir Turgal (Israelischer Rechtsanwalt und Buchautor) 37:53**
    - Prof Max Otte (Ökonom und Fondsmanager)
37. The Settlement: Legal System and mRNA Technology (6:37:21) 29 Jan 2021
    - Dr Reiner Fuellmich (Rechtsanwalt)
    - Prof Martin Schwab, Marcel Templin (Rechtswissenschaftler, Rechtsanwalt)
    - Nina Proll & Dr Renate Holzeisen (Schauspielerin, Sängerin, Tänzerin & Rechtsanwältin, Wirtschaftsprüferin)
    - Dr Matthais Burchhardt, Dr Wolfgang Wodarg (Bildungsphilosoph und Anthropologe, Internist, Pneumologe, Sozialmediziner)
    - Dr Vanessa Schmidt-Krüger & Dr Renate Holzeisen & Dr Wolfgang Wodarg (Forscht zu kardiovaskulären Erkrankugen & Rechtsanwältin, Wirtschaftsprüferin & Internist, Pneumologe, Sozialmediziner)
38. Attack on People and Society (5:30:08) 5 Feb 2021
    - Prof Ulrike Kämmerer & Dr Wolfgang Wodarg (Humanbiologin, Immunologin, Zellbiologin & Internist, Pneumologe, Sozialmediziner)
      - The authorised transcript of Prof Kämmerer's discussion herein of the unusual genesis of the article in the journal *Eurosurveillance* that was written by the Drosten Group is in pages 71-80 of *The PCR Disaster, Genesis and Evolution of the ››Drosten Test‹‹* (Verlag Thomas Kubo, Feb 2021)
      - Corman-Drosten Review Report, Curated by an International Consortium of Scientists in Life Sciences (ICSLS) [Nov 2020 - Jan 2021]
    - Gunnar Kaiser, Wolfgang Wodarg (Philosoph und Schriftsteller)
    - Markus Fiedler (Wikipedia-Spezialist und Biologe)
    - **Catherine Austin Fitts, Wolfgang Wodarg (Präsidentin der Anlageberatung Solaris Inc.) single: 2:16:53; two halves: pt 1: 1:09:21, pt 2: 1:07:39**
39. The Global Context and the Press: Russia, Sweden, Italy etc. (5:47:55) 12 Feb 2021
    - Marius Krämer (Ingenieur und Big-Data Analyst)
    - Thomas Röper (Osteuropa-Experte und Medienkritiker)
    - Prof Björn Hammerskjöld (Biochemiker und Pädiatrieprofessor (Akademie von Strömstad))
    - Dr Angelo Giorgiani & Dr Renate Holzeisen (ital. Richter und früherer Mafia-Jäger & Rechtsanwältin, Wirtschaftsprüferin)
    - **Anna Palmu (ehem. leitende RA von Nokia) 44:27 (Eng begins at 1:06)**
40. The Great Recall (4:48:13) 17 Feb 2021
    - **Dr Wolfgang Wodarg's Summary of the Corona Crisis with English subtitles 1:24:46**
    - Prof Dr Martin Schwab (Rechtswissenschaftler) 1:31:45
    - Tobias Ulbrich, Dr Matthais Burchardt (Verbraucherschutzanwalt, Bildungsphilosoph und Anthropologe) 1:22:48
    - Thomas A Pallushek (Leiter German International Academy in Chennai) 23:57
41. Troy Everywhere (4:45:48) 26 Feb 2021
    - Prof. Martin Schwab (Rechtswissenschaftler)
    - Dr Silvia Behrendt (Pandemie und WHO-Rechtsexpertin)
    - **Dr Astrid Stückelberger (Intern. Gesundheitswissenschaftlerin) 48:20**
    - Vera Lengsfeld (Publizistin un Politikerin)
    - Ad-hoc 6: Vaccination Deaths in a Berlin Care Home - Feb 8th, 2021
42. The Systemic Crisis (6:46:16) 5 Mar 2021
    - André Reiser (Unternehmensberater)
    - Wilfried Schmitz (Rechtsanwalt/Attorney at Law)
    - Hr. Biermann Übersetzter / Translater: Luis de Miguel Ortega, Dr Angel Ruiz Valdepenas, Juan Manuel Ramos Mateo (Verbraucherrechtsanwalt, Arzt, Gründer & Präsident: "Policías por la Libertad") **Dr Valdepenas: 48:29**
    - Prof Dr Michael Esfeld (Wissenschaftsphilosoph)
    - Dr Wolfgang Wodarg (Internist, Pneumologe, Sozialmediziner)
    - **Prof Francis A. Boyle (Menschenrechtsanwalt) 1:16:34**
43. Again, Fascism and Back (7:02:56) 12 Mar 2021
    - **Ilana Rachel Daniel (Gesundheitsberaterin/Politikerin) 1:03:29**
    - Georg Lind (Psychologe mit Schwerpunkt Moralentwicklung)
    - Walter van Rossum & Dr Wolfgang Wodarg (Buchautor und Autor für WDR, Df, FAZ und mehr & Internist, Pneumologe, Sozialmediziner)
    - Ricky Matyschiok (Kioskbesitzer aus Sommerda)
    - Robert Cibis, Dirk Pohlmann (Mitbegründer von Oval Media, Autor und Dokumentalfilmer)
    - **Naomi Wolf (Schriftstellerin und Aktivistin) 1:26:15**
    - informant's remarks towards end (from about hour) of stream
44. The Roots of Evil (4:47:29) 19 Mar 2021
    - Prof. Martin Schwab (Rechtswissenschaftler)
    - Hans-Christian Prestin (Familienrichter a.D.)
    - **Dr Mike Yeadon (ehem. Vizepräsident Pfizer, Repiratory Research) 55:27**
    - **Vera Sharav (Gründerin: Alliance for Human Research Protection) 1:12:09**
    - Hendrik Pötzschke (Fachinformatiker)
45. Can Arithmetic Be a Sin? (4:18:12) 26 Mar 2021
    - Dr Rüdiger Pötsch (Arzt und ehem. Vorsitzender Kassenärztliche Vereinigung)
    - Prof Stephan Luckhaus & Dr Wolfgang Wodarg (Mathematiker, Physiker, Leopoldina-Kritiker & Internist, Pneumologe, Sozialmediziner)
    - Prof Succharit Bhakdi (Facharzt für Infektionsepidemiologie und Mikrobiologie)
    - Dr Katy Pracher-Hilander (Psychologin/Managementberaterin)
46. Fear is Curable (5:12:49) 2 Apr 2021
    - Prof Martin Schwab (Rechtswissenschaftler)
    - Prof Schubert
    - Harold Walach (Klinischer Psychologe, Philosoph und Wissenschaftshistoriker)
    - Dr Katy Pracher-Hilander (Psychologin/Managementberaterin)
    - Wolfgang Maly (Diploma in Life, Consulting Diploma in Nursing, Qualified hypnotherapist, Psycho-oncological consultant)
47. Unpacking, Tackling (5:34:34) 9 Apr 2021
    - Prof. Martin Schwab (Rechtswissenschaftler)
    - Rabbi Chananya Weissman (Orthodoxer Rabbi und Buchautor)
    - Sabine Lehmann (Geschäftsführerin Lehmann GmbH), Axel Turck (Firmenchef EMIL TURCK GmbH & CIE. KG), Helmut Ohlhoff (Geschäftsführer leguano GmbH), Hendrik Pahl (Geschäftsführer Battle-Merchant Wacken GmbH)
48. Of Lionesses and Lions (4:44:03) 16 Apr 2021
    - Hans-Christian Prestien (ehem. Familien- und Jugendrichter)
    - Isabell Flaig (Geschäftsführerin Pflegezentrum Kirchheim GmbH)
    - Dr Wolfgang Wodarg (Internist, Pneumologe, Sozialmediziner)
    - Carola Hüttner (ehem. Lehrerin und Vorsitzende "Kinder für Weltfrieden e.V.")
    - Antonya-Fé Moll (Erzieherin und Kosmetikstudiobetreiberin)
    - Prof Franz Ruppert (Psychotherapeut, Schwerp. Psychotraumatologie)
    - Ulf Steinecke (Dipl. Betriebswirt bei Go 2 Convent GmbH)
    - Christine Bögl (Geschäftsführerin eines Konzeptstores)
    - Tobias Fink (Restaurantbetreiber s'Reiwerle)
49. The Oath of Disclosure (1:52:28) 23 Apr 2021
    - Hans-Christian Prestien (ehem. Familien- und Jugendrichter)
    - Prof Martin Schwab (Rechtswissenschaftler)
    - Antonio Solo (stellv. Vorstand des dieBASIS-Kreisverbandes Soest)
50. Solidarity! (2:47:50) 30 Apr 2021
    - Ken Jebsen (Journalist und Produzent)
    - Dr Gerhard Strate (Rechtnsanwalt und Mitglied Verfassungsrechtsausschuss)
    - Prof Martin Schwab (Rechtswissenschaftler)
    - Thomas A Pallushek (Leiter der dt. Schule Chennai)
51. The Reality Check (6:25:00) 7 May 2021
    - Alkje Fontes (LV dieBasis Sachsen-Anhalt)
    - Godrun Fontest (Landtagskandidatin dieBasis Sachsen-Anhalt)
    - Olaf Kretschmann (Gründer der Initiative Rundfunk-frei)
    - Prof Dietrich Murswiek (Experte für Verfassungsrecht, Verwaltungsrecht und Völkerrecht)
    - Wilfried Schmitz (Rechtsanwalt)
    - Steffi (Mitbegr. Telegramkanal Pflege- und Krankenhauspers. für Aufklärung)
    - Florian (Krankenpfleger auf einer Corona-Isolierstation)
    - Dr Wolfgang Wodarg (Lungenfachartzt)
    - Christiane (Krankenschwester auf einer Intensivstation)
    - Ad-hoc 9 - Lockdowns: Perspektive eines Rettungsassistenten - Informant
52. Carrot and Stick (3:57:10) 14 May 2021
    - Dr Wolfgang Wodarg (Lungenfachartzt)
    - Dr Josef Thoma (HNO-Arzt)
    - Francisca Nicolau (Büroleitung Strafverteidiungskanslei)
    - Eugen Janzen (Kinderarzt)
53. Determine Yourself (5:07:37) 21 May 2021
    - Wilfried Schmitz (Rechtsanwalt)
    - Andreas Von Bühlow † †† (ehem. Verteidigungsminister und Sachbuchautor)
    - Tobias Otto (Historiker mit dem Schwerpunkt Verfassungsgeschichte)
    - Dr med. Hans-Joachim Maaz (Psychiater und Psychoanalytiker)
    - Dr rer. nat. Dietmar Czycholl (Psychologe und Psychologischer Psychotherapeut)
54. Caught Between Nudging and Side Effects (5:30:25) 28 May 2021
    - Dr Wolfgang Wodarg (Lungenfachartzt) 45:43
    - Ramona Klüglein (Impfgeschädigte Astra-Zeneca-Impfstoff) 31:17
    - Bianca Höltje (Grundschulrektorin) 38:30
    - **Brian Gerrish (Public Speaker and Investigatory Journalist) 1:21:20**

      at 0:36:
      Brian Gerrish: There was one word that I picked up that I found very interesting, and that was *wahnsinn* and that came up several times particularly when Bianca was speaking.
      Reiner Fuellmich: You know what that means, right?
      BG: Madness.... Yes and I'm going to say to you it's not madness. What we are facing is calculated and it's a mistake to call it madness because it's very precise, it's very calculated. And we need to understand that in order to be able to deal with what we are facing.
      RF: That's very interesting to hear because we have come to the conclusion that *the other side* as we call them is using two major tools: one is of course psychology, psychological operations and of course the other which transports this psychological operation is the mainstream media.
      Complete annotated transcript: Brian Gerrish's testimony to Reiner Füellmich: Our oppressors are very frightened people, ***UK** Column*, 29 May 2021
    - **Dr Astrid Stückelberger (International Health Expert) 26:41**
    - **Dr Simone Gold (Founder of America's Frontline Doctors) 31:06**
    - **James Corbett - 1:02:48**
55. Investigations (5:09:54) 4 Jun 2021
    - Dr Christina Martín Jiménez, RA Jens Biermann (Buchautorin, Übersetzer)
    - **Dr Jacob Nordangård (Experte für Technologie und sozialen Wandel) 58:40**
    - Dr Wolfgang Wodarg (Internist und Pneumologe)
    - RA Elmar Becker (Anwälte für Aufklärung)
    - Prof Dr Knut Wittkowski (20 Jahre Leiter der Abteilung Epidemiologie, Biostatistik und Forschungsdesign an der Rockefeller Universität in New York)
56. With Hellish Pills (3:40:36) 11 Jun 2021
    - Dr Michael Dykta (Oberarzt der Allgemein-, Viszeral- und Thoraxchirurgie)
    - Prof Arne Burkhardt (Pathologe)
    - **Dr Peter A McCullough (Kardiologe und stellv. Chefarzt, Baylor University Medical Center, Dallas) 57:39**
    - Dr Wolfgang Wodarg (Internist und Pneumologe) involved throughout
    - **Dr Roger Hodkinson - 58:00**
57. Under Pressure (4:33:51) 18 Jun 2021
    - **Jade Kuit & Dr Peter Kuit ((BPOC / Gründer un Vorsitzender des BPOC (NL)) 1:23:29**
      - Dr Kuit is Founder and Chairman of Stichting Buitenparlementaire Onderzoekscommissie 2020 (BPOC 2020), bpoc2020.nl / auto-gen translation: Foundation Extra-Parliamentary Commission of Inquiry 2020
    - Gottfried Forsthuber (Rechtsanwalt und Unsolvenzverwalter)
    - Ralf Tillenburg (Facharzt für Allgemeinmedizin)
    - Dr Martin Hirte (Kinderarzt und Buchautor)
    - **Simone Gold (Gründer "America's Frontline Doctors") 41:18**
    - Final Segment: **Citizens For A Free America (citizensfree.net: original film (15:26 mp3)**, "Benjamin Goodman, 32 Dies Of Cardiac Arrest From The Johnson And Johnson Vaccine...," *Easton Spectator*, 18 Jun 2021)
58. Please Move On, Nothing To See Here (5:09:22) 25 Jun 2021
    - **Sally Beck (Journalist, UK) 1:18:09**
    - Dr Petra Baumgartner (Notärztin)
    - Dr Falk Möri & Dr Michael Günther (Sportwissenschaftler, Schwerpunkl Biomechanik & Physiker, theor. Biomechaniker, Schwerp. Lokomotion und Muskelmodellierung)
    - Gerhard Wisnewski (Buch- und Filmautor)
    - Volker Eyssen (Technikberater und ehem. stellv. Leiter von Schacht Konrad)
59. The Devil Is In The Details (4:38:48) 2 Jul 2021
    - Dr Andreas Diemer (Arzt für Allgemeinmedizin und Naturheilverfahren)
    - **Whitney Webb (Autor & Rechercheur für unlimitedhangout.com) 1:30:49**
    - Prof Sucharit Bhakdi (Bundestagskandidat dieBasis)
    - Prof Harold Walach (Klinischer Psychologe, Philosoph und Wissenschaftshistoriker)
60. Time Is Not A Flat Circle (6:15:49) 9 Jul 2021
    - Wolfgang Effenberger (Politologe und Sachbuchautor)
    - Ulrich Miles (Sozialwissenschaftler und Friedensforscher)
    - **Dr Robert Malone (Virologe, Immunologe, Mikrobiologe) 1:16:00**
    - **Dr Gary Sidley (Klinischer Psychologe) 53:43**
    - **Dr David E Martin (Vorsitzender von M-CAM International) 1:51:08**

      From Richard Rosenthal:
      Documented massive criminal acts against humanity. An absolute must study to know and understand the ugly truth about SARS-CoV-2. Patent expert-supreme David Martin explains:
      - Over the past 2 decades, 6 dozen patents (precise details given) were filed by various parties containing all elements of what has become known as SARS-CoV-2.
      - From 2016 on while gain of function research was flourishing, numerous comments and actions by Fauci, Daszak, Baric, CDC, NIAID, WHO, pharmaceutical companies and others, all relating to getting world acceptance of what was intended to be an enormously profitable new pan corona virus vaccine via "a global experience" (ie, the release of SARS-CoV-2) "that will accustom the public to accepting a vaccine mandate." (WHO language from Sept. 2019).
      - Punchlines from minute 30 on reveal the true origins of SARS Cov2 (not the limited hangout of coming from nature), and what appears to be the altogether reprehensible intent of the purveyors of both the "alleged virus" (which has never actually been isolated or identified) and the experimental toxic S protein injections popularly, but erroniously referred to as "vaccines" even though they do not meet the patentable, legal, or clinical standards of a vaccine (which must prevent infection and not merely generate an immune response).
      - The coronavirus and vaccines have been discussed since 2005 as malleable bio-weapons and tools for population control. Those elements are not covered in detail, but are on the table.
61. We'll Catch You Cause We Can (6:08:33) 16 Jul 2021
    - Dr Thomas Sarnes (Ehem. Chefarzt der Chirurgie)
    - Dr Susanne Hilken (Fachärztin für Neurologie und Psychiatrie)
    - Peter König (Ökonom und geopolitischer Analyst)
    - **Dipali Ojah (Leiter der Rechtsabteilung, Indischen Anwaltskammer) 1:06:14**
      - CATalyst | Ivermectin: Indian Bar Association Serve Legal Notice on WHO's Chief Scientist (54:39) *TrialSiteNews*, 10 Jun 2021
        In the first edition of CATalyst, Shabnam Palesa Mohamed engages Advocate Dipali Ohja (IBA), Dr Pierre Kory (FLCCC), and Dr Tess Lawrie (EBMC) about the Indian Bar Association's decision to issue legal notice on WHO chief scientist, Dr Soumya Swaminathan. The 51 page notice, served 25th of May, claims as its legal basis the WHO's 'disinformation and censorship' on the Ivermectin issue.
      - WHO's Chief Scientist Served with Legal Notice for Disinformation and Suppression of Evidence, 9th, 13th, 18th of June 2021
    - **Virginie de Araujo-Recchia (RA in an der Franz Anwaltskammer) 34:31** (Bio)

      Text prepared and read by Virginie de Araujo-Recchia during her recording.
      - Interview | Me Virginie de Araujo-Recchia : lawyer at the Paris Bar, *France Soir*, 17 Feb 2021
      - Cited in above Interview: Criminal Prosecution against Covid 19 Dictatorship, The French Lawyer Jean-Luc Duhamel has kindly shared the attached document that summarizes all of the alleged facts as well as the main areas of criminal prosecution linked to the covid-related dictatorship measures and other crimes committed by different governments. He has also made a Google translation into all the major European Languages.

      Complete Transcript: de Araujo-Recchia, Manookian & Wentz, Children's Health Defence, Europe
    - **Leslie Manookian & George Wentz (Präsidentin des Health Freedom Defense Fund & RA und Partner in Davillier Law Group, New Orleans) 57:32**
      - Health Freedom Defense Fund Sues Biden Administration Over Mask Mandate, 13 Jul 2021
        Today the Health Freedom Defense Fund and two Florida residents filed a lawsuit asking the United States District Court in Tampa, Florida to strike down the CDC's nationwide mask mandate for travel. "The CDC is not a nationwide police force, and is not empowered to make laws," said Leslie Manookian, Founder and President of Health Freedom Defense Fund. "Nevertheless, with no legal authority to do so, these unelected, unaccountable technocrats have forced every citizen in America to wear a mask when they travel. We believe that Americans have the right to think for themselves and make their own health care choices without the meddling of government. Americans must not be dictated to in this manner by anyone, let alone unelected, unaccountable technocrats at CDC."
62. The Wave (6:16:15) 22 Jul 2021
    - Margin Lejeune (Journalist)
    - Christine Pelz (Krankenschwester A.D.)
    - **Matthew Ehret (Author and Historian) 1:15:52**
      - The Eugenics Roots of the Great Reset: 100 Years to Flatten the Curve
        In this recording Matthew Ehret introduces the roots of the Great Reset Agenda by jumping back in time 100 years and evaluating the growth of eugenics leading into WW2 as a "scientific miracle solution" to saving the world. Areas covered include the role of Anglo-American financiers and industrialists who brought Hitler to power, Franklin Roosevelt's combat with these forces then promoting a "Great Reset" bankers dictatorship as the supposed solution to the great depression, how these financiers and industrialists brought fascism into the world in the first place and then avoided all punishment during the Nuremburg Tribunals while regrouping into a new modus operandi after 1945.
      - Note: The American Frontline Doctors lawsuit referred to in the Tom Renz film clip at the beginning of this is here.
      - For Victory Day: It's Time to Think About Finally Winning WWII, *The Canadian Patriot*, 9 May 2021
      - "*At the moment, it is probable that the indirect effect of civilisation is dysgenic instead of eugenic; and in any case it seems likely that the dead weight of genetic stupidity, physical weakness, mental instability, and disease-proneness, which already exist in the human species, will prove too great a burden for real progress to be achieved. Thus even though it is quite true that any radical eugenic policy will be for many years politically and psychologically impossible, it will be important for Unesco to see that the eugenic problem is examined with the greatest care, and that the public mind is informed of the issues at stake so that much that now is unthinkable may at least become thinkable.*"
        —Julian Huxley, *UNESCO: Its Purpose and Its Philosophy*, 1946, p.21
      - Matthew Ehret is the Editor-in-Chief of the Canadian Patriot Review, a BRI Expert on Tactical Talk, and Senior Fellow at the American University in Moscow. He is author of the *Untold History of Canada* book series, and *Clash of the Two Americas*. In 2019 he co-founded the Montreal-based Rising Tide Foundation to promote humanist education and intercultural dialogue and understanding.
    - **Ilana Rachel Daniel (Author and Political Activist)**
      - *audio of both IRD+VS:* **1:17:26**
    - **Vera Sharav (Founder der "Alliance for Human Research Protection")**
    - **Dr Vladimir Zelenko (Family Physician) 1:21:31**
63. The Eye of the Storm (4:39:02) 30 Jul 2021
    - Martin Lejeune (freier journalist)
    - Dr Robert Kleinstäuber (Facharzt für Anästhesie und Intensivmedizin)
    - Dr Harold Eymer (Zahnarzt mit Schwerpunkt Naturheilwesen)
    - **Dr Bryan Ardis (CEO of ArdisLans.com) 1:25:13**
      - See: Doctor Reveals that Remdesivir Was the Real Cause For Many Alleged COVID-19 Maladies, Edward Hendrie, *Great Mountain Publishing*, 7 Aug 2021
    - **Prof Mattias Desmet (Psychoanalytischer Psychotherapeut University Gent) 1:31:25**
64. Media Criticism Was Yesterday (4:45:03) 6 Aug 2021
    - Prof Michael Meyen (Media Researcher, University of Munich)
    - **Tony Nikolic (Lawyer and Criminologist) 27:08**
      - Referred to in his discussion, letter Tony Nikolic wrote to New South Wales Minister for Health (redacted) and sent to all sitting Members of Parliament (State and Federal); pp 29, 94 endnotes, 136 paragraphs.
    - **Prof Rocco Loiacono (Lecturer in Real Estate Law and Advanced Legal Research, Curtin University) 19:29**
    - **Prof Gigi Foster (Professor of Economics[1][2][3][4], University of Sydney) 14:44**
    - Dr Renate Holzeisen (Lawyer, Auditor, Tax Consultant)
    - Markus Schlöffel (suspended police chief of the Federal Police)

Robert F. Kennedy Jr.
Prof. Sucharit Bhakdi
OVALmedia

Special Sessions

CC-SSRFKjr
CC-SSGRI
CC-SSILOpt1
CC-SSILOpt2

- Conversation with Robert Kennedy Jr. about the Berlin Demonstrations and the Corona Vaccination (49:42) 17 Sep 2020
- The Great Recall - International First International Session of the German Corona Committee (4:05:00) 17 Feb 2021
  - **Transcript: Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. and Mary Holland (54:45)**

  - Gerold Bender, Michael Brunner, Ralf Ludwig, Marcus Heinz, Beate Bahner (48:18)
  - Dr Renate Holzeisen, Dr Angelo Giorgione (1:08:26)
  - **Senta Depuydt (Rechtanwältin, Founder and President of Children's Health Defense Europe) 20:26**
  - Jeroen Pols (Rechtsanwalt/Attorney at Law) 22:21
  - Stephanie _____ & Jens Biermann (Lawyer, member of European Parliament & Rechtsanwalt/Attorney at Law)
  - **Tamir Turgal (Rechtsanwalt/Attorney at Law) 19:14**

- Special Session: International Legal Offensive - Part 1 (4:28:03) 23 Apr 2021
  (Truth Comes to Light - 57 second longer version, filler at beginning, with time stamp links to each speaker)
  (Complete transcript at Covid Truths)

Reiner Fuellmich introduction: "Hello. This is the Berlin Corona Investigative Committee and this kicks off the Special International Session. It is called International Legal Offensive Part 1. There will be a Part 2 because in the mean time we're so many international colleagues that we don't fit into one session. Most of us have been collaborating for awhile. Some of us are new. Today we are going to try and find out if there are any special situations that need special attention in any one of the countries who we're going to talk to. There is, there has been a very close cooperation between us here in Germany, the Italians, the French, the Austrians on the one hand and the Anglo American countries, in particular Canada and the United States. It is going on. It will probably lead to many more class actions complaints. It may even result in a special international corona court. We'll see. We'll see where the current law suits will take us and where the evidence takes us."

† links to separate film of speaker; time-stamp: mp3 audio; Et: English translation by Belina Feldman:

  - Renate Holzeisen — Italy † 26:56
    at **5:46**: **Reiner Fuellmich:** What's surprising about this is that there is no full authorization for these three vaccines. There is only a Conditional Use Authorization. Just like in the United States there's only an Emergency Use Authorization. How can that be? These conditional uses exist only because there are no studies. Because nobody knows about the risks—nobody knows really about the efficacy—no one knows about the risks, adverse reactions. There are lots and lots of reports coming in from all over the world that there are very, very serious side effects, adverse effects, including death. How can that be mandatory then?
    Renate Holzeisen: Yes. It is a fundamental breach of fundamental principles of law and fundamental rights. It's a breach of the Nuremberg Codes. It's obvious. But they go on. They go on until we are able to stop them within the courts. We are ready to take every legal step to stop them and obviously, now, we are trying to inform every Italian member of the Parliament because we are now in the situation that this mandatory vaccination was introduced by an order of the Italian government signed by Mr. Draghi on April the first. Within 60 days, within the end of May, the Italian Parliament has to convert this—or not, we hope they won't do that—but it is forseen that this governmental order is [to] be converted in ordinary law and obviously that has to be done by the Italian Parliament.
    at **24:09**: **Reiner Fuellmich:** It sounds as though a very basic problem—not just in Italy but this seems to be the same all over the world, we'll see—but a very basic problem is the lack of information. And the lack of information exists because the mainstream media are more or less under the control of the same people who control the pharmaceutical and tech industry. So they have no interest in letting people know what is really going on. They have no interest in educating the people about the adverse side effects. That seems to be a major problem not just with the judges but also with the lawyers because if that wasn't the case then I assume many more lawyers would take on these cases. In particular, when you realize that many of these lawyers probably have children of their own who are endangered and they don't know it because they don't have the information.
  - Francis E. Hoar — Great Britain † 19:32
  - Dominic Desjarlais — Canada † 16:16
  - Ana Garner — New Mexico † 15:07
  - Leslie Manookian — US † 30:26
  - Andrea Steindl, Gerold Beneder, Dr Michael Brunner — Austria
  - Miguel Luis Marcelo Iannolfi — Argentina, Lawyer and medical doctor - Et 19:58
  - Gustavo Salle Lorier — Uruguay, Law- and Social Scientist - Et 19:33
  - Natalia Ravanales — Chile - Et 31:25
  - Michael Swinwood — Canada (speaking from Peru) † 35:30
    Complete transcript
    at **4:08:46**: **Michael Swinwood:** Although it might be different in different countries, what you're seeing is an agenda that's the same and you're seeing where they think that they can get away with more stuff, they do it. So like in Canada I say to you that the province of Ontario is complete Jesuit pull; we're under the Jesuit control. People don't know that and if I told them they'd go, Oh well there's something wrong with you. What I'm talking about is I can see the dimunition of the rule of law and the ignoring of the Charter of Rights is the way they're going. They're not going, Oh, yes we've got some problems here, the rule of law is under attack and rights are under attack. No no no no. How many levers are they pulling everywhere in order to bring that off? That becomes the question. How many levers can you pull? I can't put a Canada Border Guard at the front of the plane when you enter the plane. They can. And this is where it is, is that they they've thought about this. Oh take his picture when he's leaving [the country] so that we now have somebody that we can say, that was an unhealthy move on your part. That's what it's become. It's become That's an unhealthy move on your part, we're going to have to take you away. You see what I'm saying. So by increments we're implementing tyranny. That's it. We're implementing tyranny.
    So. Why is there a spiritual component to this then? What is the meaning? What is the essence of the spiritual component? The essence of the spiritual component is, number one, all of us are divine beings having a physical experience. That's the first thing. People don't know, people don't understand that aspect of things because of dogma. That dogma has been put on people to take away the idea of their own divinity and put it in the hands of someone else, between you and spirit. And therefore, that tyranny started with that idea of laying dogma on people and pulling them away from their own relationship to spirit. So what we have to come to grips with as human beings, as individuals, is our *own* connection to spirit. Because everything that you see, everywhere happens because of spirit. It's the breath of the divine that allows everything to grow and breathe and be. So within that, that's a very powerful force. Much more powerful than the tyrannical force of this insane consciousness that is imposed on humanity. It represents the dark force and what we represent in the end is the light force so therefore we have an added reservoir in addition to law and what that added reservoir is is our relationship to spirit that we can connect with every single day.
    and again Dominic Desjarlais with Michael Swinwood.
    at **4:15:02**: **Dominic Desjarlais:** I agree that there's a spiritual aspect to this. I've been saying on different occasions that people have to take their own power back. That has to deal with spirituality so I am totally in line with this. Some people talk about Third World War; I talk about the spiritual war. That's exactly what Michael was saying.
    Reiner Fuellmich: I think we're all in agreement on this. I wouldn't have said this a little more than a year ago but if you look at what's happening it's global insanity. There's no other way to make sense of this than on a different level and that what else can it be but spirituality? The law alone won't won't suffice. Not this time.
    Dominic DesJarlais: I agree that it's a combination of both. Doing our job as lawyers, but also our job as lawyers has to be to educate people and raise people's consciousness and awareness about this whole thing.
    at **4:17:24**: **Michael Swinwood:** Lima sits right at sea level. Where I am is in the Sacred Valley which is up outside of Cusco and we're at 10,000 feet here. It's like being in two different worlds. To be in Lima where all the politicians are and where all the activity is and all the stuff's going on, there's a certain feeling. Then you come here and you're going, There's no problem in the world. There's none. Because there's no television to watch, no radio to listen to so the people here are just, it's just like it was 15 years ago. They're wearing a mask, that's it, as far as they're concerned and they'll take it off whenever they want. The difference where there's no propaganda being thrown at you all the time is incredible. It's just incredible and the consciousness of the people is not so adversely affected. This is the other thing too: when people get up in the morning until they go to bed at night they're constantly being told, Be in fear. That's what they're being told. Be in fear
    Reiner Fuellmich: That's Michael why, one of the psychologists, a professor of psychology who we interviewed—we had one session that dealt with, How can we overcome this fear? I mean not me and not Viviana and the others because we know what's going on but how can how can the people overcome this fear? One of the most important pieces of advice he gave is just cut yourself off from this constant onslaught of propaganda. Just don't listen to the radio, just don't watch tv anymore. I haven't been watching any television and I haven't been listening to the radio for at least months now and it had a profound effect. Because I don't care about what they're saying and what's going on because I know there just lies and there's nothing really going on. But all these people who are constantly under under this onslaught of fake and panic propaganda, I understand. I can sympathize with your friend in Ontario but I still don't understand how someone who is trained to look at the real facts can disregard this. Incredible.
    at **4:21:49**: **Reiner Fuellmich:** There's a former judge who is advising us and who has been advising us for a while. He's a retired judge. He and his wife are child activists. Very smart man, very experienced. And we all of us are beginning to realize that this is about the children. It is about institutionalized child abuse, probably much worse, because we're headed in a direction that is disastrous. He wanted to be in touch with all of us. So I do think Michael that with us as lawyers, Dominique and you and many of the others out there. Anna Garner is one of them who we both spoke with today, this group of lawyers plus this group of experts that we have; yes, we are a very powerful group of people and we can even make it to the International Human Rights Court or the International Criminal Court.

- Special Session: International Legal Offensive - Part 2 (2:57:15) 30 Apr 2021
  (Truth Comes to Light - 121 second longer version, filler at beginning, with time stamps)

time-stamp: mp3 audio; Et: English translation :

  - Antonios Papantoniou — Greece 24:01 Et
  - Nikolaos Karavelos — Greece 16:47 Et
  - Jean-Pierre Joseph — France 16:29
  - Pastor Dr John Moselele — South Africa 25:06
  - Uriel Cohen — Israel 07:46
  - Rotem Browm and with Uriel Cohen — Israel 19:13
  - Tamir Turgal — Israel 17:49
  - Tom Renz — US 18:06
  - Werner Gertz — Economic correspondent, Namibia 18:10
  - Pastor Dr John Moselele — South Africa 08:35
  - King Lemuel Greatness — South Africa

Covid-19 False Claims

The dominant narrative of what occurred in 2019-into-2020 is based on a series of false claims laid out in a cogent 15 December 2020 analysis by Dr Fuellmich: Cease & Desist Order to Prof. Dr. Christian Drosten, Creator of SARS-CoV-2 PCR test. It was Professor Drosten who invented a prototype Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) test to purportedly detect live-and-infectious SARS-CoV-2 virus which became the model for the worldwide PCR test. The five lockdown fallacies detailed in this Order are:

1. No basic immunity
2. Symptomless risk of infection
3. PCR-based diagnostics
4. The menace of overload of the healthcare systems
5. Restriction on freedom can be beneficial



Dr. Reiner Fuellmich Prof. Dr. Christian Drosten

As stated in the last paragraph of this Order: "Please note that with every day that you maintain your deliberately false risk assessment of COVID-19, you are only making matters worse - for countless people in this country, but also for yourself. We will make this letter available to all colleagues who are willing to represent clients who have suffered harm as a result of the Corona measures. If you do not comply with our above request, a lawsuit will become unavoidable. In the course of this lawsuit, the whole truth about the lockdown will become the subject of a judicial hearing."

This Cease and Desist Order lays the ground for initiating legal accountability and recourse. As Reiner Fuellmich said on 12 Dec 2020, "Tomorrow we're meeting with a large group of lawyers and we're going to decide who's going to do what. Some of us are going to go to administrative law courts, some of us are going to work with the tort law cases – which is my specialty – and others are going to do criminal law."

Orwellian Censorship Writ Large

A fundamental challenge in all this is overcoming the profoundly Orwellian censorship through media collusion with the pharmaceutical and information technology industries. When Fuellmich was asked on 21 Dec, "what about media? Do you get a lot of media attention in Germany?" he responded:

> No, no. We have the same problem all over the world. The same people who invested their money in the pharmaceutical and tech industry invested much, much, much money into the mainstream media to get them under control because they needed them to push this pandemic, to push the panic that they needed for the people not to ask any questions, but to simply go ahead and do as they're told, So we're not getting any coverage. And if we do, it's always negative. We're always Nazis, antisemites, tinfoil, hats, all that stuff that is probably the same thing all over the world. However, when I rode up—I just got back from court—when I took a taxi cab from a train station to my law firm, the taxi driver—actually the taxi drivers are really smart. They seem to understand everything that all these scientists don't seem to understand. And he said, You know, whenever, we read something about you or someone else who is depicted as a right-wing conspiracy theorist, we realize there's something wrong. Because if you really were, they didn't have to take so much that they would let—they would hear you. They would give you a chance to explain your side of the story. And since they don't, we realize there's something wrong. So this is not quite working the way that they're hoping it would work.

The Corona Committee meeting live broadcast on 22 Jan 2021 illustrates an aspect of the work engaged. In Sitzung/Session 36. Ist es win Teufelszeug? mRNA - Technologie im Schafspelz/Is it devil stuff? mRNA in sheep's clothing, discussion begins with Professor Dolores Cahill at 7:05 speaking to adverse reactions to the vaccine. At 3:40:09 Reiner Fuellmich introduces Tamir Turgal, a lawyer specializing in medical law who also works in high tech, who has come to Berlin from his home in Israel: "We're going to have a professor of economics who is going to explain to us how the economy, worldwide, is heading toward a gigantic crash. We've been talking about some legal issues. You've understood the medical issues of Dolores Cahill, what she talked about. This is about how we are lacking moral competence and that is the basic problem why so many people are getting fooled. Because they haven't learned over the last 20 or 30 years, they haven't learned to use their brains to think about things and to discuss matters."...

At 3:42:42 Tamir Turgal speaks to an underlying dynamic of this crisis:

> When you instill fear and after fear becomes also hatred in people's minds, then we as humans, the way I see and the way we see the whole past year, is changing the way that people can think critically and analyze the situation. Unfortunately, it seems that history is repeating itself, time and again, and we can see lack of education but also using very vicious tactics to pull people wherever the regime, or the so-called governments, wants to take them. You spoke about the mainstream media. I'm not sure there is a media anymore, mainstream media. I think the real media is what we are doing here, it's the open media....
>
> At 3:45:36:
> Instead of being what we are calling the fourth pillar of democracy—critical channels for information that ask the right questions, bring different opinions to the table—we see what I call the propaganda. Because we see—and definitely in Israel because I can speak about Israel more than any other place in the world because I'm connected to what's going on over there—obviously, there is an agenda and that agenda is being pushed over the population and unfortunately with a lot of success in the state of Israel.
>
> And I would say it is symbolic that I am here in Germany getting help of people like you guys, and all over the world, that are giving different information and having a different conversation, when in Israel, which is supposed to be I think the leader—because of our history, of freedom of speech and critical thinking—it became, I feel, and I represent *many* people in Israel right now, that feels that the country, in terms of consciousness, has been hijacked. And that people are being fooled and maneuvered to believe that they are leading the world, people are so happy with the vaccination. They're almost there, getting out of this Corona thing. It's just around the corner. And this is the lie that they are perpetuating to them and many people are buying it.
> [See The uncovering of the vaccination data in Israel reveals a frightening picture, by Aix-Marseille University Faculty of Medicine Emerging Infectious and Tropical Diseases Unit's Dr. Hervé Seligmann and engineer Haim Yativ, *Nakim* discussion forum, 15 Feb 2021 (updated 5 Mar); reported in reprint from *Health Impact News* on Death Rates Skyrocket in Israel Following Pfizer Experimental COVID "Vaccines", *Lew Rockwell.com*.]
>
> It's very sad that this has happened in this country when they don't know that many other countries are looking at Israel and saying, What? How come in France, 60 million people, almost nobody is rushing for vaccination. Same goes in Germany. Same goes in other countries.... I have a lot of optimism that what's going on in Europe—in the States its a big question mark—but in Europe will be the first to be the game changer for where we're heading forward and I believe it's not getting our life back. We should go to a much better place than where we were before. Because where we were before, that was the ground to where we are now.



It's not getting our life back.
We should go to a much better place than where we were before.

As inconceivable as this would have been to consider a year ago, the following is indicative of what we are dealing with today in terms of the expanding totalitarian consequences of corporate state media collusion. On 17 February, Thomas Renz, the lead attorney for the lawsuits being mounted by Make Americans Free Again, testified before the Ohio House State and Local Government Committee in support of House Bill 90, which would establish legislative oversight over the Governor's health orders. The next day, YouTube, which is owned by Google, which is owned by Alphabet, censored Mr. Renz's testimony by removing it from their platform and attempting to justify their actions by writing, "Our team has reviewed your content, and, unfortunately, we think it violates our medical misinformation policy." The operative phrase here is "we think". On whose behalf are the owners of the Alphabet-Google-Youtube media cartel speaking and acting for? (The film is available here.)


CENSORED
The censored COVID-19 truth Google & YouTube won't let you to see
Feb. 17, 2021 Ohio Stands Up! Attorney Tom Renz testifies before Ohio Legislature

Such corporate state censorship is heretofore unprecedented. Think of it: that testimony before the Legislative Branch of a State Government—a process of democratic governance conducted in public in the hallowed halls of this Constitutional Republic—is quashed by private power. It is unspeakable, unacceptable, and intolerable that such autocratic power is by the day destroying Constitutional freedoms of speech, of thought, of communication, of governance of, by, and for the people. Did the women and men who fought and died in World War II to preserve this union die in vain? More than 40 years ago in *Four Arguments For The ELIMINATION Of Television* (1977), Jerry Mander laid out Eight Ideal Conditions for the Flowering of Autocracy. Tragically evermore relevant today, study this brief list. Consider the summaries of the eight conditions. How far has our society advanced down the road of autocratic rule?

1. *Eliminate personal knowledge.*
2. *Eliminate points of comparison.*
3. *Separate people from each other.*
4. *Unify experience, especially encouraging mental experience at the expense of sensory experience.*
5. *Occupy the mind.*
6. *Encourage drug use.*
7. *Centralize knowledge and information.*
8. *Redefine happiness and the meaning of life in terms of new and increasingly unrooted philosophy.*

Additional Background

- Corona Committee Special Session: The Great Recall International, 17 Feb 2021
  Annotated transcript of the first 56+ minutes of this 4 hour meeting with Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. covering developing serious consequences of injuries and deaths from Covid vaccinations and the pervasive, destructive censorship against criticism of global lockdowns.
- *France Soir* 15 Dec 2020 interview: Debriefing Reiner Fuellmich: "cette pandémie a été mise en sène" / "this pandemic has been staged" (video, mp3, 43:03) covers 3 central questions:
  1. How dangerous is this?
  2. How much damage do the anti-corona measures do?
  3. What about the PCR test?

  Rough translation of summary: "After a general presentation and his analysis of the pandemic, he tells us about the actions he is taking, the legal and judicial situation in Germany where the system is locally under the influence of certain companies. He also comes back to international cooperation, which is a crucial dimension in addressing the problems of the pandemic. For him, the RT-PCR tests and the much decried Dr. Drosten represent a fundamentally flawed element that has led governments to make devastating containment decisions."
  Excerpts of interview on Truth Comes to Light
- Defamation lawsuit on behalf of plaintiff Dr. Wolfgang Wodarg. Dr. Fuellmich filled on behalf of Dr. Wodarg who has been censored and called a liar by 'fact checkers' of media and social media outlets. Fuellmich: "Therefore we are suing for defamation in order to force those 'fact checkers' to present their evidence as to why these statements that he has made about PCR tests are untrue." The burden of proof lies with the fact checkers. They must show evidence for why they claim Dr. Wodarg's statements are false." (video, mp3 8:10) 24 Nov 2020
  German Lawsuit filing on behalf of Dr Wodarg (pp. 54)
- German Lawyer Sues The World Over Coronavirus, Patrick Bet-David interview with Reiner Fuellmich (video, mp3, 54:31) 13 Nov 2020
- Planet Lockdown Film interview: Reiner Fuellmich Interview: Lawsuits Challenging Covid-19 False Claims, circa Nov 2020
- Corona Committee Special Session with Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. on ubiquitous Pharma influence and corona vaccine (49:42) 11 Sep 2020

Critical Analysis

- Virologist Dr. Lanka press charges against Christian Drosten, *NEOPresse*, 25 Jun 2020
- Review report Corman-Drosten et al. Eurosurveillance 2020, 27 Nov 2020
  External peer review of the RTPCR test to detect SARS-CoV-2 reveals 10 major scientific flaws at the molecular and methodological level: consequences for false positive results.
  - Drosten-paper under fire, *2020 News*, 10 Dec 2020
  - "The Golden Boy," *2020 News*, 6 Jan 2021
    Is there possibly more than humanistic philanthropy behind Mr. Drosten's steam chatter? Reprint of a strangely topical article by Erik R. Fisch and Jens Wernicke/Rubikon of July 27, 2020 on the entanglements between the PCR test manufacturer TIB Molbiol and Prof. Drosten.
- Coronavirus Scandal Breaking in Merkel's Germany, F. William Engdahl, *New Eastern Outlook*, 10 Dec 2020
- ***The PCR Disaster, Genesis and Evolution of the ››Drosten Test‹‹*, IIIa, *Verlag Thomas Kubo*, Feb 2021 (pp. 103)**
- Covid 19 and the PCR test – No Pandemic, Only Junk Data!, Gavin Phillips, *Irish Sentinel*, 30 May 2021
- 07/21/2021: Lab Alert: Changes to CDC RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2 Testing, Tessa Lena, *Tessa Fights Robots*, 24 Jul 2021

Recent Developments / Recordings

  - Fuellmich: "This vaccine is about control", 9 Jun 2021
  - Brian Gerrish: "Our oppressors are very frightened people", 29 May 2021
  - Fuellmich: On The Global Covid Response: "It's Not About Health", 27 May 2021
  - Fuellmich: "This is worse than what happened in the Third Reich", 22 May 2021
  - 1,000 Lawyers and 10,000 Doctors Have Filed a Lawsuit for Violations of the Nuremberg Code, 9 May 2021
  - Fuellmich: "This Infectious Disease Theater" and the People Behind It, 19 Apr 2021
  - Reiner Fuellmich on suing the WHO, 1 Apr 2021
  - The greatest Nuremberg of all time is on its way, 21 Feb 2021
  - Berlin nursing home Whistleblower: the terrible dying after vaccination, 14 Feb 2021
  - Corona update and founding of "Team Freiheit" party, 27 Jan 2021
  - TeamFreiheit.net, Jan 2021
  - Fuellmich Newsletter: Money Talks VI and the worldwide lawsuits, 6 Jan 2021

- "This vaccine is about control" - Reiner Fuellmich, Jerm Warfare (video, 39:57 mp3), 9 Jun 2021
- Complete annotated transcript by Brian Gerrish of his testimony: Brian Gerrish's testimony to Reiner Füellmich: Our oppressors are very frightened people, ***UK** Column*, 29 May 2021
- Dr Reiner Fuellmich On The Global Covid Response: "It's Not About Health", *Voices For Freedom*, (47:12 mp3) 27 May 2021
  Claire Deeks from Voices For Freedom in New Zealand chats with lawyer Dr Reiner Fuellmich on the global Covid Response and how it's never ever been about health.
- Dr Reiner Fuellmich: "This is worse than what happened in the Third Reich." (1:08:33, mp3) James Delingpole, *The Delingpod*, 22 May 2021
  James talks to German-American lawyer Dr Reiner Fuellmich about Nuremberg 2 and why those involved in the Coronavirus scare should be tried for crimes against humanity.
  43:28
  Reiner Fuellmich: The most important thing to remember is that the most important result of the so-called Nuremberg Trials—that was going after the main war criminals but also after the doctors—the most important result is the idea, the notion, of informed consent. Because what happened during the Third Reich, all these medical experiments with Dr Mengele, people wanted this to never ever happen again. So this is informed consent.
  Informed consent means that a medical intervention is only legal if the patient consents and the consent of the patient is only valid if he's fully informed before the medical intervention happens. In this particular case this means that all the people who got these shots should have been informed about 1) that this is not a vaccine but rather an experimental gene therapy because this has never ever ever been done before and [2)] that there's no medical study underlying this. Normally it takes between eight and ten years, sometimes even longer, to develop a new drug or a new vaccine and here they claim that within a year or so they came up with these three or four major—five I think in the meantime if you include the Russian one—vaccines.
  Now what you usually do in medical studies is first you have this pre-clinical study which is toxicology, etc. Then you have animal experiments and then you go into phases one, two, and three of the human studies. And that's what's going on right now. There's no preclinical trials, I don't think, at least we know there's no toxicology. No animal testing was done. What we're seeing right now is human trials but they're not really trials. This is an experiment because the people who are participating don't know that this is an experiment, they have not been informed about this and therefore they were not able, not capable of actually giving valid consent to what's happening. They're the guinea pigs right now.
  James Delingpole: How much weight—is it the Nuremberg Code or the Nuremberg Convention?— I forget.
  RF: The Nuremberg Code, The Helsinki Code.
  JD: Because for example, my government is currently conducting a propaganda campaign designed to vilify and bully people who are skeptical about the vaccine and we are being marginalized. We are being scapegoated as being the reason why the country can't reopen and why people can't go on holiday. So they're turning against us. We're having a yellow star put on us if you like. But, so here we have a government which—and they're talking about vaccinating children. Are you telling me that all this is against the Nuremberg Code and in which case how much of a case is there against my government?
  47:06
  RF: All of the people who are taking part in this, including the doctors who give you the shots, including the people who order this, including the people who profit from this, including of course the government that pushes its population to get vaccinated—they're all responsible. These are true Crimes Against Humanity. That's why we believe that in the end, in order to clean this mess up, we will probably need something that is supranational, maybe an International Corona Court. I do have an idea on which continent that could be set up. I can't tell you right now but in two or three weeks we will be able to come up to tell you about it.
  But I do think that this is ***so grave***. This is worse than what happened in the Third Reich. Because this time it concerns everyone on this planet, everyone. There's probably some people out there in the middle of nowhere, maybe some aborigine who's not concerned with this. But almost everyone else on this planet suffers damages because of this. Either through the vaccinations or because their businesses are destroyed or because they have health problems because of the mask mandates or psychological problems because of all the social distancing or because their loved one died, isolated without anyone giving him any comfort. That's—I mean, if you look at that in particular, that's what really, *really* shocked me.
  That's when I decided we cannot sit by and wait for this to unfold we're going to have to step in and stop it as quickly as possible. We know we can't save everyone but we're going to have to do something. Because those people who are responsible are completely without any empathy. They are extremely dangerous. The only thing they care about is money and power. But we're going to get them.
  JD: I'm very happy you're saying that. I hope you're successful. Just when we're talking about the vaccines being—sorry the experimental gene therapy—being a form of population control, what level of knowledge do you think the pharma companies have? I mean are they putting stuff in the vaccine that actually kills you? Are they just being kind of cavalier by rushing through something which should have taken 10 years to process or what? I mean, are we talking about ADE reactions? Are we talking—what's the plan with the vaccine if it is a kind of population control method?
  RF: We are absolutely certain that the vaccine makers know exactly what they're doing. They know that this is an experimental gene therapy. They know that the people who get the vaccines, the shots are not given any real information about what's really going on. And they also know that this is extremely dangerous.
  The two things that you test for during medical trials is 1) efficacy, is it effective? and 2) are there any side effects, any adverse effects? Because there may be a drug that is effective in fighting, I don't know, the common flu, but it is may have the side effect of giving you a heart attack. So that would certainly in the end lead to this drug not to ever be admitted.
  Now there's a lot of debate about, Are these vaccines effective? The vaccine makers like BioNTech Pfizer, they're claiming that their vaccine is 95% effective. Others, like Peter Doshi, say 19% at the most. Others are saying 0.85% at the most. The other thing that these medical trials should have looked into is What about the adverse effects? What about the side effects? We know *nothing* about that—*absolutely nothing*.
  What we do know is this mRNA injection, that's BioNTecH Pfizer and Moderna, there were animal tests conducted in 2005 and 2012 with different vaccines. And the net result of this, to make a very long story very short, is that all of the animals, the ferrets and the cats, died. Now, maybe that's the reason that why they didn't conduct any animal testing this time. What many of the experts like Professor Bhakti, Dolores Cahill, Mike Yeadon, and many many others are afraid is going to happen is that when the people who got the shots finally run into what they call the wild virus, meaning in the fall or the winter or next year in the spring, there's going to be a flu wave or a cold or something, there's three major problems that they expect.
  One is, as we can see from the examples that I just mentioned, the animal testing that happened in 2005 and 2012, that there's going to be I guess they call this ADE, Antibody Dependent Enhancement, meaning that the actual virus is going to be deadly while in other cases if they wouldn't have gotten vaccinated their immune system would have been able to take care of this.
  Another problem is—and I'm a lawyer, I'm just repeating what I think I understood from what they told us. If I were to argue this in court I would be better prepared and I would be able to have the charts, etc, with me. But the other problem is thrombosis, blood clotting. It's happening already. I just spoke to a colleague of yours, her name is Sally Beck. She explained about how this is happening in Great Britain, as well it's happening here in Germany. A lot of people who are dying of blood clots that go all the way up into their brains.
  Then there's ADE as I just mentioned and then there's another problem that's called autoimmune responses. Because after you get injected with this experimental drug your body produces the spike protein. Your body is supposed to fight, your immune system is to supposed to fight this spike protein when when it attacks you. But what if your body produces the spike protein itself? This is what many experts are afraid of, then it might happen that your body is going to attack itself.
  So there's a number of things that may go wrong. Maybe more things that I haven't even considered or I haven't understood fully. But it is very clear that the danger by far outweighs the risk of the actual disease.
  Consider that the WHO and Professor John Ioannidis say this is no more dangerous than the common flu....
  55:54
  JD: You've heard a lot of testimony from a lot of different people and I imagine a lot of it was quite shocking. But is there anything that stands out for you as particularly damning of what's going on right now?
  RF: What was the most surprising thing is that when Dr Wodarg and I, we rode the subway in Berlin and we were talking about something completely different and then he mentioned in passing, 'Well you're aware of the fact that the Drosten PCR Test can't tell you anything about infections.' I said, 'What? Are you sure?' He says, 'Yeah, everybody knows this.' I said, 'No, I didn't know it.' And I had been trying to look into this. That's how how this all got started. That's how he then said you've got to talk to Professor Camera, you've got to talk to Dolores Cahill, you've got to talk to Professor Capel and many many others and Mike Yeadon of course. That's how it all started.
  So that was the most important and shocking experience that I had: that this, which is the basis for everything, is a complete fraud, a complete fraud.
  1:04:45
  JD: You clearly are concerned about what's going on. It's not normal is it? It's not a—this is bad.
  RF: No, this is insanity. This is, clearly insanity. People on the other side must be clinically, in a clinical sense, insane. Either they suffer from—they think they're omnipotent—they suffer from megalomania. But it's much, much, much, much worse than that because they're willing to kill.
  JD: When can we expect to see some results or something starting to happen?
  RF: We've seen some results already but it's a very tough battle. As far as the PCR tests are concerned, we now have three major decisions. The first one was last year by a Portuguese court. The second one was at the beginning of this year by a court in Austria. And the third one was by a German court, a family court. This is the first one that is based on the actual hearing of evidence because the judge who wrote the opinion heard three experts and their testimony is completely included in his opinion.
  Now that's why I'm saying it's a tough battle because right after his decision was published and it was hailed and celebrated all over the world because the underlying facts of what's going on are the same everywhere. It's always the Drosten test. That is the basis for all of these anti-Corona measures. So a short time later his house, his home and his car were searched and his cell phone and his computer were seized. Now he's gotten that back because he has a very, very good criminal defense attorney on his side. But it tells you what's going on.
  [**See Also:** Erfurt's Public Prosecutor's Office Does Not Even Come to a Halt for Bundestag Candidates: Eight Simultaneous House Searches on Account of Sensationalist Court Ruling, *2020 News*, 2 Jul 2021]
  The other side is, this is their weak spot. This is their Achilles heel, the PCR tests. If we destroy the PCR tests, then that's it. No more infections. The whole house of cards is going to collapse.
  So it's a tough fight. That's even—it's always like two steps forward and two-and-a-half-steps back. But we're making, we're still making progress. And as I said, in two or three weeks a couple of major things are gonna happen on three different continents.
- Attorney Dr. Reiner Fuellmich on "This Infectious Disease Theater" and the People Behind It (1:08:36, mp3) 1,000 Lawyers and 10,000 Doctors Have Filed a Lawsuit for Violations of the Nuremberg Code, Soren Dreier, 2021 (Auto-translated from Swedish)
- Attorney Dr. Reiner Fuellmich on "This Infectious Disease Theater" and the People Behind It (1:08:36, mp3) interview conducted by Stéphane Blais, president of the Foundation for the Defense of the People's Rights and Freedoms accompanied by attorney Dominic Desjarlais (FB, Twt) — broadcast on Courage Québec / Fearless Canada / FDDLP on 19 Apr 2021
  - Exclusive 60-minute interview with German lawyer Reiner Fuellmich broadcast simultaneously by some twenty influencers in Quebec, English Canada and the United States, *PPN Source*, Québec, 18 April 2021
  - excerpted transcript at Truth Comes To Light including at:
    08:22:
    Reiner Fuellmich: The first question—How dangerous is this virus?—is very easily answered in the meantime because even the WHO, in accordance with the findings of one of the most quoted scientists in the world, Professor John Ioannidis of Stanford University, they have come to the conclusion that this virus is no more dangerous than the common flu, with an infection fatality rate of .14%—I think that's what the WHO says. Or, this is very recent from John Ioannidis, .15%. Now, of course, once you get to this point, once you get to this answer, the first question is: What is this all about? If this is a virus, just like the common flu, what's happening here? Is this really about health or is there something else going on? And the something else is really important because the second question was How dangerous or how much damage do the anti-corona measures do? It's so obvious that this is, as one German cleric pointed out on television, this is like World War III, except there's no open fighting going on. Yet.
    16:28:
    There are a lot of lawyers out there who are working on this problem but they have not been connected. And that's what I try to do. I try to put everyone on the same page so that we would all agree that it is important to attack the very foundation of this pandemic: the PCR test....
    The best way to tackle this problem—whatever it is, virus—is to just let it run its course. Just like with the common flu. Some of the states in the United States, including Texas and Florida, have opened up again. And what do you see? Nothing! Everything is cool. We have decided, rather than getting lost in discussions about whether or not lockdowns make sense or mask mandates make sense—they don't, we know that—from very recent expert opinions that were published by the Family Law court of Weimar a couple of days ago—but we've known this all along. Rather than getting lost, in my opinion, rather fruitless discussions, we need to attack at the very foundation. And that's the PCR test. And again, we need to talk about Mr. Drosten—who is a very strange person. He calls himself a professor and doctor of virology. We know that he has never written what is called a habilitation thesis which you usually do in order to become a professor of something. And it turns out that two scientists who tried to find out who this person really is had to realize that his doctorate is probably a fake doctorate. Supposedly he wrote his doctoral dissertation in 2002 or 2003. But it was never listed with the national archives. It appeared there for the very first time in June of last year. And that's when, for the very first time, his doctoral dissertation all of a sudden showed up. And as his alma mater, which is Frankfurt University, says, well, we didn't have a copy of it but we were so grateful for him to provide us with a copy. This is so bizarre. We think this guy's a fake. Complete fake.
    But what he did is, he's probably the main—the poster boy for all of this. He is here in Germany and because of the WHO's recommending his PCR test all over the world, he is at the core of this. So if we manage to prove in a court of law that his two statement—that there's asymptomatic infections and that the PCR test can tell you about who is infected—if we manage to prove in a court of law that this is false, that these are false statements, we can prove that he made them knowing that he was making false statements. If we manage to show in a court of law—and we just did that in the first case that was decided on April the 8th in a Family Law Court—if we manage to prove this, the whole house of cards is going to collapse. Because what do you need the notion, the idea, of asymptomatic infections for? Well, up until corona, everyone who didn't have any symptoms was healthy. Right? Now you needed to get people to panic all over the world in order to direct their attention towards corona—so they wouldn't know that the real goal of this whole "plandemic", as we now call it, is the destruction of the middle class. Not just of the German middle class, but of the world's middle class. And in order to create panic you have to make everyone afraid of everyone. And that's why he came up with the idea that there are asymptomatic infections. The rest of the world refers to him when they talk about asymptomatic infections.
    48:26:
    Ultimately, if we have enough of such decisions, the ones that I just mentioned—by the Portuguese court, by the Vienna court, now by the German court—it'll be enough to take those who are behind this—to hold them responsible in the courts of law. Because ultimately it's not going to be possible to recover all the damages for all the damage that was caused by Drosten—who is probably just a marionette for those who are pulling the strings, for those who are profiting off of this. It's not going to be possible to recover all the damages from these individual people. Rather, we're going to have to get to those who are behind this—to the really—what the Americans call the deep pockets. And those are the large corporations who are currently making billions, billions, billions of dollars. And who have, apparently, no empathy whatsoever because they can see that people are dying, that people are killing themselves because they are frightened, because they're panicking.
    So ultimately, what we're going to have to do is file—and our friend and colleague Michael Swinwood has done so, as he explained to me—file in the Anglo-American legal system class actions. Or—this is another alternative—we may have to come up with a separate international court of law simply for the purpose of dealing both with the criminal and the civil law side of this, of these crimes against humanity.
    54:10
    I think it's probably not enough for us lawyers to file lawsuits. We have to continue, all of us, we have to continue with our work of making what is going on as transparent as possible—to educate the people about what's happening.
    55:29
    None of this stuff makes any sense. And that's another big problem because this is part of their psychological operation. What they're trying to do is not just keep us in panic mode, because that's the only way they can keep us under control, because as you know we are many, many more than the other side. Plus we probably have the smarter people. We have the people who have, as one of the professor's of psychology explained to us, who have moral competence. Moral competence means that you're capable of asking questions rather than just blindly following orders and that you're capable of discussing other opinions rather than just shooting the other person simply because he has a different opinion from your own.
    What this boils down to is that the narrative which is currently one of complete panic, this narrative has to be changed. But who is in charge of the narrative? It's the mainstream media and the very same people who invested their money into the pharmaceutical and tech industry invested much of their money in the mainstream media to keep them under control and to make them repeat their narrative over and over and over again—which is completely false. It doesn't make any sense but it's still there. One of the psychologists who we interviewed said, It doesn't make a difference whether or not the picture that they're drawing is not perfect. It may be even, shitty. It doesn't make a difference. As long as the picture is out there it impresses people. So we're going to have to keep educating the people by not confronting them or getting angry at them. That doesn't work at all. But we have to keep our hand extended, so that if one or two or three or even hundreds of people want to take our hand and want to talk to us, we are able to explain what's really going on. It's a very serious point in time, very dangerous, but we are making progress.
- Reiner Fuellmich on suing the WHO (1:01:51, mp3) *Jerm Warfare*, 1 Apr 2021
- Reiner Fuellmich With Other German Lawyers Class Action - The greatest Nuremberg of all time is on its way, *UK Column*, 21 Feb 2021; translated from the original German on 17 February 2021
- Whistleblower from Berlin nursing home: the terrible dying after vaccination, *2020 News*, 14 Feb 2021
- Corona-Update zur weltweiten Klagewelle und Parteigründung „Team Freiheit" / Corona update on the global wave of lawsuits and the founding of the "Team Freiheit" party, Alexander Zwieschowski and Susanne Ausic, *The Epoch Times*, 27 Jan 2021 - updated: 22 Feb
- TeamFreiheit.net (agEt)
- Reiner Fuellmich's Newsletter 355, 06.01.2021: Money Talks VI and the worldwide lawsuits
  English translation by Paul Charles Gregory BDÜ of the original German text by Dr. Reiner Fuellmich. Table of Contents:
  I. Status of the PCR lawsuit at the Regional Court of Berlin
  II. Lawsuit on PCR test submitted in New York
  III. Canada submits first class action suit for compensation which will be an international class action
  IV. Portugal and Ecuador show Germany who the real banana republic is
  V. Further points: Lawsuit against the EU Commission for its approval of the vaccine to be declared null & void; constitutional complaint lodged against the measures by a German judge

---

Elders Without Borders

WE NEED TO STAND TALL AS ONE TRIBE SERVICING HUMANITY & MOTHER EARTH IF WE ARE TO PRESERVE TOMORROW!


Michael Swinwood


Liza Swale


Stacy Amikwabi

- **Overview**
- **Lawsuits**
- **Critical Analysis**

Overview

Elders Without Borders is a non-profit organization dedicated to the preservation of the wisdom and teachings of our Indigenous Elders and Ancestors. Our Sacred Circle works diligently to support those Standing Tall in their rights and freedoms by using the power of the legal system to hold space and give a voice to that Truth. Through the guidance of prophecies, Elders Without Borders is committed to building and joining communities, in Canada and afar, in preparation for the future that is upon us. Grandfather William Commanda saw the power of the legal system to hold space for those rights and to give a voice to that Truth in the hope that change would emerge. Following that path, our Sacred Circle includes a strong team of specialized lawyers who are working tirelessly to assist us all, as we navigate through a seemingly unlawful system.

So how do we as a SOCIETY take a STAND?
...to buy time to explore options?
...to choose to live outside a system that is being imposed on us?
...to seek fundamental truths about the system and its violation of rights and freedoms?
...to seek redress?
...to come together despite a system that wants to keep us apart?

The reality is that the protocol that underpins the COVID-19 measures is unlawful. Our legal team is working diligently with experts and consultants to unravel the complicated web that underscores the protocol's implementation and the subsequent and ongoing COVID-19 prevention measures. Concerned citizens like Adam Skelly are being forced to make a **CHOICE** and **STAND TALL** in their truth, and **YOU TOO** can come together in **SUPPORT** and **SOLIDARITY**. Until the protocol is questioned before a court and it intervenes, the reality is that the protocol remains in place and **CONTINUES TO ROLLOUT**... So, where does that leave us? It leaves us working/living within an unlawful system that supports and encourages segregation, exclusion and group shaming (whether you are shamed for wearing a mask or not wearing a mask) to advance its position and agenda. Undoubtedly an unsettling thought.

BUT ALL IS NOT LOST!!! We can work **PEACEFULLY** within this system and **STAND TALL** confident in our choices while encouraging our **JUDICIARY**, **LAW ENFORCEMENT**, **BY-LAW OFFICIALS**, **MPs**, **MPPs**, and many others that are faced with having to carry out the work of an illegal system, to **TAKE A STAND** along with us and allow us time....allow us lenience...allow us understanding...these people are just like us and have big questions. Ultimately we all want answers.

THIS IS OUR VISION FOR PEACEFUL PROTEST... Bringing our rights into the light. Firstly....to live within an unlawful system is to understand that we are ALL LIVING WITHIN this system, and it is a function of itself. It is important to remember that it is designed to cause agitation, impatience, exhaustion, fear, misunderstanding, anxiety, confusion, and many other psychological effects from the prolonged implementation of measures that suspend, silence and violate our basic fundamental rights. Once the choice is made to step outside of the unlawful system and declare our rights and freedoms, there also comes the responsibility to hold that space peacefully and with integrity, as it evolves into a function of itself... lawfully and respectfully that can be recognized by others to navigate within their truth.

Secondly....change takes time through persistence, commitment and collective effort and understanding. **STAND TALL**. Don't sit down. Keep the **MOMENTUM** going. **SUPPORT** each other....even those who have different opinions....**WE CAN ALL ASK QUESTIONS**. Keep encouraging questions by everyone!

Finally, to make a **CHOICE** is to walk within your Truth, no matter what path you choose. To demand respect for your Choice is to give respect for others. **NO ONE HAS THE ANSWERS**... That is the reality of living within an unlawful system where censorship prevails...let questions be the driving force to connecting all of us in **FINDING ANSWERS** and walking in the **TRUTH**.

Lawsuits

  - For Canadians: Join Humanitarian Class Action Crimes Against Humanity
  - Humanitarian Class Action : "a challenge to what is being put before humanity", 11 Jan 2021
  - Notice of Constitutional Question: Adamson Barbeque - CIVIL DISOBEDIENCE, 18 Feb 2021

- **For Canadians: Join Humanitarian Class Action Crimes Against Humanity**



  - Are you someone who has suffered either emotionally, mentally or physically from the protocols put in place by our government in response to covid-19?
  - Have you had to close your business indefinitely because of the constant enforced closures put in place by covid protocols?
  - Do/Did you suffer from the inability to have support from a partner or loved one during pregnancy, birth, or postpartum?

  If you answered yes to any of these questions please submit an intake form for the Humanitarian Class Action

  JOIN HUMANITARIAN CLASS ACTION

- **Humanitarian Class Action Statement of Claim: "A challenge to what is being put before humanity"**
  STATEMENT OF CLAIM: STACY AMIKWABI, SHAWN BRENNAN, GEORGE FAYAD, JOSHUA ALAS-WILSON, ALICE TOJCIC, JANE DOE, JOHN DOE Plaintiffs -and- POPE FRANCIS, THE HOLY SEE, THE STATE OF THE VATICAN, THE SOCIETY OF JESUS, HM QUEEN ELIZABETH II, THE ORDER OF THE GARTER, THE HOUSE OF WINDSOR (FORMERLY SAXE-COBOURG-GOTHA), GLOBAL VACCINE ALLIANCE (GAVI), the UN's WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION/PUBLIC HEALTH ORGANIZATION OF CANADA, BILL AND MELINDA GATES FOUNDATION, PRIME MINISTER JUSTIN TRUDEAU, DR. THERESA TAM, PREMIER DOUG FORD, CHRISTINE ELLIOTT, MAYOR JIM WATSON, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR ONTARIO Defendants. ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE, Filed: 11 January 2021. (pp. 124)
  - Judicial Endorsement of Justice Sylvia Corthorn 10 Feb 2021 (pp.13)
  - AMIKWABI v THE POPE Class Action Lawsuit Update 22 Feb 2021 (pp. 1)
  - Notice of Appeal of the Plaintiffs 22 Mar 2021 (pp. 8)

  This Statement of Claim lawsuit initiated by attorneys MICHAEL SWINWOOD and LIZA SWALE seeks to redress harms caused by the government in its covid-19 protocols and measures, and "that the Covid-19 protocols require immediate examination before a fully transparent record of science and historical data" and "to suspend COVID-19 measures until the necessity of such measures is demonstrated by science and medical opinion." It further claims that all the defendants allowed for the bringing in of COVID restrictions and shutdowns "without lawful authority and due process on behalf of the mind, body and health of the Canadian Public."

  It is filed in defence of First Nations people who were not given informed consent on a mandatory vaccination (or else remain in lockdown conditions), in defence of BUSINESS OWNERS, SPECIAL NEEDS folks, SINGLE PARENTS, and NEW MOTHERS who were forced to give birth alone in delivery rooms & then separated from their babies, and folks who have received FINES or TICKETS for violating protocols and who have been harmed by covid-19 protocols and regulation.

  Of this legal proceeding, attorney Michael Swinwood has stated, "It's a challenge to what is being put before humanity ... We're saying straight up that there is no justification for the declaration of a pandemic ... I'd go so far as to say that what medical science says is that this is no worse than a seasonal flu."

- **Notice of Constitutional Question: Adamson Barbecue - CIVIL DISOBEDIENCE**
  Toronto, Ontario restaurant owner, Adam Skelly, is currently before the Superior Court of Ontario questioning the constitutionality of the *Reopening Ontario (A Flexible Response to COVID-19) Act* and its authority to suspend and infringe his rights under the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms after his business was forcefully shut for non-compliance with the Act during the second lockdown. **AMENDED NOTICE OF CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION:** BETWEEN: ADAMSON BARBECUE LIMITED AND WILLIAM ADAMSON SKELLY Applicants and HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN IN RIGHT OF ONTARIO Respondent. ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE, Filed: 18 February 2021. (pp. 26)
  - AFFIDAVIT OF WILLIAM ADAMSON SKELLY Sworn on February 18, 2021 (pp.13)
  - SUPPLEMENTARY AFFIDAVIT OF William Adamson Skelly sworn April 12, 2021 (pp. 553)
  - AFFIDAVIT OF WITNESS Dr. Mark Trozzi sworn April 12, 2021 (pp. 44)

  Notice of Constitutional Question: three questions on the constitutional validity (or applicability) of the *Reopening Ontario Act*

  1. Do the Federal, Provincial and Municipal governments have lawful constitutional authority to unequivocally adopt, adhere and legislate in relation to the international recommendations and guidelines of the World Health Organization to declare a pandemic without oversight and due process?
  2. If it is found that the declaration of the Covid-19 pandemic and subsequent emergency measures were lawful despite the lack of oversight and due process, does the Provincial government have constitutional authority under s.91 of the Constitution Act to legislate the suspension of rights and freedoms with criminal law sanctions and dire emotional, financial and health consequences for Ontarians, on matters concerning the health and welfare for all Canadians based on purely preventative concerns?
  3. Are the Applicants' sections 2,7,8,9 and 15 rights and freedoms infringed by the implementation and application of the preventative measures as legislated and applied, and if so, is that infringement justified under s.1?

  Full Interview with Adamson BBQ Owner, Adam Skelly, *Bright Light News*, 22, Dec 2020 (28:44)

  Adam Skelly (Adamson BBQ) Press Conf: Constitutional Challenge Against Reopening Ontario Act, *Bright Light News*, 20 Feb 2021 (27:14 mp3)
  Adamson Barbecue: Civil Disobedience: Adam Skelly explains his pandemic view, Press Conference excerpts, *Toronto Sun*, 20 Feb 2021 (10:08 mp3)

Critical Analysis

  - In Response: Are We Presently Living In Genocide?, 4 Dec 2020
  - Michael Swinwood: Perspective on Spirituality, 18 Dec 2020
  - Crimes Against Humanity and Genocide - Debriefing, 31 Dec 2020
  - Wisdom - Seek and Ye Shall Find, 14 Mar 2021
  - Raising the Consciousness of Humanity, 23 Apr 2021

- Michael Swinwood - In Response: Are We Presently Living In Genocide?, Mystery School Peru, 4 Dec 2020 (34:06 mp3)
  Examining genocide as defined by the international convention in 1949 breaking down the history of this dark path while also examining the role Canada has played in this history. Michael Swinwood speaks to this through the teachings of Grandfather William Commander, the spiritual elder of the Algonquin Nation.
  Complete Annotated Transcript
- Michael Swinwood was the last speaker at the Corona Committee Session 32: Soul of Man You Are Like Water held on 18 December 2020. He spoke about "A perspective of where I'm placed in relation to this concept of spirituality." He covers a very wide swath of spirit, consciousness, and the part each person on the Mother Earth plays.
- Michael Swinwood, Canadian lawyer: Crimes Against Humanity and Genocide - Debriefing, *France Soir*, 31 Dec 2020 (39:54 mp3)
  Debriefing with Michael Swinwood, Canadian indigenous advocate, who has just filed a complaint in the Ontario Superior Court of Justice. This complaint is filed on behalf of seven people strongly affected by the liberticidal decisions including a disabled person who has seen his life completely turned upside down. It takes on a special character because it pits them against 17 "defendants" which include Pope Francis, Le Saint-Voyage, The Vatican State, The Society of Jesus, Queen Elizabeth II, the World Vaccine Alliance (Gavi), the World Health Organization / Public Health, the Bill And Melinda Gates Foundation, Prime Minister Justin Trudeau, Dr Theresa Tam, Prime Minister Doug Ford, Christine Elliott, Mayor Jim Watson, Attorney General of Canada, and the Attorney General of Ontario. This is within the framework of the 1992 law on collective redress.

  The peculiarity of this complaint is that it goes back to the history and the formation of Canada. Master Swinwood, specialist in indigenous issues, is well acquainted with the notions of genocides perpetrated against those he calls the "Redskins". We therefore find side by side the Pope, Queen Elizabeth, Gavi, WHO, Bill Gates In his debriefing in English (update January 1: French subtitles are available), Michael Swinwood looks back on the history of Canada, and on the suspect basis in his eyes of the freedom-killing decisions of the confinement. For him, the Canadian government did not declare the pandemic but only waved the WHO declaration. It talks about the human rights to informed consent, health and bodily integrity. And also returns to vaccines and bioethics (Oviedo convention).

  > **at 9:35:**
  > If there is a question as to whether or not this is a true pandemic and if there's a question as to whether or not the testing is valid and whether or not the vaccination is going to do what they say it's going to do, Why is it that no one wants to speak about that publicly? They don't want to speak about the idea of pandemic. The government of Canada, for instance, says in its Order and Council, "due to a pandemic declared by the WHO". That's their justification for lockdown and wiping out the middle class because that's what the lockdown is doing.
  >
  > The lockdown is wiping out the middle class and wiping out the business. And then you'd have to say, Well wait a minute. If 98.08% of people recover from Covid and there are valid treatments for covid that are being suppressed and the media is being suppressed and censorship is abounding everywhere and the whole world is under this same regime, you have to ask yourself the question, Why? Why? And the answer is, Look at the WHO and look at the World Economic Forum, put together 5G along with Pandemic along with World Economic Forum and the Great Reset and you'll see the whole agenda.
  >
  > The agenda now is in front of humanity. That agenda is designed to cull the herd, bring down the population. And it is designed to make the elite be in a better position of control. The vaccinations are designed to alter the DNA and likely nanochip people so that surveillance can take place with the satellites that are placed over and around the Mother Earth.
  >
  > All of this in insanity. It just is. And for people to sit back and go, Well, I'll take the vaccination and then I'll be able to fight the virus. I've actually heard people say that. I'm standing at the edge of the cliff and going, Don't go over. Don't go over the cliff. You're being lied to. This is a hoax. But because this has been manipulated for a long time. This isn't just overnight. This was all attempted with the Swine Flu. Everything was in place for this pandemic with the Swine Flu. But they had to have the doctors give the vaccination and the doctors put their needle down and went, No. We're not doing this. And their plan failed. And they're back.
  >
  > In 2017, the WHO, Bill Gates and Melinda Gates Foundation, the United Nations, and a drug company that no longer exists, sterilized 500,000 women and girls in Kenya. 2017 [I, II, III]. Sterilization is an act of genocide. Those people are being asked to save the world. Oh my god. Oh my god.
  >
  > **at 19:52:**
  > Essentially [in the complaint] there are claims of negligence, there are claims of malfeasance in office, and there are claims of breach of fiduciary duty. The government has a duty to act in good faith, etc. Quite frankly I doubt that the government even has put their mind to the idea that they have fulcrum—the fulcrum point where their declaration of protocol is the WHO. So it's due to a pandemic declared by the WHO. So then what did the WHO use as its criteria for declaring pandemic? Does Canada know? I don't think so. I don't think they know. Therefore, there's the flaw right from the beginning. Right from the beginning their information that they acted upon is second hand and not confirmed. And if it is confirmed I'm going to suggest to you that it's faulty.
  >
  > **at 22:50:**
  > There are many flaws on the chain of activities. That's the difficulty. So the flaws that occur need to be looked at and they're not looking at them, they're not looking at the flaws. The WHO changed the definition of the pandemic. And you have to put the question mark, Why did you change the definition of pandemic? So let's go there. Let's go look at the reason why you would declare a pandemic. And then let's look at the science. Let's examine the idea of Covid-19 and let's see the source. Is anybody spending time looking at the source? No. They're not because all of this has gone by.
  >
  > Now let's go over the testing. What is a PCR? This is what an expert said to me: Don't use "PCR" and "test" in the same sentence. Because PCR is not a test. It's a tool. And it's polymerase chain reaction. So I take tissue and I amplify it. And they say if it's set at 25 cycles it may be useful. But if it's set at anything beyond 25 cycles it's useless. And what cycle did they set it at? Forty-five. Why? Why did they come up with all these false positives? And why do we have a situation where doctors are being paid, if a person comes into the hospital with a heart attack, they mark them down as Covid-19 and we get paid some money. Or if you get hit by a car and you come into the hospital: Covid-19.
  >
  > Why are we fudging the figures? Why do we need to do that? Why is the media saying that the hospitals are going to be overwhelmed when people are coming out of the hospitals and saying, that's a lie, there's nobody in here. We're not overwhelmed. And everybody else is told be quiet. But it pops up—there's somebody pops out of the United Kingdom or somebody pops out of the United States and they say, No, no, no, what they're telling you is not true. These are lies. Why?
  >
  > These are the important questions and if I'm going to ask the government, What did you base your decision on? They're going to point to the WHO. That's not going to be satisfactory. You can't just point to the WHO and say, They said that. What scientific evidence are you relying on?
  >
  > Let's also examine this. The head of the WHO was the head of the Security Forces in Ethiopia who, an American Nobel Peace Prize nominee accused of genocidal practices while he was head of the Security Forces in Ethiopia. And then in 2017, he was appointed Director of the WHO. That seems quite strange. Then in that same year they went into Kenya and the WHO and Bill and Melinda Gates and the drug company that no longer exists sterilized 500,000 people—women, girls.
  >
  > **at 28:59:**
  > And this [mRNA experimental drug] is what we say is going to save humanity from something that they consider to be death-inducing? And 98.08% of human beings who get Covid recover? There's something wrong. And what could that be? This is the big question. There are so many experts on all these areas that will bring forward their evidentiary basis for demonstrating what I'm saying that you would think that someone would be prepared to have a public debate about this. None. Zero....
  >
  > [Question about why is the media not reporting on all this?] Let's be real here. The media is owned and controlled by the forces that seek to implement one world order, one world economy, one direction. That's it. So they're all in it together. The elite, the elite oligarchs of the world, the one percenters and beyond; listen, when 911 occurred, 911 was a test run to put fear in humanity. Remember the day after 911 happened, they had a 3,000 article Patriot Act ready to put on the people. They put people in fear and they could do it with a color. If they said the color red, everybody would go into fear because they didn't know if there was another terrorist attack. And that terrorist attack was planned. It was implemented by the same forces that are doing what's happening here. The same, same forces are doing this. What happened in the first World War, what happened in the Spanish Flu, what happened in the Second World War was all done by the same forces that are doing this today. I have to say that the Nazis have never left. They're still with us.
  >
  > ... [Question is asked:] If you were at the attorney of the Canadian government, what would you just say to the claim you've just made?
  >
  > I can tell you what they're going to say. First and foremost, you have to appreciate that I've been dealing with the Canadian government and their lawyers going on 20 years. So they've heard me say genocide before. That's that's number one. Number two in Canada, and I know because I've run up against this, there's an idea that there's no such thing as the tort of genocide. Tort in common law is like [inaudible] in French [inaudible], which is wrongdoing. And tort in the English common law system means you can sue someone for a wrongdoing and be compensated in damages. So you can sue for assault. You can sue for wrongful death. But they would say, But there's no such thing as the tort of genocide. That's an international thing. We don't touch those things.
  >
  > How absurd. How could you say that? Assault, wrongful death, genocide, it's all in the same line. It's all in the same. So if you can show the definition is in existence, imposing conditions of life designed to cause mental or physical harm. That's what's happening. All these protocols, all these lockdowns, they're all designed to cause mental and physical harm.
  >
  > I said it this way: In the Second World War, the Jewish people were marked with the star of David. Here, you're marked with a mask. The second thing they did was they transported the Jewish people in a train. Here, they're transporting your mind to a vaccination center. They took the Jewish people out of the train, they put them in a bunker and they harmed them. Here, they'll put you in a vaccination center and they'll harm you. The same thing.
  >
  > [Question is asked:] What's the actual calendar? You've already filed a claim and when will it be heard and how, how does it work?
  >
  > The way it works is that we have to serve all the people. So we have to serve the Pope and Queen. That's actually not that hard because in Canada, there's a Papal Nuncio office and then we have the Governor General and the Usher of the Black Rod, who is the Secretary to the Queen. So it's not hard to serve. So we get them served. And then we seek an early date to have a debate about the government lockdown and protocol and vaccination process. That's what we want the most. So we hope within three to four months, we will have a date within which we can have a hearing and ask the government to show what they have for data and we'll bring ours.
  >
  > [Question:] How are you cooperating with international people for this?
  >
  > We belong to this World Alliance of Doctors and World Alliance of Lawyers. So we're working very closely with Reiner Fuellmich in Germany and working with Jean-Luc Duahmel (sp?) in France, we're working with lawyers in Australia and New Zealand, throughout Europe, Italy, Sweden, the Netherlands all over the United States, and there's one other lawyer in Canada, Rocco Galati who's done a big lawsuit in Toronto. But he and I are about the only two I know in Canada right now who are doing this. I have a team of about four of us that are working together and then we work internationally with these other lawyers and doctors and scientists.
- Michael Swinwood - Wisdom - Seek and Ye Shall Find, 14 Mar 2021 (23:13 mp3)
  The dictionary defines wisdom as the quality of having experience, knowledge, and good judgement- the quality of being wise. In many indigenous societies, spiritual leaders are known as wisdom keepers. Michael Swinwood is an indigenous activist lawyer. He created Elders Without Borders, a non-profit organization inspired by Algonquin Elder Grandfather William Commanda. Michael and his wife Susan Hagar continue to work with Chasqui (spiritual messenger) Willaru Huayta from Cusco, Peru in bringing about the revival of Mystery Schools and the teachings of the Inca.
- Raising the Consciousness of Humanity, 23 Apr 2021
  Transcript: Michael Swinwood at Corona Committee Special Session: International Legal Offensive, Part 1.
  As Dr Reiner Fuellmich stated in the Introduction to this meeting: "It is called International Legal Offensive Part 1. There will be a Part 2 because in the mean time we're so many international colleagues that we don't fit into one session. Most of us have been collaborating for awhile. Some of us are new. Today we are going to try and find out if there are any special situations that need special attention in any one of the countries who we're going to talk to. There is, there has been a very close cooperation between us here in Germany, the Italians, the French, the Austrians on the one hand and the Anglo American countries, in particular Canada and the United States. It is going on. It will probably lead to many more class actions complaints. It may even result in a special international corona court. We'll see. We'll see where the current law suits will take us and where the evidence takes us."

  > **at 2:20:**
  > I had occasion before I left Canada to pop in on a lawyer I hadn't seen in almost five years. He's practised law for 50. So he's up there but a brilliant man and a brilliant criminal law lawyer, one who mentored me at the beginning and I have a lot of respect for and quite frankly I love him as a human being. But I went to see him and first of all came to the first door. I was met with masked people who were going, Where's your mask? Where's your mask? So I had to dig into my pocket and find a mask. Then when I went to see him we went into a room and he was just like this the whole time [indicating with body language: feareful aversion leaning backwards to be further away], "Your mask is under your nose!" He's giving me all these admonitions. And I said to him, "I guess you don't know but I'm actually working against all these things that you're talking about." [to which he responded with] "Oh what's wrong with you?" And I was shocked. I thought, Oh, he must have some semblance of knowing.
  >
  > Now this is an intelligent man. If I was in trouble I'd call him right away. He said, "I'm listening to Dr Fauci and Sanjay Gupta on CNN, and I believe them." And then I said to him, "Have you done any research yourself?" "No." And he said, "I've got the first vaccine." And I said, "Do you know what's in that vaccine?" "No."

So consciousness—what I'm trying to get across here—here's an intelligent human being criminal law lawyer, very very experienced, very sharp, very street smart, in complete fear. And not wanting to investigate. Because *those people* are intelligent and they're telling the truth. So that consciousness is predominant. It's in a lot of places where people do not wish to make the investigation. This is where the danger lies. The danger lies in those who don't want to pay attention to what's happening around them, see what's happening around them, and do something about it. They're quite content to listen to officialdom lie to them and then follow their instructions. That's what the problem is, 100 percent.

I cried with that man because I said to him, I don't have to convince you but please please open your mind enough to investigate. But I knew as soon as I left that he wasn't going to do anything. Because he was convinced that authority is correct. That what they're telling him is true. And that people like me need to give our heads a shake because we don't know what we're talking about.

So I think that's exactly where the problem is. You can lead a horse to water, but you can't make them drink. And the horse has to be thirsty. That's been my experience for all my life. If a person is not desirous of knowing something they're not going to bother. For them it's meaningless. It doesn't mean anything.

The consciousness of humanity is really what's at play here. And how we're able to influence or raise the consciousness of humanity is really the task....

at 17:18:
I totally agree with what you've both said about the continuation. Dominic and I are going to continue that's for sure. We're going to dig in and dig in and dig in. I mean, as it presently stands, I just filed my Appeal Book and Memorandum of Fact and Law yesterday in the Court of Appeal. So 30 days from now they have to respond. Canada and the province of Ontario has to respond to my class action filing in the court of appeal and, we might get a hearing date quicker than not.

We're also working on the Class Action for Children. We're also representing Frontline Nurses, who've all been taken down and so we're doing a class action for them. And I have a hearing on June 28th and 29th on behalf of Adamson's BBQ and Adam Skelly, who engaged in civil disobedience in his restaurant in downtown Toronto and had 253—253 police officers on the raid. But anyway, I'm being heard on June 28th, and 29th. We have six experts. We have a virologist. We're attacking the PCR. We're attacking all elements of the hoax. We have great, great experts. And we get to see Canada's experts on May 13th. They have to provide us with their reports. And then we get to cross examine the experts.

So we're going Full Tilt Boogie. We're definitely going to keep it up, keep it up, keep it up. Here's what I'm saying, though. Although it might be different in different countries, what you're seeing is an agenda that's the same....

at 20:29:
So by increments we're implementing tyranny. That's it. We're implementing tyranny. So why is there a spiritual component to this, then? What is the meaning, what is the essence of the spiritual component? Well, the essence of the spiritual component is number one, all of us are divine beings having a physical experience. That's the first thing. People don't know. People don't understand that aspect of things because of dogma. That dogma has been put on people to take away the idea of their own divinity and put it in the hands of someone else, between you and spirit. And therefore, that tyranny started with that idea of laying dogma on people and pulling them away from their own relationship to spirit.

What we have to come to grips with as human beings as individuals, is *our own connection to spirit*. Because everything that you see everywhere happens because of spirit. It's the breath of the Divine that allows everything to grow and breathe and be. And so within that, that's a very powerful force, much more powerful than the tyrannical force of this insane consciousness that is imposed on humanity. It represents the dark force. And what we represent in the end is the light force. So therefore, we have an added reservoir in addition to law. And what that added reservoir is, is our relationship to spirit that we can connect with every single day. And therefore, give yourself the ability to have magic, magic come into your life and you'll be amazed at how things will change just by virtue of making that connection.

⇈ Make Americans Free Again (MAFA)

THE NEW NORMAL: CITIZENS IN CHARGE

- **Overview**
- **Lawsuits**
- **Critical Analysis**
- **Recent Developments**

↑ Overview

Dr Pamela Popper is a naturopathic doctor with a PhD in nutrition, an internationally recognized expert on nutrition, medicine and health, and the Executive Director of Wellness Forum Health. In her 21 December 2020 interview for the Planet Lockdown film, she relays the following beginning at 33:31:

> [This situation] is a wake-up call, it's a big wake-up call. Sooner or later something like this was going to happen—we didn't pay attention to the signs. We'd better be paying attention now because they're never going to let us go unless we do something about it. That's why we're filing lawsuits, lots of them and filing a lot more of them because if we don't—we have one branch of government in the United States that's functioning and that's the courts and if we don't use the courts to free ourselves we will never be a free people again.
>
> Our cases are different. I think a lot of what people are frustrated about right now is how many cases have been filed and that most of them have failed. The reason is the cases that have been filed have been cases that allege that constitutional rights were being violated and they are. The problem is that the government would then show up and say but it's an emergency. And it isn't. But that's what they would claim and then judges would say, Well they do have a lot of power under the Emergency Powers Act.
>
> Our case says: There is no pandemic [e.g. †, ††, †††]. There is no emergency[e.g. †, ††, †††] It's a false declaration. Officials know it and they're committing fraud. So you can't respond to that by saying, It's an emergency. Now you have to *prove* it's an emergency.
>
> What's been very interesting—we filed this first case in Ohio in August—is that they refuse to—all of the court hearings and everything else are involved in them trying not to have to come up with the data. Our attorney actually said at one point in time, You know you can make this all go away so quickly because if you're right about this and you give us the data and show that you're right, a couple of things. First of all we'll go away, obviously. And you'll be able to really gloat. I mean, think of the press conference the emperor [Ohio Governor Mike DeWine] could have to say we actually proved in court that Pam Popper and Tom Renz and all of these people are wrong. Why don't they do that? Why this effort not to disclose the information? Because they know they're in trouble.
>
> So we filed in Ohio. Today [21 Dec] we're filing in another state [New Mexico]. We're filing a federal lawsuit as well and we're going to keep doing this state-by-state until we set everybody free. And then we've got to work really, really hard to make sure this never happens again by being very diligent citizens. That's why I started Make Americans Free Again. We have a lot of work to do once we get ourselves out from under this ridiculousness.

Forcing public health and political officials to prove in the courts that Covid-19 is an emergency holds the key to actually prying open a public discussion and legitimate debate about the course autocratic cartels have stubbornly pursued for the past 12 months. Short of such a call to reason and demonstrable facts regarding the genuine parameters of this crisis, what started out to be 2 weeks of house arrest, will not abate and will only become more restrictive and locked up with no end in sight. Beginning at 24:21 Popper questions the veracity and legitimacy of what public health is:

> Once in a while, they'll put something out that actually explains what's going on. Like Redfield, the head of the CDC actually testified in front of Congress that I think it's 25% of adolescents and young adults had thought about killing themselves.[†] Think about what that means.
>
> And they're still wanting to lock everybody down and keep kids home from school and no sports and the whole nine yards. Think about how unthinking people have to be to listen to that and say, yup, we're definitely on the right track....
>
> Back to your point: What is public health? Do you think public health is putting adolescents and young adults in a place where 25% of them would like to kill themselves? Do you think public health is about older people dying alone without human contact in a nursing home? There's a new thing they're putting on death certificates—these days for nursing home does—it's called failure to thrive. This is where old people just give up their will to live and stop eating. In Canada older people can do assisted suicide.
>
> I covered this in a video clip, a woman who opted for assisted suicide instead of staying by herself alone in her room in the nursing home. And we think that's okay, seriously, that's murder. That's committing murder indirectly when you drive people to do this. And and I won't rest until we prove that these people have not only committed fraud, but that they have them responsible for deaths and they should be criminally prosecuted. That's a strong accusation to make too.
>
> † - CDC Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report vol 69 No 12 August 14, 2020, CDC: "The percentage of respondents who reported having seriously considered suicide in the 30 days before completing the survey (10.7%) was significantly higher among respondents aged 18-24 years (25.5%)"

WE'RE TAKING OUR COUNTRY BACK AND HERE'S OUR PLAN:

SHORT-TERM GOAL: FREE AMERICANS FROM GOVERNMENT TYRANNY

We will assist in the development of state associations that can support these lawsuits; and help to recruit lawyers, to develop legal strategy, to identify and train expert witnesses, and to raise money.

How: By filing lawsuits like the landmark suit filed first in Ohio claiming that there is no emergency and that the government's response and restrictions are unwarranted.

MEDIUM-TERM GOAL: ADDRESS ALL MEDICAL MANDATES (INCLUDES TESTING AND VACCINES)

We will sort our database by state legislative district and work with state groups to make sure that each legislator is visited by representatives of our/your group. We will deliver lists of our registered voter members in each district and secure commitments for support based on both representation in the district and difficulty opposing InforMED consent.

How: By drafting model legislation to be introduced in each state demanding transparency/InforMED consent for all medical matters, and protecting individual rights to choose.

LONG-TERM GOALS: REBUILD OUR COUNTRY AFTER THE DEVASTATION OF COVID-19

How: by focusing on two strategies:

- providing excellent free education for economically disadvantaged children who have fallen behind as a result of failing schools AND school closures
- developing teams of experienced businesspeople to rescue small businesses

LONGER-TERM GOALS: DESIGN AND LAUNCH A SUPERIOR MEDICAL SYSTEM

How: with a base of tens of millions of members, we can start our own healthcare system with features like insurance plans that cover services that promote health; networks of practitioners trained to collaborate with patients in making InforMED decisions; and treatment protocols that address the cause of disease.

How Does Our Federal Lawsuit Against the CDC & HHS Help You?

1. Our attorneys are the ONLY ones in the country providing 700+ pages of science-based evidence (most of which is from the CDC itself), along with affidavits from science and medical experts, that there is no COVID emergency, and therefore the response to COVID is not only unnecessary but unconstitutional.
2. 02/03/2021 we filed for a Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) and a Show Cause Order against the CDC and HHS. (pp. 13)
3. We're asking the Court to order CDC and HHS to stop counting COVID deaths using their new revised method, including to stop using the PCR test to diagnose COVID since most test kits include disclaimers stating that they should not be used to diagnose COVID.
4. This new counting method and reliance on PCR tests is not done for any other disease and only artificially inflates COVID case and death numbers, which Governors are using to justify their response to COVID.
5. If the Court rules in our favor, it means the CDC and HHS must stop using this new counting method and PCR test, and return to counting COVID stats like they do for all other diseases.
6. Once this happens, it will show that COVID isn't the threat and the national emergency they keep saying it is.
7. Therefore, CDC, HHS and Governors across the country, including in Ohio, won't be able to continue to justify their response to COVID, including not being able to justify mandates and restrictions like masks, lockdowns and vaccines.

↑ Lawsuits

- Ohio Complaint 09-01-20t / Amended 10-14-20
- HHS + CDC + NCHS Complaint 12-21/20 / Amended 02-03-21
- New Mexico Amended Complaint 12-31-20
- Maine Complaint 03-09-21
- Kentucky Complaint 04-30-21
- Alaska Complaint 04-30-21
- Amended Complaint for Injunctive and Declaratory Relief 05-04-21
- Temporary Restraining Order 05-19-21
- Emergency Use Authorization 06-10-21

- **Ohio Complaint:** Michael Renz, Eric Calderaro, et al -vs- State of Ohio, Gov. Mike DeWine, Lance Himes, In the United States District Court Western Division for the Northern District of Ohio, Case No. 3:20-cv-1948. Filed in U.S. District Court, Western Division for the Northern District of Ohio, Date Filed: 09/01/2020, 56 pages w/369 pages of Attachments (pp. 425)
    - **Ohio Amended Complaint:** Michael Renz, Eric Calderaro, et. al -vs- State of Ohio, Gov. Mike DeWine, Amy Acton, Lance Himes, Ottawa County Department of Public Health, Date Filed: 10/14/2020 (pp. 81)
- **HHS + CDC + NCHS Complaint:** Ohio Stands Up! -vs- US Dept. of Health & Human Services, Center for Disease Control (CDC), Secretary Azar, Director Redfield, Nat'l Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), Director Brian C. Moyer, John and/or Jane Does 1-20. Filed in U.S. District Court, Western Division for the Northern District of Ohio, Date Filed: 12/21/2020 (pp. 73)
    - **HHS + CDC + NCHS Amended Complaint:** Ohio Stands Up! and Kristen Beckman -vs- The United States Department of Health & Human Services, Center for Disease Control (CDC), Secretary Norris Cochran, Director Rochelle Walensky, The National Center for HealthStatistics (NCHS), Director Brian C. Moyer, and John and/or Jane Doe[s] 1-20, Date Filed: 02/03/2021 (pp. 73)
- **New Mexico Amended Complaint:** PAMELA S. MCKINLEY, as Parent and Guardian of GM, A Minor Child; MARGUERITE GARNER, VALERIE GEORGE, EVAN D. ROBERTS, SAUNDRA THOMPSON d/b/a Q SHOES, KRISTINE M. BLACKMAN AND PHILLIP BLACKMAN d/b/a BLACKMAN TAEKWONDO ACADEMY, LLC, MESA DE PLATA LLC d/b/a JALISCO CAFÉ, and SUSANA VASQUEZ d/b/a PET FOOD GONE WILD, INC., TRISHA D. KEEFE, JILL M. INANNA, DAVID G.STEPUTIS, And JOHN OR JANE DOES 1-100 -vs- GOVERNOR MICHELLE LUJAN GRISHAM, in Her Official Capacity as well as Individually; PUBLIC HEALTH DIRECTOR KATHYLEEN KUNKEL, INTERIM DIRECTOR BILLY JIMENEZ, and TRACIE COLLINS, M.D. Secretary-Designate of NMDOH, Jane and John Does 1-20. Filed in U.S. District Court, District of New Mexico, 12/31/2020 (pp. 126)
- **Maine Complaint:** JERE GRAY, individually and as Mother and Guardian of EG, a Minor, and VALERIE KESSLER, individually and as Sole Proprietor of the Jeremiah Mason House Bed and Breakfast, and JENNIFER JENKINS, individually and as Mother and Guardian of EJ and AJ, and HEIDI SAMPSON, individually and as Representative to the Maine House for House District 21, and JANE AND JOHN DOES 1-100 -vs- GOVERNOR JANET T. MILLS, in her official and personal capacities, and CENTER FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION DIRECTOR DR. NIRAV D. SHAH, in his official and personal capacities, and DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES COMMISSIONER JEANNE M. LAMBREW, in her official and personal capacities, and DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSIONER HEATHER JOHNSON, in her official and personal capacities, and JANE AND JOHN DOES 1-20. Filed in The United States District Court District of Maine, 03/09/21 (pp. 79)
- **Kentucky Complaint:** Matt Schweder, individually and as Father and Guardian of P.S, and Larry Nichols, Joshua Nichols, and Wesley Anglin, Frank Anglin and Maggie Anglin, and Robin Harbolt, individually and as Guardian of P.H., and Charles w. [Jeep] Burton, and Kenneth L. Kearns II, and JANE AND JOHN DOES 1-100, -vs- GOVERNOR ANDREW GRAHAM BESHEAR in his official and personal capacities, and KENTUCKY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH COMMISSIONER STEVEN J. STACK, in his official and personal capacities, and CABINET FOR HEALTH AND FAMILY SERVICES SECRETARY ERIC C. FRIEDLANDER in his official And personal capacities, and JANE AND JOHN DOES 1-20. Filed in The United States District Court Eastern District of Kentucky, 04/28/21 (pp. 86)
    - PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' 12B MOTION TO DISMISS: Matt Schweder, et al Plaintiffs, vs. GOVERNOR ANDREW GRAHAM BESHEAR, in his official and personal capacities, and KENTUCKY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH COMMISSIONER STEVEN J. STACK, in his official and personal capacities, and CABINET FOR HEALTH AND FAMILY SERVICES SECRETARY ERIC C. FRIEDLANDER in his official And personal capacities, and JANE AND JOHN DOES 1-20, Defendants. Filed in The United States District Court Eastern District of Kentucky, 6/11/21 (pp. 24)
    - MOTION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER and MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT: Matt Schweder, Larry Nichols, Joshua Nichols, Wesley Anglin, Frank Anglin and Maggie Anglin, Robin Harbolt, Charles w. (Jeep) Burton, Kenneth L. Kearns II, and JANE AND JOHN DOES 1-100, Plaintiffs, vs. GOVERNOR ANDREW GRAHAM BESHEAR, KENTUCKY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH COMMISSIONER STEVEN J. STACK, CABINET FOR HEALTH AND FAMILY SERVICES SECRETARY ERIC C. FRIEDLANDER, Defendants. Filed in The United States District Court Eastern District of Kentucky, 7/06/21 (pp. 50)
- **Alaska Complaint:** LOUIS IMBRIANI, ROBERT RUBEY, MICHAEL HANIFEN, HOLLY DERIVERA, BRIAN JOHNSON, and HOCKEY OFFICIALS OF ALASKA, -vs- AUSTIN QUINN-DAVIDSON, in her capacity as Acting Mayor for the Municipality of Anchorage. Filed in The United States District Court For The District of Alaska, 04/30/21 (pp. 28)
- **Amended Complaint for Injunctive and Declaratory Relief:** ISAAC LEGARETTA, ANTHONY ZOCCOLI and JOHN or JANE DOES 1-20 -vs- FERNANDO MACIAS, Dona Ana County Manager, DIRECTOR BRYAN BAKER, an Official with the Dona Ana County Detention Center, CAPTAIN BEN MENDOZA, an official AMENDED COMPLAINT with the Dona Ana County Detention Center, CAPTAIN JOSHUA FLEMING, an official with the Dona Ana County Detention Center, COUNTY OF DONA ANA and JOHN or JANE DOES 1-20. Filed in U.S. District Court, District of New Mexico, 5/4/2021 (pp. 37)
  Press Release 5/4/21: New Mexico —Today,the New Mexico Stands Up legal team, Ana Garner and Jonathan Diener, filed an Amended Complaint to its historical NO SHOT MANDATE lawsuit, the first of its kind in the United States (filed Feb 28, 2021), challenging a county mandate requiring all first responders to receive the "vaccine" or be terminated from their job.
  "Employers, both public and private, are attempting to coerce their employees into taking an experimental medical intervention (COVID shots) which is against all human rights and the laws of the United States and Customary International Codes" Ana Garner, Attorney for New Mexico Stands Up
- **Temporary Restraining Order:** These documents cover the Temporary Restraining Order against all relevant federal agencies concerning giving the experimental vaccines to children under the age of 16.
    - AMERICA'S FRONTLINE DOCTORS, ETC.; and DR. SCOTT JENSEN, MD, Individually; and ELLEN MILLER, Individually and as Guardian of 3 Minor Siblings; and JODY SOBCZAK, Individually and as Father of 2 Minor Children; and DEBORAH SOBCZAK, Individually and as Mother of 2 Minor Children; and LYLE BLOOM, Individually and as Father of 2 Minor Children; and, JULIE BLOOM, Individually and as Mother of 2 Minor Children; and ANDREA MCFARLANE, RN Individually and as Mother of 4 Minor Children; and JENNIFER GREENSLADE, Individually and as Mother of 2 Minor Children; and STEVEN M. ROTH, MD, Individually; and MATT SCHWEDER, Individually and as Father of a Minor Child. -vs- XAVIER BECERRA, Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, and U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND John & Jane Does I-V; Black & White Partnerships; and ABC Corporations I-V. Filed in The United States District Court For The Northern District of Alabama, 05/19/21 (pp. 80)
    - Gov/Big Pharma Conflict Content current as of 4/9/21 (pp. 12)
- **Emergency Use Authorization:** AMERICA'S FRONTLINE DOCTORS; and JOEL WOOD, RPH; and BRITTANY GALVIN; and ELLEN MILLER, Individually and as Guardian of 3 Minor Siblings; and AUBREY BOONE; and JODY SOBCZAK, Individually and as Father of 2 Minor Children; and DEBORAH SOBCZAK, Individually and as Mother of 2 Minor Children; and SNOW MILLS; and JENNIFER MCCRAE, RN; and ANGELLIA DESELLE; and KRISTI SIMMONDS; and VIDIELLA, A/K/A SHAWN SKELTON; and SALLY GEYER; and MARIA MEYERS; and KARI HIBBARD; and JULIE ROBERTS, RN; and AMY HUNT; and RICHARD KENNEDY, individually and as Administrator of the Estate of his mother Dovi Sanders Kennedy; and ESTATE OF DOVI SANDERS KENNEDY, by and through its Administrator Richard Kennedy; and LYLE BLOOM, Individually and as Father of 2 Minor Children; and, JULIE BLOOM, Individually and as Mother of 2 Minor Children; and ANDREA MCFARLANE, RN, Individually and as Mother of 4 Minor Children; and JENNIFER GREENSLADE, Individually and as Mother of 2 Minor Children; and STEVEN M. ROTH, MD, Individually; and MATT SCHWEDER, Individually and as Father of a Minor Child. Plaintiffs, vs. XAVIER BECERRA, Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, in his official and personal capacities, DR. ANTHONY FAUCI, Director of the National Institute of Allergies and Infectious Diseases, in his official and personal capacities, DR. JANET WOODCOCK, Acting Commissioner of the Food and Drug Administration, in her official and personal capacities, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, the FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, the CENTER FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF HEALTH, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ALLERGIES AND INFECTIOUS DISEASES, and JOHN AND JANE DOES I-V. Defendants. Filed in The United States District Court For The Northern District of Alabama, 06/10/21 (pp. 113)

From pp. 20-21, **Ohio Lawsuit filed 1 Sep 2020:** Michael Renz, Eric Calderaro, et al -vs- State of Ohio, Gov. Mike DeWine, Lance Himes

Deaths

19 The reporting of deaths related to COVID-19 is so incredibly misleading that, as noted above, even the Supreme Court of the United States was misled. At the time of this writing it is being claimed that there have been over 150,000 COVID-19 deaths in the United States.[140] That is simply untrue. While we hope to develop a more accurate number through the discovery process, the number of deaths primarily caused from COVID-19 is likely less than 50,000 and has been estimated in scientific studies to be closer to 20,000.[141]

20 This will seem like an incredible statement for anyone listening to the news. The key to understanding this statement is that the 150,000 number could be an accurate number of the people in the United States that have died with COVID-19 but instead has been presented as the number of people that have died from COVID-19.[142]

21 During the early stages of dealing with the COVID-19 "crisis" the CDC changed the rules for counting deaths.[143] A full discussion of this is included in Attachment A.1 but it should be noted that the changes allowed for unconfirmed cases of COVID-19 to be included in the death count contrary to both international standards and also in a way that is contrary to how every other disease death has been counted in the USA since 2003. This approach was so egregious that, it can accurately be stated that:

> In the interest of complete clarity, according to CDC guidance as discussed here, if a person has a cough and dies, and that person lives in any of a majority of the cities in the United States (nearly all of which have a sustained, ongoing community transmission of SARS-CoV-2) then COVID-19 can be listed as either the cause of death or as a significant condition contributing to death. That death certificate can then act as evidence of a probable case of COVID-19 and earn an additional 20% reimbursement rate from Medicare[144] as well as then be reported as a COVID-19 death.[145]

22 It is also critical to note the financial incentive to include deaths as COVID-19 deaths. In Ohio, according to Becker's Hospital Review, hospitals are being reimbursed an additional $180,000 per COVID-19 case and a death from COVID-19 requires no lab test but does qualify as a case.[146]

140. Cases in the U.S., CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION (Last visited Aug 27, 2020), https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/cases-updates/cases-in-us.html
     Editor's Note: the above URL now auto-redirects to: https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/. 27 Aug 2020 link reference is embedded in the above parenthesis.
141. H. Ealy, et al., If COVID Fatalities Were 90.2% Lower, How Would You Feel About Schools Reopening?, CHILDREN'S HEALTH DEFENSE (July 24, 2020), https://childrenshealthdefense.org/news/if-covid-fatalities-were-90-2-lower-how-would-you-feel-about-schools-reopening
142. *Id* at 1
143. NVSS: National Vital Statistics System COVID-19 Alert No. 2., CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION. (Mar 24, 2020), https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nvss/coronavirus/Alert-2-New-ICD-code-introduced-for-COVID-19-deaths.pdf
144. CARES Act Sec. 3710
145. *Id* at 1
146. Ayla Ellison, State-by-state breakdown of federal aid per COVID-19 case, BECKER'S HOSPITAL CFO REPORT, (Apr. 14, 2020) https://www.beckershospitalreview.com/finance/state-by-state-breakdown-of-federal-aid-per-covid-19-case.html

↑ Critical Analysis

- Uncensored Video Resource Library | Ohio Stands Up!
  200+ videos organized in the following Playlists:
    - COVID Politics & Origin
    - COVID Transmission
    - Doctors & Nurses Speak Out
    - Flaws & Lies w/Testing, Data, Risks & Treatments
    - Legal Briefs
    - Lockdown Hysteria & Freedom
    - Mask Hysteria
    - Ohio Stands Up! Events, Speeches & Testimony
    - Ohio Stands Up! Media Coverage
    - Vaccine & Immunity Passports
- 3 October 2020 - On The Subject of COVDI-19 Litigation - Lecture by lead Attorney Thomas Renz. Discusses the Ohio Lawsuit and what we can all do to fight back against the growing tyranny. (mp3 1:08:50)
- Special Solari Report: Covid-19: Ohio Stands Up - Jon Rappoport Interviews Attorney Tom Renz, video, mp3, PDF transcript (1:06:48) 17 Sep 2020
- MAFA Supporting Documents (pp. 627)

↑ Associated Organizations

- Ohio Stands Up - Our mission at Ohio Stands Up! is to file the first federal lawsuit in the country challenging a governor's entire State of Emergency so we can reclaim Ohio. Our lawsuit will set national precedent, and as the saying goes, "Where Ohio goes so goes the nation." Equally important are our efforts to educate Ohioans and all Americans on the reality of COVID-19 while ensuring our Constitutional rights are honored in the process.
- New Mexico Stands Up – A coalition project of the People of New Mexico
- New Mexico Freedoms Alliance, A Coalition of Citizens Groups in Common-Interest Collaboration

↑ Recent Developments:

- **Register to participate in / listen to most recent weekly Make Americans Free Again Conference Call**
- Pam Popper and Attorney Tom Renz Discuss Litigation! (39:24, mp3) 27 May 2021
- Lawyers File Temporary Restraining Order Against FDA Emergency Use Authorization of Vax for Children, Kristina Borjesson interviews Atty Tom Renz, *Whistleblower Newsroom, Progressive Radio Network*, (1:00:04, mp3) 21 May 2021
  Lawyer Thomas Renz discusses why he and a group of lawyers have filed a temporary restraining order against various government agencies in an Alabama court to halt vaccinations of children. Among their reasons for filing the order: FDA Emergency Use Authorization in this case is illegal; children have virtually zero chance of getting or transmitting covid; the vaccines are experimental and children should not be experimented upon, the vaccines already have an inordinately high rate of serious adverse reactions and deaths.
- It's Here: First Court Case Against Mandatory Vaccination — Attorney Interview, Spiro Skouras, *Activist Post*, (26:07) 7 Mar 2021
  Attorney Ana Garner, Co-Counsel with Thomas Renz in the New Mexico MAFA Lawsuit, is represents her client Isaac Legaretta, an officer at the Doña Ana County Detention Center and a military veteran, who is suing the county over its new policy for first responders to receive the COVID-19 vaccinations or face termination. Attorney Garner explains the significance of this case and what is at stake, as it is the first of its kind and may set a new standard for legal precedent regarding mandatory vaccination. Spiro and Ana Garner also discuss the MAFA New Mexico Case.
- Ohio Stands Up! Attorney 's Censored Testimony Before The Ohio Legislature (36:05, mp3) 17 Feb 2021
  Attorney Thomas Renz Written Testimony Before Ohio Legislature House Bill 90 (pp. 6)
  Ohio Stands Up! Attorney Thomas Renz testified before the Ohio House State and Local Government Committee in support of House Bill 90, which would establish legislative oversight over the Governor's health orders. The following day, Google and YouTube censored Mr. Renz, a licensed attorney testifying before the Ohio Legislature on a matter of vital public importance regarding how the COVID-19 response has been a failure from the beginning in Ohio and throughout the United States because it has always been more about money and power than an appropriate response to a disease.

  In his testimony, Mr. Renz publicly disclosed critical evidence Ohio Stands Up! is using as part of its federal lawsuits against the Ohio Governor and against the CDC and HHS, and he publicly asked some very important questions; questions that no other attorney, elected official or mainstream media outlet in the country has had the courage to ask. Those questions and evidence included but were not limited to:

    1. Whether any of the Governor's "political-science" was influenced by stock ownership interests and/or political donations made by organizations to the Governor or his family as noted on numerous financial disclosure forms? And why no investigation of this has occurred under Ohio law, specifically sections ORC 2921.42 and ORC 2921.43.?
    2. They have politicized science to support their actions and continually lied to the public to scare them into submission.
    3. They have outright refused to share the "science" they claim to be making decisions on despite the fact that those decisions are killing our children. The leading cause of death for our children between ages 10 and 14 is suicide. This data has even been kept from our elected representatives.
    4. The response to COVID-19 in Ohio has included assistance from Resolve to Save Lives. This organization is led by Tom Frieden, former Obama Whitehouse CDC Director and is funded by numerous billionaire charities including Bloomberg Philanthropies, the Gates Foundation, and the Zuckerberg Initiative. All of these organizations have numerous financial ties, either directly or through individuals that control them, to the big business of drugs and/or healthcare.
    5. One of the clear mandates of the November election in Ohio was ending this tyranny. Mr. Renz asked the Democrats in this esteemed body: Will you use the privilege that you possess to represent the disproportionately impacted underprivileged communities that are being economically destroyed by the response to this disease? Or will you sell out to the Corporate masters and billionaires we can show are pulling the strings? To the Republicans of this body Mr. Renz asked: Will you do what you were elected to do and stand up for small business, individual rights, and freedom? Or will you sell out to the same Corporate masters and billionaires that we can show have a direct hand in this?

  Moreover, Mr. Renz publicly offered that should the Legislative Committee request, he is willing and able to provide citations for all data included in his testimony as well as expert medical opinion from numerous doctors and scientists to support all that he said, and he is willing to do so under oath. Mr. Renz challenged the Governor and Department of Health to do the same, without limitations on the questions that can be asked.

  And despite this, YouTube, which is owned by Google, which is owned by Alphabet, censored Mr. Renz's testimony, by removing it from their platform and attempting to justify their actions by writing, "Our team has reviewed your content, and, unfortunately, we think it violates our medical misinformation policy."

  At this point, in Ohio, for an administration that has continued to double down on the politics rather than the science of this disease, it would be a political disaster to now admit the truth. That said, our dying children, dying seniors, and dying small business community should have more value than ANY person's political future and that is the purpose of House Bill 90.

  Share this censored COVID-19 truth video that Google and YouTube don't want you to see.
- Lawsuit: COVID-19 is 'no emergency', Geoffrey Plant, Silver City Daily Press, NM, 30 Dec 2020

Ohio Stands Up! is grateful for your support. As you can see, the more success we have in exposing the truth and holding those responsible accountable, the bigger our fight becomes. We are a grassroots organization made up of concerned freedom-loving Ohioans, who recognize the tremendous sacrifices made by countless generations of Americans throughout our nation's history to secure, preserve, and protect our God-given rights. We believe it is our duty and privilege to protect our fundamental liberties while demanding our elected leaders be held accountable and be shown they cannot act with impunity for their tyrannical and corrupt behavior. We are united in our common goal to stand against continued violations of our Constitutional freedoms, while working to restore those freedoms from which we have been deprived. All donations, of any amount, are appreciated so we can continue this fight and can be made on the GET INVOLVED tab on our website.

⇈ America's Frontline Doctors (AFLDS)

AMERICA'S FRONTLINE DOCTORS
LEGAL EAGLE DREAM TEAM

- **Lawsuits**

↑ Lawsuits

- Temporary Restraining Order 05-19-21
- Emergency Use Authorization 06-10-21
- Preliminary Injuction 07-19-21

- **Temporary Restraining Order:** These documents cover the Temporary Restraining Order against all relevant federal agencies concerning giving the experimental vaccines to children under the age of 16.
    - AMERICA'S FRONTLINE DOCTORS, ETC.; and DR. SCOTT JENSEN, MD, Individually; and ELLEN MILLER, Individually and as Guardian of 3 Minor Siblings; and JODY SOBCZAK, Individually and as Father of 2 Minor Children; and DEBORAH SOBCZAK, Individually and as Mother of 2 Minor Children; and LYLE BLOOM, Individually and as Father of 2 Minor Children; and, JULIE BLOOM, Individually and as Mother of 2 Minor Children; and ANDREA MCFARLANE, RN Individually and as Mother of 4 Minor Children; and JENNIFER GREENSLADE, Individually and as Mother of 2 Minor Children; and STEVEN M. ROTH, MD, Individually; and MATT SCHWEDER, Individually and as Father of a Minor Child. -vs- XAVIER BECERRA, Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, and U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND John & Jane Does I-V; Black & White Partnerships; and ABC Corporations I-V. Filed in The United States District Court For The Northern District of Alabama, 05/19/21 (pp. 80)
    - Gov/Big Pharma Conflict Content current as of 4/9/21 (pp. 12)
- **Emergency Use Authorization:** AMERICA'S FRONTLINE DOCTORS; and JOEL WOOD, RPH; and BRITTANY GALVIN; and ELLEN MILLER, Individually and as Guardian of 3 Minor Siblings; and AUBREY BOONE; and JODY SOBCZAK, Individually and as Father of 2 Minor Children; and DEBORAH SOBCZAK, Individually and as Mother of 2 Minor Children; and SNOW MILLS; and JENNIFER MCCRAE, RN; and ANGELLIA DESELLE; and KRISTI SIMMONDS; and VIDIELLA, A/K/A SHAWN SKELTON; and SALLY GEYER; and MARIA MEYERS; and KARI HIBBARD; and JULIE ROBERTS, RN; and AMY HUNT; and RICHARD KENNEDY, individually and as Administrator of the Estate of his mother Dovi Sanders Kennedy; and ESTATE OF DOVI SANDERS KENNEDY, by and through its Administrator Richard Kennedy; and LYLE BLOOM, Individually and as Father of 2 Minor Children; and, JULIE BLOOM, Individually and as Mother of 2 Minor Children; and ANDREA MCFARLANE, RN, Individually and as Mother of 4 Minor Children; and JENNIFER GREENSLADE, Individually and as Mother of 2 Minor Children; and STEVEN M. ROTH, MD, Individually; and MATT SCHWEDER, Individually and as Father of a Minor Child. Plaintiffs, vs. XAVIER BECERRA, Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, in his official and personal capacities, DR. ANTHONY FAUCI, Director of the National Institute of Allergies and Infectious Diseases, in his official and personal capacities, DR. JANET WOODCOCK, Acting Commissioner of the Food and Drug Administration, in her official and personal capacities, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, the FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, the CENTER FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF HEALTH, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ALLERGIES AND INFECTIOUS DISEASES, and JOHN AND JANE DOES I-V. Defendants. Filed in The United States District Court For The Northern District of Alabama, 06/10/21 (pp. 113)
- **Plaintiffs Motion For Preliminary Injunction - Against Use of COVID Vaccine in Children:** AMERICA'S FRONTLINE DOCTORS, et al., Plaintiffs, vs. XAVIER BECERRA, Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, et al., Defendants. Filed in The United States District Court For The Northern District if Alabama, 07/19/21 (pp. 67)
    - DECLARATION OF Jane Doe (pp. 2)
      ... I am a computer programmer with subject matter expertise in the healthcare data analytics field, an honor that allows me access to Medicare and Medicaid data maintained by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). I earned a B.S. degree in Mathematics and have, over the last 25 years, developed over 100 distinct healthcare fraud detection algorithms, both in the public and private sector....
      It is my professional estimate that VAERS (the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System) database, while extremely useful, is under-reported by a conservative factor of at least 5. On July 9, 2021, there were 9,048 deaths reported in VAERS. I verified these numbers by collating all of the data from VAERS myself, not relying on a third party to report them. In tandem, I queried data from CMS medical claims with regard to vaccines and patient deaths, and have assessed that the deaths occurring within 3 days of vaccination are higher than those reported in VAERS by a factor of at least 5. This would indicate the true number of vaccine-related deaths was at least 45,000. Put in perspective, the swine flu vaccine was taken off the market which only resulted in 53 deaths.
      I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.
      Executed on July 12, 2021.

Case 2:21-cv-00702-CLM Document 15 Filed 07/19/21 Page 2 of 67

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 3
II. SUMMARY OF FACTS 3
A. The Unlawful Vaccine Emergency Use Authorizations 3
(1) 21 U.S.C. § 360bbb-3(b)(1)(C): There is No Emergency 3
(2) § 360bbb-3(c)(I): There is in Fact no Serious or Life-Threatening Disease or Condition 7
(3) § 360bbb-3(c)(2)(A): The Vaccines Do Not Diagnose, Treat or Prevent SARS-CoV-2 or COVID-19 7
(4) § 360bbb-3 (c)(2)(B): The Known and Potential Risks of the Vaccines Outweigh their Known and Potential Benefits 9
(5) § 360bbb-3(c)(3): There Are Adequate, Approved and Available Alternatives to the Vaccines 20
(6) § 360bbb-3(e)(I)(A)(i) and (ii): Healthcare Professionals and Vaccine Candidates are Not Adequately Informed 23
(7) § 360bbb-3(e)(I)(A)(iii): Monitoring and Reporting of Adverse Events 32
B. The Under-18 Age Category 35
C. Those Previously Infected with SARS-COV-2 38
D. Whistleblower Testimony: 45,000 Deaths Caused by the Vaccines 41
III. LAW AND ANALYSIS 42
A. Likelihood of Success on the Merits 43
1) Plaintiffs Have Standing 43
2) Defendants' Actions are Reviewable 45
i. Plaintiffs' Injuries are Within the Zone of Interests 45
ii. No Statutory Preclusion 46
iii. The Emergency Declaration and the EUAs are "Final" Agency Action 55
iv. Not "Committed to Agency Discretion" 56
B. Irreparable Injury 58
C. Balance of Equities (Hardships) and the Public Interest 64
IV. CONCLUSION 65
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 67

- AFLDS Files Lawsuit Seeking Immediate Injunctive Relief Revoking The Emergency Use Of COVID-19 Vaccines Based on Disturbing New Mortality Data, 20 Jul 2021

  ... AFLDS seeks to immediately stop any further use of the investigational COVID vaccines for the following three groups:

  Group (1) Young people 18 years of age and under. Human children are not experiments. Children are at statistically 0% risk of death from COVID-19. By contrast, expert studies show that the number killed by the vaccines is well into the tens of thousands and those injured is well into the hundreds of thousands. AFLDS Communications Director, Dr. Teryn Clarke says:"Children are one third of our population and all of our future. Children are never the experiment."

  Group (2) All who have already recovered from COVID-19. Natural immunity is superior to manufactured immunity. In addition, the vaccines can trigger a dangerous and deadly hyper-immune response in people with immunity.

  Group (3) Every other American who has not yet received informed consent, as defined by Federal law. It is unlawful, and unconstitutional, to administer experimental agents to individuals who cannot make an informed decision as to the true benefits and risks of the vaccines. They must be of an age or a capacity to make informed decisions and they must be provided with all of the risk/benefit information necessary to make an informed decision.

- Federal Lawsuit Seeks Immediate Halt of COVID Vaccines, Cites Whistleblower Testimony Claiming CDC Is Under-Counting Vaccine Deaths, Megan Redshaw, *Children's Health Defense*, 20 Jul 2021
  America's Frontline Doctors filed a motion to stop the use of Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) COVID vaccines for anyone under 18, anyone with natural immunity or anyone who hasn't received informed consent.

⇈ Constitutional Rights Centre Inc. (CRC)

Constitutional Rights Centre Inc.
Centre De Droit Constitutionnel Inc.
CONSTITUTIONALRIGHTSCENTRE.CA

- **Lawsuits**
- **Additional Background**
- **Recent Publications / Developments**

> My takeaway—springing from something that Robert said about freedom of speech—as a Constitutional Lawyer, when I am asked "What do you do?" I simply in a word say to them "I protect human attributes and humanity." The framers of the U.S. Constitution had it right when they talked about inalienable rights, meaning the rights that were given to you by your Creator, even if you believe your creator is just your mother. And so, they're suppressing our freedom to think. If you can think, but can't speak, your freedom of thought is useless. If you can speak but can't associate, your freedom of speech is useless. If you can associate but can't assemble, your freedom of association is useless. So they are one unbroken—although we break them down for analytical purposes—these Constitutional Rights that are attached to the person, are human attributes and this whole Covid agenda has done in lockstep everything it can do, or try to do, to dehumanize us. And Robert was quite right, with the implementation of devices into our—experimental implementation of devices that's already out there through the World Economic Forum, it is a movement with AI towards a Borg-like transformation of humanity and that is dehumanizing, and we have to resist it.
>
> —Rocco Galati, 5 Jan 2021

↑ Lawsuits

- For Constitutional Rights Violations by Federal, Provincial, and Municipal governments in their response to Covid-19 including breach of fiduciary responsibility by CBC to report both sides of the news, 6 Jul 2020
- Notice of Application against Ontario government, School Boards and Public Health Officers regarding unconstitutional measures being imposed upon children, 20 Apr 2021
- Police Court Action Against Ontario Government Over COVID Measures Enforcement Duties, 20 Apr 2021

- **Statement of Claim filed 6 Jul 2020 for Constitutional Violations by all levels of government in their Covid-19 response including breach of fiduciary responsibility by CBC to report both sides of the news**

  STATEMENT OF CLAIM: ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE BETWEEN: Vaccine Choice Canada (VCC) [7 other plaintiffs] and Denis RANCOURT VS Justin TRUDEAU, Prime Minister of Canada, Dr. Theresa TAM. Chief Medical Officer for Canada, Marc GARNEAU, Canadian Transport Minister, Doug FORD. Premier of Ontario, Christine ELLIOT, Minister of Health and Long-Term Care for Ontario, Stephen LECCE, Minister of Education for Ontario, Dr. David WILLIAMS, Ontario Chief Medical Officer, CITY OF TORONTO, John TORY, Mayor City of Toronto, Dr. Eileen DE VILLA, Toronto Chief Medical Officer, The County of WELLINGTON-DUFFERIN-GUELPH ("CWDG"), Nicola MERCER (Chief) Medical officer lor CWDG, WINDSOR-ESSEX COUNTY, Dr. Wajid AHMED (Chief) Medical Officer for Windsor-Essex County, Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Ontario, Attorney General of Canada, Attorney General of Ontario, The Canadian Broadcasting Corporation ("CBC"), Johns and James DOE. officials and employees of the above-noted Defendants. Filed: 6 Jul 2020 (pp. 191)

  Starting out 32+ years ago as a tax litigator for the Canadian Dept of Justice, Constitutional Lawyer Rocco Galati (B.A.(McGill), LL.B., LL.M. (Osgoode)) switched sides after 3 years and since then only does "cases against the government." As Founder, President and Director of The Constitutional Rights Centre in Ontario, Canada, Mr Galati is representing Vaccine Choice Canada and seven other plaintiffs in a lawsuit filed on 6 July 2020 against Prime Minister Justin TRUDEAU, Canadian Chief Medical Officer Dr. Theresa TAM, Canadian Transport Minister Marc GARNEAU, Premier of Ontario Doug FORD, and others including the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. From page 16 of the 191-page **Statement Of Claim**:

  > u) A Declaration that:
  > (i) the Defendant Federal Crown, and its agencies and officials, including but not restricted to the CRTC, have, by glaring acts and omissions, breached the rights of the Plaintiffs to freedom of speech, expression, and the press, by not taking any action to curtail what has been described by the UK scientific community as "Stalinist censorship", particularly the CBC in knowingly refusing to cover/or publish the valid and sound criticism of the COVID measures, by recognized experts;

  As Mr. Galati stated in an update on 19 Dec 2020 at the Post-Covid19 Stockholm Peace Summit, regarding this lawsuit:

  > When I filed on July 6th, 2020, government lawyers were calling it a conspiracy theory and threatened to bring in an application to throw the case out. Well, since then, everything that I wrote for my clients in this **Statement Of Claim** on July 6th, is history. It's understated past old news. Everything we put in there happened and we're here now living it....
  >
  > Of course the problem is that the population obviously is traumatized. They don't read, they don't want to read, they don't want to accept that their government could possibly be doing them harm. I'm always—when I'm criticized, they say, Why don't you trust your government? Then I remind people that governments have a quaint history of engaging in the following activities: war, genocide, crimes against humanity, persecution, and suppression of every human right ever declared. So why would I necessarily trust the government that's given me bogus science, bogus medicine, measures that make no sense?...
  >
  > While people call the allegations of the global agenda behind these measures, conspiracy theories, it's now gotten to the point where that kind of allegation is embarrassing. I remind people I'm not a conspiracy theorist, I'm a conspiracy analyst. And if you can't see what's really happening from the use of the PCR test—I mean, our criminal courts: if you run a PCR test for DNA analysis past a certain cycle—I think it's 25—it's not admissible in court because it's recognized, it's unreliable. We are running tests in Ontario at 43 to 45 cycles. Anything will test positive at that cycle. And I'm sure when the vaccine is administered, they'll run them at five cycles and claim that they've cured the pandemic. That's coming up the road as well.

  The CRC lawsuit says Trudeau and Ford dispensed their parliaments and have been acting in a manner that was constitutionally abolished 350 years ago.

  > A Declaration that the "COVID Measures" undertaken and orchestrated by Prime Minister Trudeau ("Trudeau"), and the Federal Crown, constitute a constitutional violation of "dispensing with Parliament, under the pretense of Royal Prerogative", contrary to the **English Bill of Rights (1689)** as read into our unwritten constitutional rights through the Pre-Amble of **the Constitution Act, 1867**, emanating from the unwritten constitutional principles of Rule of Law, Constitutionalism and Democracy, as enunciated by the Supreme Court of Canada in, **inter alia, Quebec Secession Reference;**

  Part 1,c is representative of a number of instances in the opening CLAIM:

  > 1. As against the Crown and Municipal Defendants the Plaintiffs claim:
  > ...
  > c) A Declaration that the COV1D Measures taken by both Trudeau and Ford, and their respective governments, at the blind and unquestioned dictates of the World Health Organization ("WHO") bureaucrats, constitute a constitutional violation of the abdication of the duty to govern, as enunciated in, **inter alia**, the **Re Gray** and **Canada (Wheat Board)** v. **Hallett and Carey Ltd.** decisions of the Supreme Court of Canada;
  > d) A Declaration that the COVID Measures undertaken by Trudeau, and his officials, violate ss. 2, 7, 8, 9, and 15 of the **Charter**, specifically the measures:
  > (i) "self isolation";
  > (ii) "social distancing";
  > (iii) the compulsory wearing of face masks;
  > (iv) arbitrary and unjustified closure of businesses;
  > In that the Measures are not:
  > (i) scientifically, nor medically, based nor proven to be effective whatsoever;
  > (ii) pose physical and psychological harm; and
  > (iii) are extreme, unwarranted and unjustified;
  > And that the measures violate of s.2 (right of association) s. 7 (life, liberty, and security of person), s.8 (unlawful search and seizure), s. 9 (arbitrary detention by enforcement officers), s. 15(equality before and under the law), are further not in accordance with the tenets of fundamental justice in their overbreadth, nor are they justified under s. 1 of the Charter in that they are not demonstrably justified in a free and democratic society;

- **Notice of Application against Ontario government, School Boards and Public Health Officers regarding school lock-downs, lock-outs, and treatment of children under the COVID measures and to hold these officials accountable for the unconstitutional measures being imposed upon children**

  NOTICE OF APPLICATION: **BETWEEN: M.A. and L.A.** (Minors represented by their Litigation Guardian Renata Dziak), **E.P. and R.P.** (Minors represented by their Litigation Guardian Catherine Braund-Pereira), **L.S.** (Minor represented by his Litigation Guardian Bojan Sajlovic), **N.K.** (Minor represented by his Litigation Guardian Helena Kosin) (Students at the Toronto District School Board), **Nancy O'Brien** (Toronto District School Board Teacher); **G.M., W.M., J.M., and L.M.** (Minors represented by their Litigation Guardian Scarlett Martyn), **M.D.** (Minor represented by Litigation Guardian Lindsay Denike) (Students at the Durham District School Board), **Katrina Wiens** (Teacher at Durham District School Board); **M.L.J. and M.G.J.** (Minors represented by their Litigation Guardian Angela Johnston), **C.V., E.W., and M.V.** (Minors represented by their Litigation Guardian Jeff Varcoe) (Students at the Halton District School Board), **David Sykes** (Teacher, Resource Consultant for the Deaf, Provincial Schools Authority); **N.M.** (Minor represented by his Litigation Guardian Lorie Lewis) **J.R.B.** (Minor represented by his Litigation Guardian Jocelyne Bridle), **Children's Health Defence (Canada)**, and **Educators for Human Rights** Applicant(s) -and- Eileen **De Villa**, (Chief Medical Officer, City of Toronto Public Health), **City of Toronto**, Dr. Lawrence **Loh**, (Chief Medical Officer for Peel Public Health), Hamidah **Meghani**, (Chief Medical Officer for Halton Public Health), Robert **Kyle**, (Chief Medical Officer for Durham Public Health), Dr. Nicola **Mercer**, (Chief Medical Officer for Wellington-Dufferin-Guelph Public Health), Dr. David **Williams** (Ontario Chief Medical Officer of Health), **The Attorney General for Ontario**, **The Minister of Education**, **The Minister of Health and Long-Term Care**, **The Toronto District School Board**, **The Halton District School Board**, **The Durham District School Board**, Robert **Hochberg**, Principal at Runnymede Public School, Superintendent Debbie **Donsky** of Toronto District School Board, **Johns and Janes Does** (Officials of the Defendants Minister of Education, Health and Long-Term Care and School Boards). Filed in ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE. 20 Apr 2021 (pp. 22)

  20 Apr 2021: Children's Health Defense (Canada), Educators for Human Rights (an Association of Teachers), as well as a group of seventeen (17) children (through their litigation guardian parents), along with three individual teachers, filed Notice of Application against the government of Ontario and various School Boards and Public Health Officers regarding school lock-downs, lock-outs, treatment of children under the COVID measures and to hold these officials accountable for the unconstitutional measures being imposed upon children. (See Litigation Guardian Renata Dziak's Open Letter: Schools ***Must*** go "Back to Normal" in the Fall—A Scientist's Perspective written to her Toronto MPP in June 2020.)

  Press Conference on Notice of Application, 6 May 2021: Defending Children's Rights ~ School Action (51:02 mp3)
  Defending Children's Rights - Post Press Conference Interview (13:07 mp3)

- **Police Court Action Against Ontario Government Over COVID Measures Enforcement Duties**

  NOTICE OF APPLICATION BETWEEN: Sgt, Julie Evans (Active Toronto Police Service Officer), Christopher Vandenbos (Active York Regional Police Officer), Sgt. Gregory Boltyansky (Active Toronto Police Service Officer), Adrienne Gilvesy (Active Toronto Police Service Officer) Matthew Blacklaws (Active Toronto Police Service Officer), Vilika Zafirides (Active Hamilton Police Service Officer), John Doe #1 (Active Toronto Police Service Officer), John Doe #2 (Active Ontario Provincial Police Officer), John Doe #3 (Active Toronto Police Service Officer), John Doe #4 (Active Toronto Police Service Officer), John Doe #5 (Active Toronto Police Service Officer), John D oc #6 (Active Niagara Regional Police Service Officer), John Doc #7 (Active Niagara Regional Police Service Officer), John Doe #8 (Active Niagara Regional Police Service Officer), Jane Doc #1 (Active Ottawa Police Service Officer), Ecu Paul (Retired Inspector, Toronto Police Service), Wendy Suzanne Long (Retired Corporal, Royal Canadian Mounted Police), James Robert Tallevi (Retired Police Constable, Niagara Regional Police Service) Robert Stocki (Retired Police Sgt., Ottawa Police Service) Applicant(s) -and- Attorney General for Ontario, Premier Doug Ford, Her Majesty The Queen In Right of Canada, James Ramer (Chief of Police, Toronto Police Service), Jim MaeSween (Chief of Police, York Regional Police), Bryan MacCulloch (Chief of police, Niagara Regional Police), Peter Sloly (Chief of Police, Ottawa Police Service), Eric Girt (Chief of Police, Hamilton Police Service), and Attorney General of Canada Respondent(s). SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE, Filed: 20 Apr 2021 (pp. 25)

  Rocco Galati and the Constitutional Rights Centre, acting on behalf of 15 active and 4 retired Police officers, has filed a Notice of Application in Ontario Superior Court to seek clarification, and challenge, the Province's Covid-measures, and their enforcement, as breaching and violating the Police Oath which Oath includes upholding the Constitution.

  Press Conference, 29 Apr 2021 (1:05:51 mp3)

↑ Additional Background

- Legal Challenge to COVID-19 Measures Filed In Ontario Superior Court - Vaccine Choice Canada
- VCC Legal Challenge Press Conference with Rocco Galati (28:19) 9 Jul 2020
- Ezra Levant Interviews Constitutional Lawyer Suing Trudeau, Dr. Tam, John Tory and more (28:19, w/transcript) 9 Jul 2020
- Rocco Galati, ESQ & Dr. Dolores Cahill, PhD join Host Doug McKenty discuss current Medical/Health Freedom Legal Landscape (2:17:54) 8 Sep 2020
- Canadian Constitutional Lawyer, Rocco Galati: Lockdowns Violate Constitutional Rights, 20 Sep 2020
  Part 1 (8:55); Part 2 (5:44); Part 3 (10:37); Part 4 (6:31)
- Rocco Galati w/Dr. Sherri Tenpenny On COVID Crimes Against Humanity & Pending Lawsuits (50:42) 21 Sep 2020
- Rocco Galati, Canadian Constitutional Lawyer at Toronto Freedom Rally (27:02) 17 Oct 2020
  Beginning at 07:52:
  "Anyone who was awake at the time, and there were many of us —there were many of us who, in fairness, feared, but were too—felt too alone and didn't want to buck the system in their community to express themselves. But anybody who was awake saw this coming. Because it had been expressed, open—them planning—it's been in the planning for decades.
  So I want to talk about human beings and humanity, because at the end of the day constitutional lawyers, in defending constitutional rights, are defending the human attributes that make us human. It's no more complicated than that.
  I want to first say to Mr. Trudeau, Mr. Ford, Mr. Tory respectfully: None of us want to sing in your fascist, muzzled, rat-race choir that you're conducting—that you're conducting through a score of pornography of fear for globalist criminals. So I'd like to say to each of you respectfully: Stop lying to your citizens you're supposed to serve! Stop lying about the bogus, fraudulent "testing"! Tell us what the PCR tests—about the PCR test and what it actually tests. You know it does not test for any virus. Your case counts are criminal propaganda. Your discourse of numbers is criminally fraudulent. In the USA they call that data fraud, and actually have criminal provisions geared at state officials who engage in data fraud, because it misleads the public So stop lying about cases to scare us about deaths."
- VCC legal challenge of Constitutional Validity of Immunization of School Pupils Act and Ontario's compulsory vaccine regime, 28 Oct 2020
- COVID-19: Rocco Galati's Legal Action against the Canadian Government and CBC, Nov 2020
- film: Rocco Galati on COVID Lockdown Measures. Crimes against Humanity. Lawsuit against Government of Canada, (14:55 and text summary) 13 Dec 2020
  audio: "Only in a Fascist Police State" Rocco Galati on Covid Measures (59:26)
- Rocco Galati at the Post-Covid19 Stockholm Peace Summit, (30:24) 28 Jan 2021
- Roundtable with Rocco Galati and Catherine Austin Fitts - CRC Fundraiser Broadcast - FINANCE (6 of 6), (30:24) 28 Jan 2021

↑ Recent Publications / Developments

- CHDC - CRC Expert Discussion on Universities - Colleges & "Vaccines" (43:19, mp3) *CHDC / CRC*, 29 Jul 2021
  Children's Health Defense Canada (CHD Canada) and the Constitutional Rights Centre(CRC), joined by Medical and Academic expertise, discuss and announce the challenging of illegal and unconstitutional mandatory COVID "vaccine" policies at Ontario Colleges and Universities.
- Is Justice Actually Blind? Court Injunction Bans All Protests in Nova Scotia, Canada (29:36) *CRC*, 28 May 2021
- Children's Health Defence (Canada), Educators for Human Rights, Teachers and Children File Against Ontario Government, School Boards & Public Health Officers, Rocco Galati (51:02, mp3), *CRC*, May 10 2021
  Notice of Application (pp. 22)
- Police Court Action Against Ontario Government Over COVID Measures Enforcement Duties, Rocco Galati (1:05:52, mp3), *CRC*, May 4 2021
  Court Filing (pp. 25)
- It's All Playing Out: Taking a Closer Look at a "Leaked" Email from the PMO's Office From 7 Months Ago... (27:32, mp3), *CRC*, 23 Apr 2021
- "Conspiracy Theorists", "Conspiracies", "Covidism" & "Reality" "A Piece of the Rocco," *A Weekly Opinion Column by Rocco Galati*, *CRC*, 20 Mar 2021
- Covidism: The Vilification and Abuse of Children & the Elderly "A Piece of the Rocco," *A Weekly Opinion Column by Rocco Galati*, *CRC*, 13 Mar 2021
- Money & Finance Under Covid: A conversation with Catherine Austin Fitts, Rocco Galati (2:19:49, mp3), *CRC*, 12 Mar 2021
  Divided up:
    - Part 1 - What Is Money? (27:44)
    - Part 2 - Central Banks & Balance of Power (27:21)
    - Intrinsic Human Value + Modern Slavery + Transhumanism (33:57)
    - The "Great" Reset + Things You Can do to Help Yourself (50:37) "*the only thing between us and freedom is mind control*"

  The censored discussion under Covid has been about "cases, cases, cases" and the measures that spring from those unscientific and unreliable "case counts" based on a debunked PCR test at 35+ Threshold Cycle rate. The measures saturating the censored media are: lockdowns, social distancing, masking and vaccines. What is not being discussed is the monetary, financial, and economic impact and agenda of the measures. Why? Very few people understand it, or can explain it in clear and concise terms, from a perspective of its human impact. Those who do will not talk about it because they are involved in the profitable and greedily monstrous agenda. An exception to this is Catherine Austin Fitts. Please watch my video conversation with her. It concerns the financial well-being of you and your family.
- Rocco Galati: Your Rights to decline a Vaccine in the context of Employment, (8:45) *CRC*, 8 Mar 2021
- **28 January 2021:** CRC fundraiser chaired by Rocco Galati conducted six roundtable live broadcasts covering the following subject areas.
    1. LAW with Randy Hillier, Amina Sherazee, Dominic Desjarlais and Stéphan Blais (40:53)
    2. SCIENCE with Dr. Sherri Tenpenny, Dr. Ben Tapper and Dr. Stephen Malthouse (51;10)
    3. EDUCATION with Amanada Forbes, Christine Colebeck, Ted Kuntz and Peter Stock (49:03)
    4. FAITH with Lori Ladd, Jason Christoff, Zissis Liolois and Loesje Jacob (25:44)
    5. MEDIA with Rajie Kabli, Ali Zeck and Matt Belair (48:37)
    6. FINANCE with Catherine Austin Fitts (30:24)

6. FINANCE with Catherine Austin Fitts (30:24)

Conducting such wide-ranging discussions about our world and the unfolding destructive consequences of the 2020 Fear Pandemic reflects the quality of dedication to the creative Life-affirming evolution of the human project so dearly needed at this seminal time. As Mr. Galati said at the Toronto Freedom Rally on 17 Oct 2020, “at the end of the day constitutional lawyers, in defending constitutional rights, are defending the human attributes that make us human. It’s no more complicated than that.”

Medical Censorship & Harms of Lockdowns

An exclusive interview with 3 Canadian Frontline Doctors.
Dr. Kulvinder Kaur Gill, Dr. Patrick Phillips and Dr. Ashvinder Lamba
Hosted by Amina Sherazee | Human Rights Lawyer
Co-Director of The Constitutional Rights Centre
video, mp3, (1:02:02) 22 Feb 2021
Source Links; local HTML, PDF

Beginning at 1:16:
In the Covid context, what we see are Doctors who are speaking about the state of public health care with respect to our treatment options as well as with respect to the impact of the health care policies and the Covid measures that are taken. What these Doctors are facing, as result of doing that, is silencing by their colleagues, repercussions from their college and stigmatization from the public. This is serious threat to their right to Section 2B under The Charter which is the right of Freedom of Expression and speech. The Constitutional Rights Centre is concerned about the fact that in a health care crisis the very voices that need to be heard—the Doctors, the Nurses, the frontline workers—are precisely the voices that are under threat and being silenced.

Here, to join me on this panel are three esteemed Doctors who have bravely spoken about the reality on the ground of what their experience—what they're seeing in their patient populations with the most vulnerable sectors. The same groups of people that Covid measures are allegedly intended to protect.

Dr. Ashvinder Lamba
Family Physician in Primary & Long-Term Care,
Board Director of Concerned Ontario Doctors

Dr. Patrick Phillips
Frontline Emergency Medicine & Family Physician

Dr. Kulvinder Kaur Gill
President, Concerned Ontario Doctors, Frontline Physician

Additionally, see The mainstream media, feeding off the CPSO with respect to Dr. Kulvinder Gill, continues to spread its addiction to its own “misinformation”. *CRC Newsletter*, 4 Mar 2021

Children’s Health Defense (CHD)

Children’s Health Defense

- **Our Inalienable Rights**
- **Counting Deaths as Covid**
- **Question the Experts**
- **Devastating Covid Measures**
- **Legal Resources**
- **Lawsuits**

Our Inalienable Rights

Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. is the Founder, Chairman of the Board and Chief Legal Counsel for Children’s Health Defense. Mr. Kennedy spoke in Berlin on 29 August 2020 to more than a million people—the largest demonstration Germany has ever had. Affirming his devotion to the creative evolution of the human project for which his Father and his Uncle gave their lives, he began his speech, addressing the hopes and desires of people throughout our world:

> Back home in the United States the newspapers are saying that I came here today to speak to about 5,000 Nazis. And tomorrow they’re going to report that yes, I was here, and I spoke to maybe 3 to 5,000 Nazis. I look at this crowd and I see the opposite of Nazism. I see people who love democracy. People who want open government. People who want leaders who are not going to lie to them. People who want leaders who will not make up arbitrary rules and regulations to orchestrate obedience of the population. We want health officials who don’t have financial entanglements with the pharmaceutical industry. Who are working for us and not Big Pharma. We want officials who care about our children’s health and not about pharmaceutical profits or government control. I look at this crowd, I see all the flags of Europe. I see people of every color. I see people from every nation, every religion, all caring about human dignity, about children’s health, about political freedom. This is the opposite of nazism.
>
> —Robert F. Kennedy, Jr.

Understanding the issue of safeguarding human rights in this period of crisis is fundamental to the unfolding consequences of what is occurring. In January 2021 Mr Kennedy sent a letter to 100,000 lawyers stating, “The COVID-19 pandemic has proven an opportunity of convenience for totalitarian elements who have put individual rights and freedoms globally under siege.” In the letter Kennedy urged his fellow attorneys to read a new 13-page report, Individual Rights and Freedoms Under Siege in Era of COVID. The report explores the legal rights to informed consent, bodily integrity, the right to refuse unwanted medical interventions, religious expression and autonomy. All of these rights will be “dramatically constricted” if employers, states and/or the federal government impose vaccine mandates.

Counting Deaths as Covid

An underlying dynamic in this situation continues to be that CDC changed the rules on 24 March 2020 about counting deaths as Covid with COVID-19 Alert No. 2. This is covered in If COVID Fatalities Were 90.2% Lower, How Would You Feel About Schools Reopening? (CHD, 24 Jul 2020). While long and covering a wide swath, focus on the fourth key finding listed at the top:

> Had the CDC used its industry standard, *Medical Examiners’ and Coroners’ Handbook on Death Registration and Fetal Death Reporting Revision 2003*, as it has for all other causes of death for the last 17 years, the COVID-19 fatality count would be approximately 90.2% lower than it currently is.

Additionally, on 17 Feb 2021 Stand for Health Freedom convened a panel of eight experts – doctors, lawyers, medical ethicists, educators, lawmakers and researchers – to analyze and assess the consequences of this abrupt change by the CDC in how death certificates are now recorded. Titled, Data Disaster: A Call for an Investigation Into the CDC’s Conduct During COVID-19 this group shines a bright light on why accuracy, integrity and transparency are so important during a public health crisis; how the CDC set the stage for widespread physical, psychological, and economic devastation; and steps we can take so that incidents of this magnitude never happen again.

Question the Experts

In a 5 January 2021 roundtable discussion convened for an investigative enquiry into the global public health sector, Robert Kennedy, Jr. reflected on an aspect of how democratic processes *can* serve the needs of humanity. In a segment leading off with an observation about Anthony Fauci, he said:

> What I think is important to understand is that he’s a technocrat. No matter how expert, no matter how important and pervasive and thorough his expertise, you still need democracy to control the apparatchiks and the technocrats. What irritates me a lot is when I see Joe Biden and other people who are leading the Democratic party constantly say “We need to trust the experts” because as you know I’ve fought hundreds of law suits in my time and in every law suit and every trial that I’ve ever had there are experts on both sides and they’re both equally convincing. And that’s why when we go to a doctor we get a second opinion. Doctors and experts should not be running our democracy. We don’t allow that. We don’t say we are going to appoint an expert and then we are going to just trust everything he says. That is not democratic. That’s how totalitarian regimes work.
>
> My wife came to the Monsanto trial and the day that she arrived Monsanto was doing direct examination on its own witnesses. And they all were all Harvard School of Public Health, they were from Stanford, they were from the leading institutions. These were the leading lights in toxicity and epidemiology and all of these other disciplines that the trial was focused on. My wife heard those and she said “What are you even doing here? These people are credible and trustworthy and convincing, absolutely.” Then she saw our cross examination. Then she heard our witnesses and then she said, “Why did those guys even show up for this trial?”
>
> Part of democracy is, when my uncle had the Cuban missile crisis, the experts were in the room—virtually everybody wanted—of the 13 people that he had assembled as his experts—virtually every one of them with the exception of my father and Bob McNamara wanted to drop nuclear bombs on Cuba. If that had happened—we now know that the missiles in Cuba that the Russian had there were already armed and that the individuals who were running those sites had independent authority to launch if they found themselves under attack.
>
> We would have obliterated the world. We would have had nuclear winter. We would have destroyed the planet. My uncle said I want to see the aerial photographs myself. And he questioned the experts. And he made them explain things to him and he got both sides of the story and he brought in the best people to criticize them. And he made that investigation separately. He didn’t just trust the experts and say the military and CIA are telling me they know this area and they are telling me to bomb. You don’t do that. The job of a politician is to take advice from experts, to respect them and respect expertise. But you don’t let them run the country.
>
> You question them and you try and figure out—listen, Tony Fauci, even if he is the best expert in the world, okay, on epidemiology or on pathogenic proliferation, let’s say he knows more than anybody and he can predict exactly how many people are going to die depending on masks and lockdowns—he has no expertise in the economy. How many people are going to die when you shut down the economy? When you close 60 million businesses? When you obliterate the social safety net? When you starve—there are 10 thousand children dying a month in Africa because of a lockdown.
>
> **Rocco Galati:** The last very quick question I had picking up on the example you gave of your sober uncle dispassionately taking in and then coming to a decision that would save the world, essentially. Do you David and Robert take any comfort—quite apart from the mass rallies and people who are objecting to this, even though they are censored—do you take any comfort in the many decisions that have gone to court and have been successful against the Covid measures such as your U.S. Court? ...
>
> **RFK Jr.:** Rocco, I think our only hope is the courts, I think. The courts have done a really good job of not—mostly—we have taken beatings in the court too. But there are judges out there and it appears that the Supreme Court judges who—most of whom I don’t like much but they understand that we’re on the same team when it comes to recognizing that this is the onset of a really ugly dark totalitarianism and they have indicated they may be willing to stand up to that.

Pursuing genuine accountability in the courts by forcing government officials to prove what’s happening IS an emergency ***is the most effective course*** to demand accountability and reveal the truth regarding obfuscations, omissions, lies, and corrupt, criminal policy decisions as well as gaining leverage on imperatives like Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. and CHD Urge Congress to Investigate the Origins of COVID-19 (CHD, 20 Oct 2020).

Devastating Covid Measures

In Mr. Kennedy’s 17 Feb 2021 meeting with the Corona Committee, he discussed with Reiner Fuellmich serious consequences of injuries and deaths from Covid vaccinations and the pervasive, destructive censorship against criticism of global lockdowns. The following exchange illustrates how whistleblowers who have reported horrific accounts of people in German nursing homes either being severely injured by vaccinations or dying are beginning to speak out:

> **Reiner Fuellmich:** It looks as though there’s something seriously, seriously, wrong with these, let me say injections rather than vaccinations. Do you know of any cases in the United States where maybe the DA is looking into such incidents or is the same story happening in the United States and people don’t want to mess with this?
>
> **RFK, Jr.:** It’s the same story in the United States and probably the worst malpractice. Everybody is frightened. Nobody is willing to challenge the orthodoxy. The worst malpractice is happening among the American media which punishes and censors people who report vaccine injuries. If you report a vaccine injury on Facebook you’re posting will be taken down and you will be de-platformed. I was de-platformed from Instagram this week. I never put—and they said, because I told falsehoods about Covid. But I never had a single falsehood. Every single posting that we put on Instagram was sourced to a public government database or to a peer reviewed publication. Nobody can show me a single, false statement that I made on Instagram.
>
> But if you criticize the orthodoxy, you will be silenced, you will be demoted, you will be punished. The people in the press know that and the press just doesn’t report it. They report it the way that they’re told to report it which is these aren’t Covid deaths, these are normal and don’t worry about it. So there’s no scrutiny of this enterprise. All of the institutions that democracy has erected to protect vulnerable children from large corporations and from overreaching by government have been dismantled. The lawyers can’t sue in the United States. The regulatory agencies are captured, are an arm of the industry, and the press is also captured. And of course the, the frontline medical doctors are punished if they report vaccine injury.

Beginning at 42:30, RFK, Jr. reflected on the critical need of learning to communicate in other ways given expanding autocratic state censorship and use of the courts.

> We’re off of social media. The mainstream press is now captured by the pharmaceutical industry. But there are now other evolving ways for people who are learning to communicate to each other in other ways. And we need to do that. We need to establish credible ways that people who care about democracy, who care about civil rights, who are concerned about the ascendancy of the medical cartel, to begin really revolting against those—the increase and unacceptable power, of those power centers. One of those ways is through communication. The other is in many of our independent nations there are opportunities in the courts where you still have integrity in the court and the judicial system of some of our countries. It’s getting smaller and smaller and more constricted but I think, those to me, those present the best opportunities, really: public organizing, public communication and use of the courts....
>
> We’re suing Facebook at the moment. We’re suing Facebook for censoring us. But Facebook has a right to censor people. Facebook is a private company. If they don’t like what you say they can throw you off. But in our case, what we argue is that the government instructed them to the censor us. Adam Schiff, who’s the head of the Intelligence Committee, told them to censor all vaccine misinformation. And Facebook has said that it is coordinating its censorship with CDC and with WHO, and with the US State Department.
>
> In our country, private companies can censor you, but the government is not allowed to censor you. What our argument in our court case against Facebook says is you are acting as a government surrogate. So you are censoring us because the government told you to censor us and because they don’t like our political speech. That’s a violation of the First Amendment. That’s one of the approaches we’re bringing but we have many, many, many lawsuits right now.

CHD Legal Resources

Children’s Health Defense Legal Section has extensive legal resources that make aware and acquaints individuals about their legally protected rights to encourage, engage and empower individuals in a fight to preserve their fundamental human rights and on issues critical to health freedom. *Updated 4 June 2021*

- Notice for EUA Masks
- Notice for EUA Testing
- Notice for EUA Vaccines

Health Freedom Defense Fund (HFDF) seeks to ameliorate health injustice through education and advocacy. We aid families and individuals whose health rights have been infringed and support legal challenges to unjust laws that undermine our health and freedoms. If your rights have been infringed, we may be able to help.

Federal Law Prohibits Mandates of Emergency Use COVID Vaccines, Tests, Masks — 3 Resources You Can Use to Inform Your School or Employer, 18 May 2021
Under federal law, employers and universities cannot legally mandate COVID vaccines because they are unlicensed Emergency Use Authorization products which are, by definition, experimental.

CHD Lawsuits

Under Robert F. Kennedy, Jr.’s direction as Chief Legal Counsel, the Children’s Health Defense legal team is engaged in many Lawsuits challenging totalitarian government policies of the non-democratic, increasingly authoritarian and devastating Covid measures listed below.

- 10th Lawsuit Filed Against Merck, Alleging Gardasil HPV Vaccine Caused Serious Injuries, 23 Jul 2021
  Weeks after receiving Gardasil’s HPV vaccine, Abigail Stratton knew something was wrong. She was eventually diagnosed with postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome and dysautonomia, which left her with life-altering debilitating health problems.
- CHD Lawsuit Seeks to Overturn D.C. Law Allowing Kids to Be Vaccinated Without Parents’ Knowledge or Consent, 16 Jul 2021
  Children’s Health Defense and Parental Rights Foundation sued the District of Columbia mayor, health department and school system, seeking a court order to declare the D.C. Minor Consent for Vaccinations Amendment Act of 2020 unconstitutional.
- 68 Groups Representing More Than 1 Million People File Amicus Brief in Support of CHD’s Lawsuit Against FCC, 6 Jul 2021
  The organizations allege the FCC refused to acknowledge significant policy, legal and practical problems associated with a new rule amendment allowing fixed wireless companies to contract with private property owners to place point-to-point antennas on their property.
- Federal Judge Grants Facebook’s Motion to Dismiss in CHD v. Facebook, 30 Jun 2021
  Judge Illston of the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California Tuesday granted Facebook’s motion to dismiss against CHD’s complaint filed against Facebook, Mark Zuckerberg and its fact checkers in August 2020.
- Public Citizen and Daily Kos Pinch-Hitting for Big Pharma in RFK Lawsuit, 29 Jun 2021
  Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. filed a petition with the Supreme Court of Westchester County to order Daily Kos to disclose the true name of “Downeast Dem” – a blogger who libeled Kennedy on the Daily Kos platform last year. Justice Mary H. Smith ruled in Kennedy’s favor in April, ordering Daily Kos to unmask Kennedy’s incognito attacker. Downeast Dem has no immunity from libel laws. Moulitsas and Kos Media have until tomorrow, June 30, to respond to the subpoena.
- Principal Brief Filed in Children’s Health Defense’s Case Against FCC Rule Allowing Base Station Antennas on Homes, 28 Jun 2021
  On June 23, CHD filed the opening brief in its case against the FCC’s OTARD Rule Amendment, which allows fixed wireless companies to contract with private property owners to place point-to-point antennas on their property.
- Children’s Health Defense Seeks Rutgers Students Opposed to COVID Vaccine Mandate for Legal Challenge, 4 Jun 2021
  Children’s s Health Defense is preparing a legal challenge against Rutgers University’s student-only COVID vaccine mandate for on-campus learning this fall 2021, and is seeking Rutgers students who oppose the mandate to become potential plaintiffs.
- Court Hear CHD’s Arguments Against Facebook, Zuckerberg and ‘Fact Checkers’, 6 May 2021
  Lawyers for Children’s Health Defense await the ruling of Judge Susan Illston after defending CHD’s lawsuit alleging government-sponsored censorship, false disparagement and wire fraud.
- Federal Government Illegally Pressured Facebook to Censor CHD Website, Social Media Content, Lawsuit Alleges, 9 Apr 2021
  Children’s Health Defense has made significant progress in the case against Facebook and Mark Zuckerberg since the last court filing, and looks forward to its next court date, May 5.
- CHD Appeals Decision in NYC Mandatory PCR Testing Case, Citing Federal Law, 8 Apr 2021
  After a court last month denied CHD’s motion for a preliminary injunction to stop New York City schools’ mandatory testing, lawyers filed an appeal citing federal law which says everyone must have the right to refuse an Emergency Use Authorization product.
- Judge Denies Injunction to End Mandatory PCR Testing in NYC Schools, 4 Mar 2021
  A judge denied CHD’s motion for preliminary injunction to end mandatory PCR COVID-19 testing in New York City schools, but CHD and other plaintiffs are weighing next legal options.
- CHD Appeals to U.S. Supreme Court to Stop New York From Excluding Kids With Medical Exemptions for Vaccines From Online Education, 26 Jan 2021
  After CHD and seven families sued the New York State Department of Health for refusing to let unvaccinated children with valid medical exemptions attend school, the state then also excluded the children from online learning.
- Vaxxed v. Unvaxxed: Lawsuit Seeks to Protect Americans From Discrimination Based on Vaccination Status, 6 Jan 2021
  In a federal complaint filed last month in the U.S. District Court of California, constitutional litigators Greg Glaser and Ray Flores presented results of a pilot study showing unvaccinated adults and children are healthier than their vaccinated counterparts.
- CHD Lawyers File Motion Against Daily Kos in RFK Jr. Defamation Case, 9 Dec 2020
  Daily Kos ran an article entitled “Anti-Vaxxer RFK, Jr. joins neo-Nazis in massive Berlin 'Anti-Corona' Protest.” The headline and those statements are utterly untrue, damaging and therefore defamatory.
- CHD Files First Amended Complaint in Lawsuit Against Facebook, 17 Nov 2020
  The amendment details allegations about how Facebook accomplishes its censorship of CHD's page through its surrogate "fact-checkers," who are neither "independent" nor objective, and why this agency relationship cannot insulate Facebook from liability under the federal Communications Decency Act, Section 230.
- CHD Sues NYC Dept. of Education, Mayor de Blasio for Arbitrary School Closures and Coerced Medical Testing, 18 Dec 2020
  Parents of eight New York City school children and Children’s Health Defense sued the Department of Education, Mayor de Blasio and other NYC officials to reopen schools and halt coerced in-school COVID-19 testing.
- New York Bar Urges State to Consider COVID Vaccine Mandate, 11 Nov 2020
  The bar association’s resolution fails to mention the principle of informed consent, the bedrock of ethical medicine.
- Court Denies Injunctive Relief, Plaintiffs Will Appeal in NY Medical Exemption Lawsuit, 27 Oct 2020
  Court won’t allow unvaccinated medically fragile children to even participate in online learning pending the lawsuit’s outcome.
- Contempt of Court Filed Against UC for Violating Judge’s Order, Temporary Restraining Order Requested, 26 Oct 2020
  Judge instructed UC to take no action yet against any UC community member who did not comply with the flu shot mandate – yet some are still receiving threatening emails.
- Legal Update on NY Lawsuit About Lawful Medical Exemptions Denied to School Children, 24 Sep 2020
- Injunction Lawsuit Against the University of California – Filed!, 3 Sep 2020
- How to File a Petition in Vaccine Court, 25 Aug 2020
- Litigating for the Truth, 20 Aug 2020
  One of our allies has filed many Freedom of Information (FOIA) requests to uncover what is known about the origins of the COVID-19 pandemic and the coronavirus SARS-CoV-2, and whether it emerged from a laboratory in Wuhan. They are also investigating the dangers of gain-of-function experiments, which aim to increase the transmissibility or deadliness of potential pandemic pathogens, and the use of our tax dollars to finance this potentially dangerous work.
  Their investigation is crucial, but they are being stonewalled by some federal agencies and state universities.
  Are you a lawyer? You can help.
  They are seeking lawyers who can litigate Freedom of Information cases pro bono against these federal agencies and state universities. Are you interested? If so, please do fill out this form ...
- Gardasil Lawsuit Claims HPV Vaccine Caused Teen Severe Injuries, 20 Aug 2020
- CHD Legal Team Led by Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. Sues Facebook, Mark Zuckerberg, and Three of Facebook’s So-Called “Fact-Checkers”, 18 Aug 2020
- CHD Will Sue the University of California Over Mandatory Flu Vaccine Policy, 13 Aug 2020
- CHD Holds Press Conference with Legal Team and Plaintiffs in NY Lawsuit About Lawful Medical Exemptions Denied to School Children, 30 Jul 2020
- Legal Team Led by Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. Sues New York State on Behalf of Families for Denying Lawful Medical Exemptions to School Children, 28 Jul 2020
- PLAGUE OF CORRUPTION: A Loss of Identity in Vaccine Court, 24 Apr 2020

Additional Legal Actions

- WHO’s Chief Scientist Served Legal Notice for Ivermectin Disinformation and Suppression of Evidence, 9 Jun 2021
- Kentucky Judge Invalidates All of Governor Bashear’s State of Emergency Actions, 8 Jun 2021
- Weimar Court Rules no masks, no distance, no more tests for pupils 8 Apr 2021
- LAUSD Employees Sue Over Vaccine Mandate Mar/May 2021
- Justice Centre for Constitutional Freedoms, Canada
- NIH/WHO Accommodates Chinese Confidentiality Terms, Jan2020/Mar 2021

- **WHO’s Chief Scientist Served with Legal Notice for Disinformation and Suppression of Evidence**, Colin Todhunter, *Off Guardian*, 9 Jun 2021
  See Also:
  - IBA Advocate Diplai Ojah in Corona Committee Session 61: We’ll Catch You Cause We Can, 16 Jul 2021
  - on-going compilation: Efficacy of Ivermectin, HCQ, and Other Repurposed Drugs

13 June 2021 update below

On 25 May 2021, the Indian Bar Association (IBA) served a 51-page legal notice on Dr Soumya Swaminathan, the Chief Scientist at the World Health Organisation (WHO), for: “[H]er act of spreading disinformation and misguiding the people of India, in order to fulfil her agenda.” See 26 May IBA Press Release. The Mumbai-based IBA is an association of lawyers who strive to bring transparency and accountability to the Indian justice system. It is actively involved in the dissemination of legal knowledge and provides guidance and support to advocates and ordinary people in their fight for justice.

The legal notice says Dr Swaminathan has been:

> *Running a disinformation campaign against Ivermectin by deliberate suppression of effectiveness of drug Ivermectin as prophylaxis and for treatment of COVID-19, despite the existence of large amounts of clinical data compiled and presented by esteemed, highly qualified, experienced medical doctors and scientists.*

and

> *Issuing statements in social media and mainstream media, thereby influencing the public against the use of Ivermectin and attacking the credibility of acclaimed bodies/institutes like ICMR and AIIMS, Delhi, which have included ‘Ivermectin’ in the ‘National Guidelines for COVID-19 management’*

World Health Organization
World Health Organization
Dr Soumya Swaminathan

Dr Soumya Swaminathan, WHO Chief Scientist

Running 51 pages containing 71 paragraphs and divided into the following 8 sections, the LEGAL NOTICE is on the website of the India Bar Association. Additionally, there are 14 Annexures to the Legal Notice.

Sr. Nos	Particulars	Para Nos
1.	Your views and statements against the use of Ivermectin for treatment of **COVID-19.**	**para 2 to 10, para 36, 37, 46**
2.	Extensive studies and trials that prove effectiveness of Ivermectin in treatment of **COVID-19.**	**para 11 to 20, para 30 to 35**
3.	Cases in the United States where older COVID-19 patients who were critically ill, either in comatose state or on ventilators, who have successfully recovered after Ivermectin was included in their line of treatment. Not to miss the crucial role of Courts, who intervened and directed the hospitals to administer Ivermectin on such patients who were at the doorstep of death.	**para 21 to 29**
4.	Cognizance taken of the ‘Public Statement’ issued by FLCCC on the Irregular Actions of Public Health Agencies and the Widespread Disinformation Campaign against Ivermectin.	**para 38 to 42**
5.	Ivermectin and ‘The National Clinical Guidelines for Covid-19 management’ issued by ICMR.	**para 43 to 45**
6.	Main grounds for issuance of this legal notice.	**para 48 to 57**
7.	Falling standards of World Health Organization.	**Para 58 to 61**
8.	Commendable work by select courageous medical doctors who have lived up to their Hippocratic Oath.	**para 62 to 67**

UPDATE:
LEGAL NOTICE: Contempt of Court and aggravated offences against humanity by spreading disinformation about the drug ‘Ivermectin’, despite having full knowledge of the Judgment passed by the Hon’ble High Court of Bombay at Goa dated May 28, 2021, Indian Bar Association, 13 Jun 2021 (pp.40)

> Article: Legal Notice For Contempt Of Court Against DR. Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, Dr. Soumya Swaminathan and The Directorate General of Health Services (DGHS), IBA, 18 June 2021
> **Excerpts:**
>
> The accused are served legal notice for their attempt to undermine the authority of the Bombay High Court and obstruct the use of Ivermectin for Covid-19 treatment.
>
> On 13th June 2021, Indian Bar Association has served a notice upon Dr.Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, Director General, World Health Organisation, Dr.Soumya Swaminathan, the Chief Scientist at WHO and Prof. (Dr.) Sunil Kumar for contempt of judgment of Bombay High Court.... all the three contemnors have hatched a conspiracy and by spreading misinformation through media, are fuelling confusion amongst doctors by introducing Guidelines allegedly published on 27th May 2021 by DGHS, which are in fact not mandatory and are overruled by the judgment of Bombay High Court dated 28th May 2021.
>
> ... in order to diminish the impact of the article published on 6th June, 2021, the main accused Dr. Soumya Swaminathan hatched a conspiracy and managed some media houses to publish news on 7th June, 2021 for appreciating the overruled guidelines dated 27th May, 2021. Some of these media houses have showed astounding alacrity in publishing news hailing removal of Ivermectin and other drugs, thereby deliberately ignoring the mountains of clinical data on effectiveness of Ivermectin in treatment of COVID-19....
>
> As per the judgment of Supreme Court of India, the person responsible for spreading information with object of creating confusion and to obstruct and undermine the judgment of court is liable for punishment under contempt of Court. Sections like 505,192,302, 115,109,409,120(B) of the Indian Penal Code are also attracted against the accused in this case, as their intention was to kill several people to fulfil their ulterior purposes.
>
> **The maximum punishment in above cases is death penalty.**
>
> **The notice states that Dr. Soumya Swaminathan and the WHO are dishonest and have no scientific evidences to back their advisories and such loose statements are issued from time to time, to serve their ulterior purposes.**
>
> The relevant para of the notice reads thus;
>
> > “**53.1.** Each time and particularly from following specific instances, it is sufficiently proved that You Notice 1 & 2 do not possess any authentic and scientific evidences;
> >
> > **i)** When the earlier Notice was served on Notice 1 on 25.05.2021, she has neither replied to the notice nor has she approached any court of law against us. On the contrary, she chose to delete the controversial tweet advising against the use of Ivermectin for COVID-19;
> >
> > **ii)** When the Health Secretary of the State Government of Goa relying on affidavit of Under Secretary of Union of India made their submission on oath before Hon’ble High Court, with specific allegations against WHO that there are reports which have ***observed that the analysis by WHO on this medicine (IVERMECTIN) is flawed and that the mortality rate is actually much lower if the said medicine is used for early treatment as well as prophylaxis,*** neither you Notice 1 or 2 chose to produce any proof to counter the said report. As a result, Hon’ble High Court has refused to accept the advisory of WHO.
> >
> > **iii)** When All India Institute of Medical Science (AIIMS) had published a statement on 24.05.2021 that there is no evidence to predict the third wave and its effect on children, you Notice 1 did not give any **“Evidence”** in support of your statement dated 25.05.2021 which was contrary to the said statement of AIIMS.
> >
> > After you Notice 1 were served with legal notice on 25.05.2021, you feared for being exposed and being summoned in Court of Law and therefore you Notice 1 took a U turn and stated that there is no sufficient evidence to suggest that children would be affected in the third wave.
> >
> > The agenda of misinformation is also exposed in the statement published in Press Bureau of India on June 8, 2021
> >
> > ***“It is a piece of misinformation that subsequent waves of the COVID-19 pandemic are going to cause severe illness in children. There is no data – either from India or globally – to show that children will be seriously infected in subsequent waves.”***
> >
> > **53.2.** So it is crystal clear that You Notice 1 & 2 do not have scientific evidence except jugglery of words and you are thoroughly intellectually dishonest people who are playing with the lives and livelihood of the common people across the world.
> >
> > However, in order to expose your intellectual dishonesty to the entire world, this notice is being served, calling for an explanation within 7 days of the receipt of this notice.”
>
> The legal notice also explains the law of damages in India citing recently cases where Court had ordered compensation of Rs. 100 Crores ((USD 13.5 mn) to the aggrieved party, for loss of his reputation. Since the present matter involves death caused due to denial of early treatment resulting in deterioration and death of person, the damages claimed would be much higher that Rs. 100 Crores.
>
> The notice also explains the liability of Dr. Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, Director General of WHO, for his act of commission and omission and also for his implied consent to the conspiracy.
>
> In the similar manner, the DGHS Prof. (Dr.) Sunil Kumar is joined in as co-accused for his complicity in the conspiracy.
>
> The three possible explanations for such an intense opposition to the use of highly promising, well-tolerated off-label medicine as Ivermectin are explained very well in following article:
>
> WHO Celebrates As Indian Health Regulator Removes Ivermectin from Its Covid-19 Protocol | *naked capitalism* (8 Jun 2021)
>
> > - “As a generic, Ivermectin is cheap and widely available, which means there would be a lot less money to be made by Big Pharma if it became the go-to early-stage treatment against covid.
> > - Other pharmaceutical companies are developing their own novel treatments for Covid-19 which would have to compete directly with Ivermectin.
> > - If approved as a covid-19 treatment, Ivermectin could even threaten the **E**mergency **U**se **A**uthorisation granted to covid-19 vaccines.
> >
> > It’s worth noting that while India’s DGHS has dumped most cheap off-patent treatment options against Covid, including even multivitamins, more expensive patented medicines continue to get the green light. They include Gilead’s prohibitively expensive antiviral Remdesivir, which DGHS continues to recommend for “select moderate/severe hospitalised COVID-19 patients”, even though “it is only an experimental drug with potential to harm.” It has also authorised the use of the anti-inflammatory medicine to cilizumab, which costs hundreds of dollars a dose.”

- **Kentucky Judge Invalidates All of Governor Bashear’s State of Emergency Actions**, John H. Bryan, *The Civil Rights Lawyer*, 8 Jun 2021

> Today my colleague from Kentucky, Chris Wiest, received an awesome ruling from the Circuit Court of Boone County declaring that all of Governor Andy Bashear’s emergency orders and actions are unconstitutional and void. The ruling was in the state-court challenge to the governor’s emergency powers executive orders, filed by Wiest on behalf of Beans Cafe’ & Bakery.
> Dr. Stephen Petty, an actual expert in masks, testified at the trial about their uselessness under the circumstances in which they’re being idolized. Here’s an excerpt from the order pertaining to Dr. Petty. For those bureaucrats and social media tyrants who would censor this, this is from an actual court order issued today....

Order: COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BOONE CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION I, CASE NO. 20-CI-00678A - RIDGEWAY PROPERTIES, LLC *dba Beans Café & Bakery* PLAINTIFF AND COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY, *ex rel*. ATTORNEY GENERAL DANIEL CAMERON INTERVENING PLAINTIFF VS. HON. ANDREW BESHEAR, GOVERNOR, COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY, *et al*., DEFENDANTS JUDGMENT AND ORDER (pp. 30)

> **Excerpt from p.15:**
> Stephen E. Petty, P.E., CIH, testified as an expert and was accepted as such without objection. Mr. Petty has served as an expert witness in approximately 400 cases relating to toxic or infectious exposure, personal protective equipment (“PPE”), and as a warning expert. He also served as an epidemiology expert for the plaintiffs in the Monsanto “Roundup” cases, and for those in the Dupont C8 litigation. In connection with his service as an expert, he was deposed nearly 100 times and has provided court testimony in approximately 20 trials. Mr. Petty holds nine U.S. patents, has written a book comprising nearly 1,000 pages on forensics engineering, is a certified industrial hygienist, and a recognized expert with the Occupational Safety and Health Agency. Mr. Petty helped write the rules on risk assessment for the State of Ohio and has trained Ohio’s risk assessors.
>
> Mr. Petty explained that the field of his expertise is “to anticipate and recognize and control things that could hurt people, everything from making them sick to killing them.” He testified that, in this context, he has analyzed the use of masks and social distancing in connection with Covid-19. He testified that both the six-foot-distancing rule, and mask mandates, are wholly ineffective at reducing the spread of this virus. Masks are worthless, he explained, because they are not capable of filtering anything as small as Covid-19 aerosols. In addition, masks are not respirators and lack the limited protections that respirators can provide....
>
> **p.18:**
> Finally, Mr. Petty pointed to another recent study by Ben Sheldon of Stanford University out of Palo Alto. According to that study, “both the medical and non-medical face masks are ineffective to block human-to-human transmission of viral and infectious diseases, such as SARS, CoV-2 and COVID-19.”64 The Court finds the opinions expressed by Mr. Petty firmly established in logic. The inescapable conclusion from his testimony is that ordering masks to stop Covid-19 is like putting up chain-link fencing to keep out mosquitos. The six-foot- distancing requirements fare no better.
>
> **From Judge Richard A. Brueggemann summary, p.27-8:**
> What the people have endured over the past fifteen months—to borrow a phrase from United States District Judge Justin R. Walker—“is something this Court never expected to see outside the pages of a dystopian novel.” Yet, Defendants contend that the Governor’s rule by mere emergency decree must continue indefinitely, and independent of legislative limits. In effect, Defendants seek declaratory judgment that the Constitution provides this broad power so long as he utters the word, “emergency.” It does not. For this Court to accept Defendant’s position would not be honoring its oath to support the Constitution; it would be tantamount to a coup d’ftat against it.

- **Weimar Family Court Rules no masks, no distance, no more tests for pupils**, 8 Apr 2021

In summary proceedings (Ref.: 9 F 148/21), the Weimar Family Court ruled on 8 April 2021 prohibiting two Weimar schools with immediate effect from requiring pupils to wear mouth-nose coverings of any kind (especially “qualified” masks such as FFP2 masks); it further prohibited the schools from demanding compliance with AHA minimum distance-keeping; and also prohibited them from demanding that pupils undergo SARS-CoV-2 rapid tests. At the same time, the Court ruled that classroom instruction must be face-to-face [i.e. not remote].
Weimar Local Court, Order dated 08.04.2021, Ref.: 9 F 148/21 (pp. 180)

Summary Areas included in the Court Order:
1. The lack of benefit of wearing masks and observing distance rules for the children themselves and third parties
2. The unsuitability of pcr tests and rapid tests for measuring the incidence of infection
3. The violation by rapid tests in schools of the right to informational self-determination
4. The right of children to education and schooling
5. Conclusions
   The judge summarised his decision as follows:

> “The compulsion imposed on school children to wear masks and to keep their distance from each other and from third persons harms the children physically, psychologically, educationally and in their psychosocial development, without being counterbalanced by more than, at best, marginal benefit to the children themselves or to third persons. Schools do not play a significant role in the “pandemic”.
>
> The PCR tests and rapid tests used are, in principle, not suitable on their own to detect an “infection” with the SARS-CoV-2 virus. This is already clear from the Robert Koch Institute’s own calculations, as explained in the expert reports. According to RKI calculations, as expert Prof. Dr. Kuhbandner explains, the probability of actually being infected when receiving a positive result in mass testing with rapid tests, regardless of symptoms, is only two per cent at an incidence of 50 (test specificity 80%, test sensitivity 98%). This would mean that, for every two true-positive rapid test results, there would be 98 false-positive rapid test results, all of which would then have to be retested with a PCR test.
>
> A (regular) compulsion to mass-test asymptomatic people, i.e. healthy people, for which there is no medical indication, cannot be imposed because it is disproportionate to the effect that can be achieved. At the same time, the regular compulsion to take the test puts the children under psychological pressure, because in this way their ability to attend school is constantly put to the test.”
>
> Finally, the judge notes:
>
> “Based on surveys in Austria, where no masks are worn in primary schools, but rapid tests are carried out three times a week throughout the country, the expert witness Prof. Dr. Kuhbandner concludes: ‘100,000 primary school pupils would have to put up with all the side effects of wearing masks for a week in order to prevent just one infection per week.’”
>
> To call this result merely disproportionate would be a completely inadequate description. Rather, it shows that the Land [i.e. federal state] legislature regulating this area has lost contact with reality to an unprecedented extent.”

- **Los Angeles Unified School District Employees Sue Over Vaccine Mandate**, 18 Mar 2021

Update: LAUSD Backs Down, 28 May 2021
After months of pressure, the California School Boards Association (CSBA) has conceded the merits of the lawsuit and walked back their position.

Plaintiffs in this suit are workers in the second-largest school district in the U.S. They filed to prevent the district from mandating Covid-19 vaccines as a condition of employment. See

- Employees Sue LAUSD for Mandating Experimental Covid-19 Vaccine Health Freedom Defense Fund Press Release, 18 Mar 2021
- Teachers Sue LA School District Over COVID Vaccine Mandate - Groups representing teachers, counselors and employees say the Los Angeles Unified School District’s vaccine mandate violates federal law and basic human rights, by Megan Redshaw, *The Defender*, Children’s Health Defense, 22 Mar 2021

CALIFORNIA EDUCATORS FOR MEDICAL FREEDOM, ARTEMIO QUINTERO, MIGUEL SOTELO, JANET PHYLLIS BREGMAN, CEDRIC JOHNSON, MISANON (SONI) LLOYD, HEATHER POUNDSTONE, and THERESA D. SANFORD -vs- THE LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, AUSTIN BEUTNER, in his official capacity as Superintendent of the Los Angeles Unified School District, and LINDA DEL CUETO, in her official capacity as the Director of Human Resources for the Los Angeles Unified School District. Filed in United States District Court Central District of California, Western Division, Date filed 03/17/21, 21 pages w/73 pages covering Exhibits A thru G (pp. 104)

The complaint cites the Nuremberg principles and the due process clause of the US Constitution, among other legal grounds:

> page 3:
>
> 5. Section 360bbb-3 further recognizes the well-settled doctrine that medical experiments, better known in modem parlance as “clinical research”, may not be performed on human subjects without the express, informed consent of the individual receiving treatment.
> 6. This right to avoid the imposition of human experimentation is fundamental, and has its roots in the Nuremberg Code of 1947 and has been ratified by the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki, and further codified in the United States Code of Federal Regulations. The standard is indeed so universally recognized that it constitutes a *jus cogens* norm under international law.
> 7. The Nuremberg principles have been adopted by the California Legislature, and no person subject to this State’s jurisdiction may be forced to undergo the administration of experimental medicine without that person’s informed consent. The Mandate is therefore contrary to the law of this State.
> 8. There is no “pandemic exception” to the law or the Constitution. Plaintiffs ask that the Court intervene to protect their rights before it is too late.
>
> page 6:
>
> **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**
> **The Universal Prohibition on Human Experimentation Without Consent**
>
> 27. Among the horrors that emerged from the rubble of World War II were stories of barbaric medical experiments performed on unwilling victims of Nazi Germany’s concentration camps.
> 28. On August 8, 1945, the prevailing Allies established an International Military Tribunal (the “IMT”). Under the aegis of the IMT, the law authorized the creation of U.S. military tribunals for the trial of “lower-level” war criminals, such as doctors accused of conducting medical experiments without the subjects’ consent.1
> 29. A U.S. military tribunal subsequently found 15 doctors guilty of conducting nonconsensual experiments, which included the testing of drugs for immunization against malaria, epidemic jaundice, smallpox, and cholera. “In every single instance appearing in the record,” the tribunal concluded, “subjects were used who did not consent to the experiments. . . .” The tribunal sentenced seven of the doctors to death, and the remaining eight to life in prison.
> 30. As part of its final judgment, the tribunal promulgated the Nuremberg Code on Permissible Medical Experiments. Point One of the Nuremberg Code states: **“The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential.”**
> 31. This standard has since been repeatedly ratified and adopted around the globe, in laws, treaties, regulations, and ethical guidelines for medical research. For example, in 1964, the World Medical Association adopted the Declaration of Helsinki, which provides that human subjects “must be volunteers and informed participants in the research project.” Declaration of Helsinki at Art. 20.
>
> page 8:
>
> 40. Ultimately, the principles of the Belmont Report, which itself was guided by the Nuremberg Code and the Declaration of Helsinki, were adopted by the FDA in its regulations requiring the informed consent of human subjects for medical research. See 21 C.F.R. § 50.20.3 The Department of Health and Human Services has similarly adopted this standard in its regulations governing grants for medical research. See 45 C.F.R. § 46.116. The United States clearly regards itself as bound by the provisions of the Nuremberg Code and the Declaration of Helsinki.
> 41. The Nuremberg principles have also been adopted by this State. See Cal. Health & Saf. Code § 24170, et. seq. (requiring informed consent for human trial subjects).4
>
> page 18:
>
> **SECOND CLAIM**
> **(All Defendants)**
> **SUBSTANTIVE DUE PROCESS - MEDICAL EXPERIMENTATION**
> **42 U.S.C. §1983**
>
> 101. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference their allegations in Paragraphs 1 - 88, as if fully alleged herein, and further allege:
> 102. As set forth above, the COVID Vaccines are experimental.
> 103. Plaintiffs have a protected liberty interest, secured by the Due Process Clause of the United States Constitution, international protocols and international treaties adopted by and entered into by the United States of America, and by the laws and regulations of the United States, to be free from forced medical experimentation.

- **Justice Centre for Constitutional Freedoms (JCCF)**

The Canadian Justice Centre for Constitutional Freedoms is based in Calgary, Alberta. On 5 Dec 2020 it filed a lawsuit challenging Orders made by the Dr Deena Hinshaw, Alberta’s Chief Medical Officer of Health (CMOH) and select unconstitutional sections of the *Public Health Act*. A part of the lawsuit argues CMOH Orders violate multiple Charter-protected rights, including the right to peacefully assemble, the right to visit friends and family, the right to freely practice religious beliefs, the right to travel and the right to conduct business and earn a living. The Justice Centre will further argue that these constitutional rights violations are not justified because lockdowns cause far more harm than whatever harm from COVID-19 lockdown measures may prevent.

> “In a free society, the government respects citizens as they exercise their freedom and responsibility to respond to a perceived crisis as they deem best for themselves and their loved ones. Arbitrary and authoritarian control, based on fearmongering by the government, only ever exacerbates the problems facing society, as we have seen for the last nine months. Politicians have not put forward any persuasive evidence that lockdowns have saved lives, but there is no question that lockdowns have caused grave harm to millions of Canadians suffering unemployment, poverty, cancelled surgeries, suicides, isolation and the loss of their liberty”
>
> —James Kitchen, JCCF Lawyer

- **Jan 2020: NIH officials tailored confidentiality forms to China’s terms and WHO conducted an unreleased, “strictly confidential” COVID-19 epidemiological analysis**

New Emails Detail WHO/NIH Accommodations to Chinese Confidentiality ‘Terms’ Judicial Watch Press Release, 1 Mar 2021,

> (Washington, DC) Judicial Watch announced today that it and the Daily Caller News Foundation (DCNF) received 301 pages of emails and other records of Dr. Anthony Fauci and Dr. H. Clifford Lane from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services showing that National Institutes of Health (NIH) officials tailored confidentiality forms to China’s terms and that the World Health Organization (WHO) conducted an unreleased, “strictly confidential” COVID-19 epidemiological analysis in January 2020.
>
> Additionally, the emails reveal an independent journalist in China pointing out the inconsistent COVID numbers in China to NIH’s National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases’ Deputy Director for Clinical Research and Special Projects Cliff Lane.
>
> The emails were obtained in response to a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) lawsuit filed in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia by Judicial Watch on behalf of the DCNF (*Daily Caller News Foundation v. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services* (No. 1:20-cv-01149)).
>
> The lawsuit was filed after HHS failed to respond to the DCNF’s April 1, 2020, FOIA request seeking:
>
> - Communications between Dr. Fauci and Deputy Director Lane and World Health Organization officials concerning the novel coronavirus.
> - Communications of Dr. Fauci and Deputy Director Lane concerning WHO, WHO official Bruce Aylward, WHO Director General Tedros Anhanom, and China.
>
> The new emails include a conversation about confidentiality forms on February 14-15, 2020, between Lane and WHO Technical Officer Mansuk Daniel Han. Han writes: “The forms this time are tailored to China’s terms so we cannot use the ones from before.”
>
> A WHO briefing package sent on February 13, 2020, to NIH officials traveling to China as part of the COVID response ask that the officials wait to share information until they have an agreement with China: “IMPORTANT: Please treat this as sensitive and not for public communications until we have agreed communications with China.”
>
> In an email dated January 20, 2020, a WHO official discusses the epidemiological analysis they conducted of COVID-19 earlier that month and states that it is “strictly confidential,” is “only for,” the Strategic and Technical Advisory Group for Infection Hazards (STAG-IH), and “should not be further disseminated.”
>
> In an email dated March 4, 2020, from Chinese journalist Zeng Jia to Lane, a reporter for Caixin Media, points out to Fauci deputy Cliff Lane that the number of cases reported in the WHO Joint China Mission’s report are inconsistent with the number reported by the Wuhan Public Health Committee:
>
> > It says on Page 6 [in the WHO report] that there was at least one clinically diagnosed case of coronavirus on December 2th, 2019, in Wuhan; and from Jan 11th to 17th there were new clinically diagnosed and confirmed cases every day in Wuhan, which is not consistent with Wuhan Public Health Committee’s numbers.
>
> In an email dated February 15, 2020, Gauden Galea, head of the WHO office in China, informs the joint mission members traveling to China that all of their activities in China would be arranged by the Chinese Government’s National Health Commission.
>
> “These emails set the tone early on in the coronavirus outbreak. It’s clear that the WHO allowed China to control the information flow from the start. True transparency is crucial,” said Ethan Barton, editor-in chief for the Daily Caller News Foundation.
>
> “These new emails show WHO and Fauci’s NIH special accommodations to Chinese communist efforts to control information about COVID-19,” said Judicial Watch President Tom Fitton.
>
> This is the latest information obtained in Judicial Watch and the DCNF’s ongoing investigation into Fauci’s and NIH’s response to the coronavirus pandemic. Judicial Watch and the DCNF previously uncovered emails showing a WHO entity pushing for a press release, approved by Dr. Fauci, “especially” supporting China’s COVID-19 response.
>
> On September 22, U.S. District Court Judge Dabney L. Friedrich ordered HHS to begin processing responsive records. In a September 21 court filing, HHS said the agency could begin producing 300 pages of responsive records to the DCNF beginning on November 30, eight months after receiving the Daily Caller’s FOIA request. The total number of responsive records is approximately 4,200, which would have pushed off the full release of the records until at least 2022. HHS also alleged that Fauci must personally review each one of his emails before they are released.

Lawsuit Rulings

- Florida: Appeals Court rules mask mandate unconstitutional, 11 Jun 2021
- Kentucky: Judge rules Gov Beshear’s COVID, mask orders unconstitutional, 8 Jun 2021
- Lisbon court rules only 0.9% of ‘verified cases’ died of COVID, numbering 152, not 17,000+ claimed, 19 May 2021
- California: Harvest Church Wins Lawsuit Against Gov Who Must Reimburse $1.35M Legal Fees, 14 May 2021
- Wisconsin Supreme Court: Governor Can't Limit Business Capacity, 14 Apr 2021
- Italy: Early treatment works and is approved by the Italian Senate, 9 Apr 2021
- Germany: Weimar Court: no masks, no distance, no more tests for pupils, 8 Apr 2021
- Wisconsin Supreme Court strikes down governor’ make mandate, 31 Mar 2021
- Belgium must lift ‘all Covid-19 measures’ within 30 days, Brussels Court Rules, 31 Mar 2021
- Austrian court: PCR test not suitable for diagnosis, 24 Mar 2021
- California: Freedom of religion upheld under Constitution, 5 Feb 2021
- Germany: Weimar Court Declares Lockdown Unconstitutional, 28 Jan 2021
- Ecuador: Constitutional Court Ruled State of Emergency Decree Is Unconstitutional, 21 Dec 2020
- Portugal: Court Rules PCR Tests ‘Unreliable’ & Quarantines ‘Unlawful’, 11 Nov 2020

- **Ruled: 11 Jun 2021 - Florida**
  First District Court of Appeals rules mask mandate unconstitutional

JUSTIN GREEN, Appellant, v. ALACHUA COUNTY, Appellee. FIRST DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALSTATE OF FLORIDA, 11 Jun 2021 (pp. 34)

Judge Adam Scott Tanenbaum ruled that a lower court had erred in tossing out the lawsuit against Alachua County’s mask mandate because it should be held as presumptively unconstitutional. There are several very striking elements about this ruling, which will reverberate throughout the country even as the mask mandates officially expire. Defendants had argued that the mandate is now moot given the orders of the governor requiring all counties to end their mandates. However, the judge noted in a footnote, “Because of the nature of the various emergency orders that we have seen and the county’s continued commitment to public mask wearing, we are not convinced that this is the last that we will see of this issue.”

In other words, you can’t have a gross violation of the most fundamental rights hanging over our heads at any time and somehow suggest that we have no recourse to eliminate it. Judge Tanenbaum wrote, “We conclude, then, that this case fits within the exception to the mootness doctrine, which is for controversies that are capable of repetition, yet evading review” as well as recognizing that the pretext for these “fiats” and “diktats” is rooted in abuse of emergency powers, which can be repeated at any moment:

> *It would behoove the trial court also to consider that while article I, section 23 “was not intended to provide an absolute guarantee against all governmental intrusion into the private life of an individual,” Fla. Bd. of Bar Exam’rs re Applicant, 443 So. 2d 71, 74 (Fla. 1983), “even in a pandemic, the Constitution cannot be put away and forgotten.” Roman Catholic Diocese of Brooklyn v. Cuomo, 141 S. Ct. 63, 68 (2020). And there is this warning from William Pitt the Younger, roughly paraphrasing a similar sentiment in John Milton’s Paradise Lost: “Necessity is the plea for every infringement of human freedom.”*

Also notable in this opinion is how the judge believes that the harm to plaintiffs is not just the threat of fines or denial of service.

> *Another consequence was being subjected to whispering informants, impelled by county-designed publicity like the following proposed signage encouraging citizens to inform on their disobedient neighbors.*

The judge warned, “The threat of government-sponsored shaming was not an idle one. The chairman who issued the original mask mandate stated publicly that ‘masks are the only outwardly visible signal that you are contributing to the solution.’”

In other words, this line of reasoning will give plaintiffs throughout the country a continued cause of action to fight both the mask mandate and the vaccine mandate. Both of them violate bodily autonomy and use public shaming to coerce people to violate their autonomy. According to this ruling, any edict requiring masking for those not vaccinated would also violate the Constitution.

Throughout the hearing, the judge seemed to oppose the entire premise that non-pharmaceutical interventions work against the virus, possibly opening the door for a very broad ruling against mask mandates, a ruling he promised “soon.” At this pace, perhaps it’s a good thing for some of the mandates to remain in place just long enough to get standing to sue against them. For if we fail to destroy this ill-gotten government power while it’s unpopular, it will surely rear its ugly head next flu season.

Blaze Media

- **Ruled: 8 Jun 2021 - Kentucky**
  Circuit Court Judge rules Gov Beshear’s COVID, mask orders unconstitutional

RIDGEWAY PROPERTIES, LLC *dba Beans Café & Bakery* AND COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY, *ex rel*. ATTORNEY GENERAL DANIEL CAMERON VS. HON. ANDREW BESHEAR, GOVERNOR, COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY, *et al*., JUDGMENT AND ORDER, COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BOONE CIRCUIT COURT, entered 06/08/2021 (pp. 30)

On 8 June Boone County, Kentucky, Circuit Judge Richard A. Brueggemann issued a permanent injunction against all of Gov. Andy Beshear's COVID restrictions, including the mask mandate. Unlike the few judicial victories for civil liberties over the past year, this one was broad and sweeping, as it declared these mandates unconstitutional. In granting declaratory relief to a store owner who didn't want to enforce masks on customers, Judge Brueggemann ruled that “all emergency orders imposed by said Defendants, or that are being continued by said Defendants, are unconstitutional, void and without any legal effect.”

> “What the people have endured over the past fifteen months–to borrow a phrase from United States District Judge Justin R. Walker–“is something this Court never expected to see outside the pages of a dystopian novel.”97 Yet, Defendants contend that the Governor’s rule by mere emergency decree must continue indefinitely, and independent of legislative limits. In effect, Defendants seek declaratory judgment that the Constitution provides this broad power so long as he utters the word, “emergency.” It does not. For this Court to accept Defendant’s position would not be honoring its oath to support the Constitution; it would be tantamount to a coup d’eétat against it.” (pp. pp.27-8)

Blaze Media

- **Ruled: 19 May 2021 - Lisbon**
  Lisbon court rules only 0.9% of ‘verified cases’ died of COVID, numbering 152, not 17,000+ claimed

Court Ruling in Portuguese (Eng translation coming)

A citizen’s petition has compelled health authorities to reveal autopsy details of deaths attributed to Covid-19 from January 2020 to April 2021. From this, a Lisbon court was forced to provide verified COVID-19 mortality data, reports Andr^eacute; Dias, PhD in Lung Diseaes Modeling and a background in epidemiology and Medical Statistics. On 19 May 2021 the court ruled that the number of verified COVID-19 deaths from January 2020 to April 2021 is only 152, not the 17,000-plus claimed by government ministries.

As Dr Dias writes (via auto-gen Eng translation):

> Since March 2020, 152 people have died of covid in Portugal, formally indicated by the Ministry of Health and confirmed by a court. All the "others" died from everything else that kills and only had a useless positive PCR test!
>
> The data are from SICO [Sistema de Informação dos Certificados de Óbito], the only death certificate system in Portugal. The reference to "under the tutelage of the MJ is spurious, all are issued under the tutelage of the MJ, which is the only institution that issues them.
>
> We live in a fraud of unprecedented dimensions.
>
> In response to a popular action, a court summons was required for the ministry to respond, desperate not to denounce the fraud. All those responsible for handling data from "cases" and "deaths" can, thereafter, only be tried for the crime if there is any dignity remaining in the rule of law.

America's Frontline Doctors, *The Truth Barrier*
See Also: Exclusive Interview With Dr. André Dias, At Center Of Portugal FOIA Court Case Conforming Covid Statistical Fraud: "They Replied To All: 'We Have Nothing'", Celia Farber, *The Truth Barrier*, 28 Jun 2021

- **Ruled: 14 May 2021 - California**
  Harvest Church Wins Lawsuit Against California Governor Who Must Also Reimburse $1.35M Legal Fees - Bans Discrimination Against Churches in Covid Policies

  HARVEST ROCK CHURCH, INC., and HARVEST INTERNATIONAL MINISTRY, INC., itself and on behalf of its member churches in California vs GAVIN NEWSOM, in his official capacity as Governor of the State of California in the U.S. District Court For The Central District Of California; Filed: 14 May 2021. (pp. 3)

  District Judge Jesus G. Bernal ordered California Governor to pay $1.35 million in Attorney Fees and Costs to Harvest Rock Church and Harvest International Ministry. The settlement amount marks a victory both for Harvest ministries who sued Newsom for banning indoor worship services and also for houses of worship across California since the court order permanently bans COVID-related discriminatory religious service restrictions. "California may never again place discriminatory restrictions on churches and places of worship," Liberty Counsel Founder and Chairman Mat Staver said regarding the decision, which Liberty Counsel won on behalf of Harvest Rock Church and Harvest International Ministry. The permanent injunction against Newsom, which is the first of its kind against a U.S. state governor, cites several Supreme Court decisions following prior lawsuits against Newsom, as well as a lawsuit by the Catholic Diocese of Brooklyn against New York Governor Andrew Cuomo.
  LifeSite News

- **Ruled: 14 Apr 2021 - Wisconsin**
  Wisconsin Supreme Court: Governor Can't Limit Business Capacity

  The Wisconsin Supreme Court on Wednesday ruled that Gov. Tony Evers' administration does not have the authority to issue capacity limits on bars, restaurants and other businesses without the Legislature's approval, a ruling that comes two weeks after the conservative-controlled court struck down the state's mask mandate. The state Supreme Court also ruled last year in a similar case that the Democratic governor needed the approval of the Legislature, which is controlled by Republicans, to issue an emergency declaration that shut down businesses early in the coronavirus pandemic.
  Yahoo News

- **9 Apr 2021 - Italy**
  Dr Stramezzi: Early treatment works and is approved by the Italian Senate

  Debriefing with Dr. Andrea Stramezzi and journalist Robert Steiner:
  This week, the Italian Senate issued a landmark decision in favor of early treatment as a cure for Covid. Dr. Stramezzi, whom we previously interviewed following his case for approval of hydroxychloroquine as a treatment, returns to talk about this important decision. He explains its scope and next steps. For his part, Robert Steiner talks about the lack of media coverage this historic decision received in the mainstream media. Dr. Stramezzi talks about the journey to get this decision to Italian doctors and patients - a journey that was not easy.
  FranceSoir, Scientific Report, twitter

- **Ruled: 8 Apr 2021 - Germany**
  Weimar Court: no masks, no distance, no more tests for pupils

  Weimar Local Court, Order dated 08.04.2021, Ref.: 9 F 148/21 8 Apr 2021. (pp. 180)

  In summary proceedings (Ref.: 9 F 148/21), the Weimar Family Court ruled on 8 April 2021 prohibiting two Weimar schools with immediate effect from requiring pupils to wear mouth-nose coverings of any kind (especially "qualified" masks such as FFP2 masks); it further prohibited the schools from demanding compliance with AHA minimum distance-keeping; and also prohibited them from demanding that pupils undergo SARS-CoV-2 rapid tests. At the same time, the Court ruled that classroom instruction must be face-to-face [i.e. not remote].
  Summary Areas included in the Court Order:
  1. The lack of benefit of wearing masks and observing distance rules for the children themselves and third parties
  2. The unsuitability of pcr tests and rapid tests for measuring the incidence of infection
  3. The violation by rapid tests in schools of the right to informational self-determination
  4. The right of children to education and schooling
  5. Conclusions
     The judge summarised his decision as follows:

     > "The compulsion imposed on school children to wear masks and to keep their distance from each other and from third persons harms the children physically, psychologically, educationally and in their psychosocial development, without being counterbalanced by more than, at best, marginal benefit to the children themselves or to third persons. Schools do not play a significant role in the "pandemic".
     >
     > The PCR tests and rapid tests used are, in principle, not suitable on their own to detect an "infection" with the SARS-CoV-2 virus. This is already clear from the Robert Koch Institute's own calculations, as explained in the expert reports. According to RKI calculations, as expert Prof. Dr. Kuhbandner explains, the probability of actually being infected when receiving a positive result in mass testing with rapid tests, regardless of symptoms, is only two per cent at an incidence of 50 (test specificity 80%, test sensitivity 98%). This would mean that, for every two true-positive rapid test results, there would be 98 false-positive rapid test results, all of which would then have to be retested with a PCR test.
     >
     > A (regular) compulsion to mass-test asymptomatic people, i.e. healthy people, for which there is no medical indication, cannot be imposed because it is disproportionate to the effect that can be achieved. At the same time, the regular compulsion to take the test puts the children under psychological pressure, because in this way their ability to attend school is constantly put to the test."

     Finally, the judge notes:

     > "Based on surveys in Austria, where no masks are worn in primary schools, but rapid tests are carried out three times a week throughout the country, the expert witness Prof. Dr. Kuhbandner concludes: '100,000 primary school pupils would have to put up with all the side effects of wearing masks for a week in order to prevent just one infection per week.'"
     >
     > To call this result merely disproportionate would be a completely inadequate description. Rather, it shows that the Land [i.e. federal state] legislature regulating this area has lost contact with reality to an unprecedented extent."

  2020 News, *Global Research*

- **Ruled: 31 Mar 2021 - Wisconsin**
  Wisconsin Supreme Court strikes down governor's mask mandate

  Jeré Fabick, Petitioner, v. Tony Evers, in his Official Capacity as the Governor of Wisconsin, Respondent State of Wisconsin in Supreme Court; Filed: 31 Mar 2021 (pp. 78)
  The Wisconsin Supreme Court struck down Democratic Gov. Tony Evers' statewide mask mandate on Wednesday, stripping the governor of one of his last remaining tools to curb the spread of the coronavirus as the state stands on the precipice of another surge in infections. The conservative-leaning court ruled 4-3 that Evers violated state law by unilaterally issuing multiple emergency orders to extend the mandate for months. It found that Evers needed legislative approval to issue more orders after the expiration of the initial 60-day mandate he issued in August. "The question in this case is not whether the governor acted wisely; it is whether he acted lawfully. We conclude he did not," Justice Brian Hagedorn wrote for the majority.
  AP

- **Ruled: 31 Mar 2021 - Belgium**
  Brussels Court Rules life 'all Covid-19 measures' within 30 days

  The Belgian State has been ordered to lift "all coronavirus measures" within 30 days, as the legal basis for them is insufficient, a Brussels court ruled on Wednesday. The League for Human Rights had filed the lawsuit several weeks ago and challenged Belgium's system of implementing the measures using Ministerial Decrees, which means it is done without any input from parliament. The current coronavirus measures are based on the Civil Safety Act of 2007, which enable the State to react quickly in "exceptional circumstances," but the judge has now ruled that these laws cannot serve as a basis for the Ministerial Decrees.
  The Brussels Times

- **Ruled: 24 Mar 2021 - Austria**
  Court ruling: PCR test not suitable for diagnosis

  What like-minded doctors and scientists and professors have been saying for more than a year has been confirmed today by the Vienna Administrative Court in its Judgement 103/048/3227 / 2021-2. The court states in its judgement that the PCR test is not suitable for determining infectivity. "PCR test not suitable for diagnosis". The court also explicitly points out that according to the World Health Organization (WHO) it is also not suitable for "making a PCR test diagnosis, so it does not in itself say anything about a person's disease or infection". If the Austrian Crown Commission used the case definition of the Minister of Health and not the WHO to determine the case numbers; thus, any definition of the number of "patients / infected" is considered erroneous. This judgment indirectly rejects the entire corona policy in Austria, which is based on this test.
  Covid Call to Humanity

- **Ruled: 5 Feb 2021 - California**
  US Supreme Court rules Freedom of Religion uphead under Constitution

  The Supreme Court has lifted California's ban on indoor church services during the pandemic, ruling that Gov. Gavin Newsom's strict orders appear to violate the Constitution's protection of the free exercise of religion. The justices in a 6-3 decision granted an appeal from a south San Diego church that has repeatedly challenged the state restrictions on church services, including its ban on singing and chanting. The ruling set aside decisions by federal judges in San Diego and San Bernardino, and the U.S. 9th Circuit Court of Appeals in San Francisco, which upheld the state's orders despite earlier warnings from the high court. California has enforced "the most extreme restriction on worship in the country," the court was told by the Becket Fund for Religious Liberty. While several states set limits on attendance at church services, the group said, California is "the only state to ban indoor worship" in all but sparsely populated counties.
  L.A. Times

- **Ruled: 28 Jan 2021 - Germany**
  Weimar Court Declares Lockdown Unconstitutional

  Regional containment policies including lockdowns, social distancing, prohibitions on gatherings by family or friends deemed unconstitutional.

  A LANDMARK legal decision declared that regional containment policies–including lockdowns, social distancing, prohibitions on gatherings by family or friends) are UNCONSTITUTIONAL. The judge called the lockdowns a "**catastrophically wrong political decision with dramatic consequences for almost all areas of people's lives.**" The judge ruled that the government violated the "**inviolably guaranteed human dignity**" under basic German law. This momentous, affirmative, liberating decision was handed down by a court of law in Weimar, Germany.

  The judge ruled that the government violated the "inviolably guaranteed human dignity" under basic German law. This momentous, affirmative, liberating decision was handed down by a court of law in Weimar, Germany; the city whose name was adopted by the first German republic: 1919-1933, until it was overturned by the Nazi regime. Hundreds of thousands of German people have demonstrated throughout the summer and fall of 2020, some protesters compared their struggle to anti-Nazi resistance; which led Germany's Foreign Minister Heiko Maas to bristle.

  Forensic analysis of official data convinced the court that the epidemic situation that was used to justify the lockdown laws does not exist. The judge ruled that the government lacked sufficient legal grounds to impose the restrictions since there was no "epidemic situation of national importance." He declared that the measures were an attack on the "foundations of our society."

  Dr. Reiner Fuellmich, the lawyer who initiated the first German and American complaints and procedures stated: "**We consider this judgment to be extraordinary and of fundamental importance. It is transferable to all violations of Covid19 measures. It is also transferable to the current Renewed Containment and all applicable coronavirus regulations. Because the "numbers of cases" are decreasing, as are patients in intensive care units! The judge confirms this with an excellent demonstration.**"

  The battle over government dictatorial overreach and the assault on the rights and dignity of citizens in a democracy will be won in the courts of law.

  Below Dr. Nicole Delépine, MD, provides an in-depth analysis of the body of evidence that supports this momentous decision. She notes that one factor that helped Germany resist the epidemic better than other countries – such as France – is probably due to the early treatment of patients with hydroxychloroquine, antibiotics, vitamins, etc. She concludes that This crisis is purely political with a health pretext.
  Alliance for Human Research Protection

- **Ruled: 21 Dec 2020 - Ecuador**
  Constitutional Court Ruled State of Emergency Decree Is Unconstitutional

  Constitutional Court of Ecuador Ruling and Spanish to English auto-gen'd translation (source)

  Constitutional Court ruled that Decree 1217 for a State of Emergency declared by President Lenín Moreno on December 21, 2020 is unconstitutional. The Court found that the president based the state of emergency on a possible future risk and not a current one, without sufficient, clear and specific information. Since states of exception operate in the face of current and certain circumstances, the actual occurrence of the events was not justified. The President responded that his government will abide by the ruling of the Court because it is "respectful of the rule of law". He further stated that in large cities, the Intensive Care Units (ICU) are "on the brink" and that there may be an upturn in infections in January, for which they have a "contingency plan."
  "Due to the foregoing, the Court decided:
  1. *To declare Decree No. 1217 unconstitutional.*
  2. *Remind the President of the Republic and the competent authorities that the measures referred to in Decree No. 1217, aimed at avoiding crowds and mass meetings as well as preventing the possible risks of the new variant of COVID-19 identified in the United Kingdom, they can be adopted based on the attributions of the ordinary constitutional regime, even by the COE, as in fact has happened, without it being necessary to resort to the extraordinary powers authorized in a state of emergency.*
  3. *Faced with the possible risks of the new variant of COVID-19, the Court reiterated its call to the national government to reinforce, in a coordinated manner, the prevention mechanisms available under the ordinary regime."*

  El Universo (agEt)

- **Ruled: 11 Nov 2020 - Portugal**
  Portuguese Court Rules PCR Tests 'Unreliable' & Quarantines 'Unlawful'; Important legal decision faces total media blackout in Western world

  Ruling in the original Portuguese: HTML and PDF; auto-generated translation in English.

  Lisbon, Portugal, Court of Appeal ruled against lockdowns, because they were based on unreliable PCR tests. Most importantly, the judges ruled that a single positive PCR test cannot be used as an effective diagnosis of infection. In their ruling, judges Margarida Ramos de Almeida and Ana Paramés referred to several scientific studies. Most notably this study by Jaafar et al., which found that – when running PCR tests with 35 cycles or more – the accuracy dropped to 3%, meaning up to 97% of positive results could be false positives.

  The ruling goes on to conclude that, based on the science they read, any PCR test using over 25 cycles is totally unreliable. Governments and private labs have been very tight-lipped about the exact number of cycles they run when PCR testing, but it is known to sometimes be as high as 45. Even Anthony Fauci has publicly stated anything over 35 is totally unusable.

  The court's main points are as follows:

  - A medical diagnosis is a medical act that only a physician is legally qualified to undertake and for which such physician will be solely and entirely responsible. No other person or institution, including government agencies or the courts, has such an authority. It is not up to the Azores Regional Health Authority to declare someone ill, or a health hazard. Only a physician can do that. No one can be declared ill or a health hazard by decree or law, nor as the automatic, administrative consequence of the outcome of a laboratory test, no matter which.
  - From the above, the court concludes that "if carried out with no prior medical observation of the patient, with no participation of a physician certified by the Ordem dos Médicos who would have assessed symptoms and requested the tests/exams deemed necessary, any act of diagnosis, or any act of public health vigilance (such as determining whether a viral infection or a high risk of exposure exist, which the aforementioned concepts subsume) will violate [a number of laws and regulations] and may configure a crime of usurpação de funções [unlawful practice of a profession] in the case said acts are carried out or dictated by someone devoid of the capacity to do so, i.e., by someone who is not a certified physician [to practice medicine in Portugal a degree is not enough, you need to be accepted as qualified to practice medicine by undergoing examination with the Ordem dos Médicos, roughly our equivalent of the UK's Royal College of Physicians]."
  - In addition, the court rules that the Azores Health Authority violated article 6 of the Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights, as it failed to provide evidence that the informed consent mandated by said Declaration had been given by the PCR-tested persons who had complained against the forced quarantine measures imposed on them.
  - From the facts presented to the court, it concluded that no evidentiary proof or even indication existed that the four persons in question had been seen by a doctor, either before or after undertaking the test.

  The above would suffice to deem the forced quarantine of the four persons unlawful. The court thought it necessary, however, to add some very interesting considerations about the PCR tests:

  - "Based on the currently available scientific evidence this test [the RT-PCR test] is in and of itself unable to determine beyond reasonable doubt that positivity in fact corresponds to infection by the SARS-CoV-2 virus, for several reasons, among which two are paramount (to which one would need to add the issue of the gold standard, which, due to that issue's specificity, will not be considered here): the test's reliability depends on the number of cycles used; the test's reliability depends on the viral load present."
  - Citing Jaafar *et al*. (2020), the court concludes that "if someone is tested by PCR as positive when a threshold of 35 cycles or higher is used (as is the rule in most laboratories in Europe and the US), the probability that said person is infected is <3%, and the probability that said result is a false positive is 97%." The court further notes that the cycle threshold used for the PCR tests currently being made in Portugal is unknown [N.B. – I know from acquaintances that in at least some Portuguese labs the threshold is 35 cycles].
  - Citing Surkova *et al*. (2020), the court further states that any diagnostic test must be interpreted in the context of the actual probability of disease as assessed prior to the undertaking of the test itself, and expresses the opinion that "in the current epidemiological landscape of the United Kingdom, the likelihood is increasing that Covid 19 tests are returning false positives, with major implications for individuals, the health system and society."

  The court's summary of the case to rule against the Regional Health Authority's appeal reads as follows:
  - "Given how much scientific doubt exists — as voiced by experts, i.e., those who matter — about the reliability of the PCR tests, given the lack of information concerning the tests' analytical parameters, and in the absence of a physician's diagnosis supporting the existence of infection or risk, there is no way this court would ever be able to determine whether C was indeed a carrier of the SARS-CoV-2 virus, or whether A, B and D had been at a high risk of exposure to it."

  Off Guardian, *Lockdown Sceptics*, *RT*